

Proactive Verification of Strip Y-Index to Mitigate Gross Misaligned Cut due to Mismatched Unit Pitching

ABSTRACT

Shopfloor practices that when the first cut line was aligned with the hairline, actual blade cut, and saw street of the strip, the succeeding cut lines will automatically follow with the same alignment. Considering various factors that affect the condition of the strip, it was recommended to verify the succeeding cut lines of the strip to project if the hairline will still be aligned with the saw street as cutting goes on. Unfortunately, verification of succeeding cut lines was usually skipped and refer only with the first cut alignment as reference. Thus, end up risking the units for possible cutting misalignment. Cutting misalignment can be encountered when the programmed unit pitching measurement was mismatched with the actual unit pitch of the strip. However, mismatching of the unit pitch can be anticipated through y-indexing where the saw street of the strip will be verified for alignment with the hairline along the succeeding cut lines. Frequent occurrence of mismatched unit pitching was brought about by the strip condition after series of assembly processes that expands and retracts the strip. With the mentioned scenario which has been encountered from different semiconductor assembly plants, it was best to verify the y-indexing of the strip on top of verification on the first cut line alignment. Application of y-indexing verification is essential for the entrapment and correction of mismatched unit pitching. Rejection of units due to misaligned cuts can also be prevented. Assistance of operators to adjust and monitor the hairline to compensate the actual pitching was also avoided as early as first cut line verification.

Keywords: Alignment; singulation process; sub-index checking; unit pitch; Y-index.

1. INTRODUCTION

Singulation process efficiency relates to the correct dimensions of the individual units produced after sawing. In order to produce good units, alignment of blade cut to every saw street of the strip was important. Upon processing of a strip for singulation, the first saw street between the strip remnants and first row of good units will be cut. This first blade cut will be shown by the machine for verification of actual blade cut to the saw street of the strip and guided with the machine hairline. Hairline of the machine indicates where the blade will saw through. Personnel can adjust and correct the alignment if otherwise. Fig. 1 shows the hairline that was aligned with the actual blade cut.




Fig. 1. Blade cut aligned with the hair line

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

Fig. 2 shows the first cut line alignment on the machine that was not needed for adjustments. The hairline of the machine was aligned with the saw street of the strip. On the other hand, Fig. 3 illustrates cut line alignment that needs to be adjusted as the hairline was not aligned with the saw street of the strip. Misaligned hairline with the saw street results to misaligned cut units when skipped without adjustments made. Hairline is the guide where the blade saw through while saw street of the strip is the actual separation of the individual units.




Fig. 2. Good alignment of hairline (green line) with the saw street

35
36
37
38




Fig. 3. Needs adjustment as hairline (green line) was offset with saw street

39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

However, few strips that was verified to be aligned upon the first cut line, still encounters gross misaligned cut that was quantified and rejected after sawing. This was due to mismatch of actual unit pitch to the programmed unit pitching on the machine. The tendency was to have a compensation of unit pitching differences that will be developed to

47 misalignment. Few strips need frequent assistance to align the cutting by adjusting the
48 hairline of the machine and then closely monitor the cutting quality of the strips.

49

50 With the data on hand, the next step is to establish the best assistance that the shopfloor
51 can perform upon encounter of mismatched unit pitching entrapped during vertical indexing
52 (Y-indexing) verification on the strip under singulation.

53

54 Current practice on the shopfloor was to adjust and purposely offset the hairline alignment
55 from the actual blade cut. The effect of adjustment will be applied on the next cut line.
56 However, next cut lines might give off different alignments along the processing. Close
57 monitoring was performed but the problem was still not resolved. The succeeding strips are
58 expected to be assisted same with the previous strips, and another batch of lots will have
59 different adjustment.

60

61 2. METHODOLOGY

62

63 The authors were engaged to find the best assistance that can be performed in the
64 occurrence of strips affected with mismatched unit pitching. Brainstorming was done about
65 the importance of sub-index checking known as the vertical indexing prior proceeding the
66 lots for singulation, on top of the verification of first cut line alignment for horizontal indexing.
67 Works and studies shared in [1-7] focused on the cutting method, design, and pattern
68 recognition were helpful in this study. The authors have also studied about the existing
69 assistance of shopfloor to adjust the hairline to catch up with the correct alignment. Program
70 teaching was also explored for the efficiency to address the issue.


71

72 2.1 Understanding the Importance of Sub-Index Checking

73

74 In singulation process, sub-indexing refers to the movement and distance travels during
75 scanning for alignment inspection. Two sub-indexes are being monitored which are the
76 horizontal indexing (X-indexing) and vertical indexing (Y-indexing). X-indexing is where
77 scanning inspection goes from left to right or vice versa. Y-indexing on the other hand, is
78 where the scanning inspection goes from up to down or vice versa. Figs. 4 and 5
79 demonstrate the X-indexing and Y-indexing, respectively.

80



81

82

83

84

Fig. 4. X-indexing – horizontal travel movement




Fig. 5. Y-indexing – vertical travel movement

85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116

X-indexing was used to ensure that the cutting was aligned from the start and finish of the strip. Misalignment and slanted cuts can be verified by using the X-indexing along the strip. Y-indexing was used to verify the consistency and matching of actual unit pitching to the programmed unit pitching on the vertical movement. Through Y-indexing, it can be predicted if the succeeding cut lines have offset cut due to mismatched unit pitching brought about by the strip imperfections induced by the assembly process steps that the strips have undergone.

Through understanding of sub-index function and importance, it has been found out that Y-indexing can be used to predict the cutting alignment condition of succeeding cut lines. Mismatched unit pitching that might result to misaligned and offset cut can be expected and corrected as early as the first cut line verification.

2.2 How Hairline Adjustments Affects the Cutting Alignment

Semiconductor industries that process high dense strips have different activities to salvage the strips when mismatched unit pitching was encountered. Hairline follows the programmed unit pitch and does not automatically adjust with the actual unit pitch of the strip. One of the easiest assistance to avoid misaligned cutting of units was to purposely offset the hairline with the actual blade cut to adjust the cutting alignment. Adjustments made will take effect on the succeeding cut lines. This process was non-value added and critical to cutting quality. Thus, demanded to be closely monitored.


Fig. 6 illustrates the first cut line aligned with the machine hairline wherein the succeeding cut lines were aligned as well. The strip has consistent unit pitching as verified through Y-indexing until the end of the strip and is aligned on the unit pitching set-up with the singulation program.



Fig. 6. Aligned hairline and consistent pitching

117
118
119

120
121 On the other hand, Fig. 7 shows aligned first cut line with the machine hairline but cut lines
122 were offset as the strip progress. First cut line was aligned properly with the first cut line, but
123 cut line 15 and cut line 30 has already misaligned hairline with respect to the saw street of
124 the strip. The strip has mismatched unit pitching with the machine set-up as verified through
125 Y-indexing. Accumulation of distance from mismatched unit pitching induces the potential
126 offset cut.
127




128
129
130 **Fig. 7. Cut lines with different response**
131

132 Aside on the frequent assistance of the shopfloor to adjust the hairline, unit pitching of
133 machine set-up to the actual pitching of the units at the strip can be changed through
134 program adjustments. The authors have explored the idea to perform parameter adjustment
135 on the affected strips to find out if it can be effective. Also, as observed with the strip
136 batches, the mismatched unit pitching that was encountered on the first strip was the same
137 with the succeeding strips of the same batch. Thus, adjustments to match the actual unit
138 pitching of the strip to the machine set-up can be performed and utilized per batch of lots.
139

140 **2.3 Assistance Through Sub-Index Unit Pitch Adjustment**
141

142 With the strip being verified and predicted to encounter offset cutting as the strip progressed
143 on the singulation process, unit pitching can be adjusted to cater and match the actual unit
144 pitching of the strip. This can be changed through adjusting the value of unit pitch at the sub
145 index parameter page. Sub index data page can be found upon selecting the Device Menu
146 key, then select the Device Program key, and lastly select the Sub Indexing key.
147 Measurement on Y-index section should be changed with the appropriate value according to
148 the actual unit pitching of the strip. Fig. 8 shows the page on the machine where the unit
149 pitch can be changed.
150



151
152
153 **Fig. 8. Sub index parameter page**
154

155 Sub-index on CH1 or CH2 can be adjusted for Y-indexing which corresponds to the unit
156 pitching. The chuck table of the machine which holds the strip moves only upward and
157 downward which corresponds the Y-movement that moves per unit pitch. Blade movement is
158 horizontal which corresponds to the X-indexing.

159
160 Strips reacts and differs with the ideal condition after series of assembly process steps was
161 being performed. Thus, considering the good strips was essential to be the reference strip of
162 the new unit pitch adjustment.


163
164 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**
165

166 The methodology that was conducted have assisted the authors to arrive on the results and
167 discussion of addressing the mismatched unit pitching observed during Y-indexing.

168
169 **3.1 Matching the Unit Pitching**
170

171 The example of mismatched unit pitching between the program set-up and the actual unit
172 pitch was shown on Fig. 7. Machine program refers to the drawing and ideal dimension of
173 the strip, while the strip has inconsistent response that was induced by the assembly
174 process steps that causes the strips to expand or retract.

175
176 Changing the value of unit pitching to match with the actual unit pitching of the strip resolves
177 the potential offset cut issue. For example, the unit pitch at package outline assembly
178 document as well as the machine set up was at 2.750 mm. However, 12 microns were
179 needed to match the actual unit pitching of the strip. The unit pitching machine set-up should
180 be added with 12 microns which results to 2.762 mm. Any value can be inputted on the
181 machine as the unit pitching. Fig. 9 shows that hairline was already aligned with the saw
182 street of the strip from first to last cut line after adjustment of parameter settings.
183



184
185
186 **Fig. 9. Matched unit pitching after parameter adjustment**
187

188 Matching the actual unit pitching of the strip to the machine program set-up have been
189 verified to be effective approach to avoid offset cuts. Verification of y-indexing together with
190 x-indexing at first cut line is essential to entrap and adjust the parameters as needed.
191

192 **4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**
193

194 With the study conducted, it has been found out that the occurrence of units affected with
195 gross misaligned cut was brought about by the mismatched unit pitching between the actual
196 strip and machine program. Frequent manual adjustment of hairline to compensate with the
197 actual unit pitching was performed which is tedious and risky to aggravate the occurrence of
198 cutting misalignment.
199

200 Actual unit pitching of the strip mismatches with the program due to the expansion and
201 retraction brought about by series of assembly processes before the strip reaches
202 singulation process. It was also observed that mismatched unit pitching occurs per batch of
203 processing. However, mismatched unit pitching can be entrapped upon proactive approach
204 to verify the Y-indexing of the strip before proceeding with singulation. Mismatched unit
205 pitching can be corrected through adjustment of unit pitching at the program set-up to match
206 the actual unit pitching of the strip instead of frequent assistance done during processing of
207 lots.
208

209 Through the data collected with the study, the authors concluded that y-indexing verification
210 is essential to be performed as a proactive approach that can prevent gross misaligned cut
211 units brought about by the mismatched unit pitching. Tedious assistance to adjust hairline
212 was also avoided as unit pitching was aligned as early as the first strip cut line was verified.
213

214 It is highly recommended to include the Y-indexing verification together with the X-indexing
215 verification that was performed on the first cut line of the strip at singulation. Unit pitching
216 adjustments with the program are important in order to match the actual unit pitching with the
217 hairline. The proactive approach recommended by the authors have also maximized the
218 equipment capability and error-proofing solution without acquiring investment that supports
219 the high-volume manufacturing performance of the assembly plant.

220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270

For future works, reliability due to mismatch of thermal expansion could be studied. Studies could be explored and considered on constitutive behaviour and life evaluation of solder joint under the multi-field loadings, thermal fatigue life of Sn–3.0 Ag–0.5 Cu solder joint under temperature cycling coupled with electric current, and mechanics-based acceleration for estimating thermal fatigue life of electronic packaging structure. Lastly, works and studies in [8-11] are helpful in realizing a robust assembly manufacturing process.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to extend appreciation to the Operations 1 Assembly Pre-Production Group (PPG) team, the New Product Development & Introduction (NPD-I) team, and the Management Team (MT) for the continuing support. The authors would like to acknowledge their team for the support and help in data gathering and validation activities that were vital in the study. The authors would also like to thank their superiors for the support and encouragement to produce the technical paper that can be used as reference for future works.

REFERENCES

1. Zainuddin I. An introduction of strip chopping cut method to establish a robust strip based dicing process on tape dicing concept. IEEE 38th International Electronics Manufacturing Technology Conference (IEMT), Malaysia. 2018;1-7.
2. Salcedo MR, et al. Enhanced die attach process defect recognition on QFN leadframe packages. Journal of Engineering Research and Reports. 2021;20(3);92-96.
3. Chan YK, et al. Image based automatic defect inspection of substrate, die attach and wire bond in IC package process. International Journal of Advances in Science, Engineering and Technology. 2018;6(4);53-59.
4. Sumagpang Jr. A, et al. Leadframe design enhancement for elimination of burrs at singulation process. Journal of Engineering Research and Report. 2020;15(4);12-16.
5. Cabading Jr. P, et al. Systematic approach in testing the viability of mechanical partial-cut singulation process towards tin-plateable sidewalls for wettable flank on automotive qfn technology. IEEE 18th Electronics Packaging Technology Conference (EPTC), Singapore, 2016;254-258.
6. Caggiano A, et al. Machine learning-based image processing for on-line defect recognition in additive manufacturing. CIRP Annals. 2019;68(1);451-454.
7. Rodriguez R and Gomez FR. Incorporating package grinding process for QFN thin device manufacturing. Journal of Engineering Research and Reports. 2020;9(2);1-6.
8. Eng TC, et al. Methods to achieve zero human error in semiconductors manufacturing. IEEE 8th Electronics Packaging Technology Conference (EPTC). Singapore. 2006;678-683.
9. Sumagpang Jr. A and Rada A. A systematic approach in optimizing critical processes of high density and high complexity new scalable device in MAT29 risk production using state-of-the-art platforms. Presented at the 22nd ASEMEP Technical Symposium, Philippines; June 2012.
10. Dinglasan J and Gomez FR. Die attach process robustness through epoxy pattern optimization for DFN device. Journal of Engineering Research and Reports. 2021;20(5);59-63.
11. Buenviaje Jr. S, et al. Process optimization study on leadframe surface enhancements for delamination mitigation. IEEE 22nd Electronics Packaging Technology Conference (EPTC). Singapore. 2020;95-100.