

OPTIMIZATION OF THE OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS FOR LOW-HEAD PELTON WHEEL TURBINE DEVELOPED FOR POWER GENERATION

Abstract

In a bid to optimize the performance of a Pelton Wheel Turbine developed the performance evaluation of the turbine at various conditions was carried out using a portion of the overflow from the University of Ilorin (UNILORIN) dam. The collected overflow has a net head of 4m, flow rate of $0.017\text{m}^3/\text{sec}$. and theoretical hydropower energy of 668W. The turbine was tested and the optimized value of operating conditions namely; angle of inclination (15° above tangent, tangential and 15° below tangent), height to impact point (200mm, 250mm and 300mm) and length to impact point (50mm, 100mm and 150mm) were pre-set at their various levels. The measured outputs were Turbine Speed, Turbine Torque, Alternator Speed as well as the output voltage. The optimum values of the process output or measured parameters were determined statistically using a $3^3 \times 2$ factorial experiment in three replicates. The optimum Turbine speed (538.38 rpm) in off load condition was achieved at 250mm height to impact point, 150mm length to impact point and angle at tangential inclination. Similar combination also yielded an optimum turbine torque of 46.16kNm. The optimum Turbine speed (392.02rpm) in on-load condition was achieved at 250mm height to impact point, 150mm length to impact point and angle at tangential inclination. Similar combination also yielded an optimum Turbine Torque of 36.46kNm, optimum Alternator speed of 1768.56rpm and an optimum output voltage of 7.87V. The results therefore show that the turbine must be set at 250mm height to impact point, 150mm length to impact point and the water jet at a tangential flow for it to perform optimally.

Key words: Micro hydropower, Pelton wheel turbine, Optimization, Power generation, Dam overflow

1. INTRODUCTION

Hydropower plants makes use of the energy developed by moving water from sources such as the ocean, rivers and waterfalls to move vane-like blades in a turbine which turns a shaft connected to a generator. The generator has a powerful electromagnet (a rotor) which is turned inside a coil of copper bars (a starter). This produces an electromotive force or the process of exciting electrons to jump from atom to atom. When electrons flow along a wire or other conductor, jumping from atom to atom, they create an electric current or a flow of electricity. The first hydropower supply station in Nigeria is at Kainji on the river Niger where the installed capacity is 836MW with provisions for expansion to 1156 MW. A second hydropower station on the Niger is at Jebba with an installed capacity of 540 MW. An estimate by Aliyu and Elegba [2] for rivers Kaduna, Benue and Cross River (at Shiroro, Makurdi and Ikom, respectively) put their total capacity at about 4,650 MW. Estimates for the rivers on the Mambila Plateau are put at 2,330MW. The foregoing assessment is for large hydro schemes which have predominantly been the class of schemes in use prior to the oil crisis of 1973. Since that time, however, many developed and developing countries have opted for small scale hydropower with appreciable savings made over the otherwise alternative to crude oil. It should be noted that hydropower plants that supply electrical energy between the range of 15kW to 15MW are mini-hydro while those supplying below 15kW are normally referred to as micro-hydro plants [3]. Indeed, small scale (both micro and mini) hydropower systems possess so many advantages over large hydro systems, which includes ease of setting up, low maintenance requirement, less skilled operators required and the problems of topography is minimal. In effect, small hydropower systems can be set up in all parts of the country so that the potential energy in the large network of rivers can be tapped and converted to electrical energy. In this way the nation's rural electrification projects can be greatly enhanced. Hydropower has been regarded as the ideal fuel for

electricity generation because, unlike the non-renewable fuels used to generate electricity, it is almost free, there are no waste products, and hydropower does not pollute the water or the air. However, it is criticized because it does change the environment by affecting natural habitats and large hydropower schemes have been seen as a weapon of mass destruction in case of failure or attack during war. Furthermore, the estimated long-term power demand of Nigeria was 25GW for the year 2010 to sustain industrial growth [4]. The Power Holding Company of Nigeria (PHCN, as it was then called) has an installed capacity of only 6GW, out of which less than 2.5GW is the actual available output. Of this, thermal plants provide 61%, while hydropower generation is about 31% [5]. Developing micro hydropower could therefore be a solution to the inadequate power supply from the national grid especially to rural areas. It can as well be a key driver in rural development programs. Recently, a lot of researchers have developed several PeltonWheels, a compilation is shown in table 1.

Table 1: Some Pelton turbine studies and a few of the Outputs.

Investigator	Flow Rate (m ³ /Sec)	Net Head (m)	Runner Speed (rpm)
Panagiotopoulos et al. [6]	-	100	1000
Panthee et al. [7]	0.05	53.9	600
Pudasaini et al. [8]	0.09218	80.85	600
Solemslie and Dahlhaug [9]	-	70	-

Due to the expected limitations pertaining publication of results concerning turbines from commercial companies, one of the goals of this research paper was to establish optimized conditions for running a Pelton wheel turbine because even though this is a well-established turbine technology, there are still so many unanswered questions regarding design and optimization especially when used at low heads. Also, this research aims to compare the optimized output with the references from Table 1. Even though, some of the results in Table 1 may have also depended on the laboratory settings and other controls of design parameters; the results still indicate room for further performance improvement. Thus, further development is still relevant today. Furthermore, according to Tilahun [10], a design and optimization studies that evaluates and establish the links between the size of the runner and the flow field characteristics is needed.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 The University of Ilorin (Unilorin) Dam

Figure 1 shows the pictorial view of the University of Ilorin (UNILORIN) dam. it was commissioned in 2007 primarily for water supply; it is located on the Oyun River. The Dam is a zoned earth fill embankment with an ogee-shaped concrete spillway. The intake for water supply and the low lift pumping station are located on the wing wall. According to Oyebode [12], the dam Overflow has a net head of 4m, a flow rate of $1.7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$ approximately 667W theoretically available hydropower.



Figure 1: Pictorial View of Unilorin Dam

Source: [11]

2.2 The Pelton Wheel Turbine Used

Table 2 is a summary of the specifications of the Pelton wheel, head of water (h), discharge (q) and the theoretically available hydropower from the portion of the dam overflow used for the experiment.

Table 2. Summary Table for Pelton Wheel Turbine.

S/N	PARAMETER	DIMENSION
1.	Hydraulic Head (H_n)	4.0m
2.	Flow Rate (q)	$1.7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$
3.	Available Power	667 Watts
4.	Rotational Speed (rpm)	1500 rpm
5.	Absolute Velocity of Water Jet (c_1)	8.50 m/s
6.	Optimal Jet Diameter (d)	51mm
7.	Optimal Peripheral Velocity	4.0m/s
8.	Pitch Circle Diameter (D)	0.26m
9.	Bucket Width (b)	163.2mm
10.	Bucket height (h)	137.7mm
11.	Cavity Length (h_1)	17.5mm
12.	Length to Impact Point (h_2)	76.5mm
13.	Bucket depth (t)	45.9mm
14.	Cavity Width (a)	61.2mm
15.	Offset of Bucket (k)	8.7mm

Source: [13]

2.2.1 Other Components of the Hydropower Generator

The nozzle is made up of a galvanized steel pipe with an inlet radius of 100mm and an outlet radius of 50mm. It receives the flowing water and discharges it at a higher velocity to the turbine. It has been designed in a way that allows it to be raised upward and downward, it could also be moved forwards and backwards towards the Pelton wheel cup, it can as well be inclined at varying inclination. The alternator used was a second hand 12V diesel engine alternator. A survey of similar brands revealed that the alternators are rated 650 watts and run between 1000 and 1500 rpm.

2.3 Experimental Factors and Statistical Analysis

The operating conditions manipulated were angle of inclination of the water jet (15° above tangent, tangential, and 15° below tangent), Height of the water jet to Impact Point (200mm, 250mm and 300mm), and Length of the water jet to impact point (50mm, 100mm and 150mm). The effect of these process parameters on the various outputs were investigated under two different conditions (off-load and on-load). The off-load implies that the turbine was left to run without attaching the alternator while the on-load condition implies that the alternator had been connected to the Turbine by means of a belt and pulley system. The pulley system was designed to deliver the rotational speed at the rate of 1:6.



Figure 2: Pictorial view of the Pelton Wheel Turbine

The performance of the turbine was evaluated using a $3^3 \times 2$ (three factors and three levels under two conditions) factorial experimental design. Table 3 shows the factorial experimental design layout used.

Table 3. Design Layout for Treatment Combination

S/N	FACTORS		LEVELS	
1	H (mm)	200	250	300
2	V (mm)	50	100	150
3	I	15° above tangent	Tangential	15° below tangent
4	C	Off-load	On-Load	

KEY

- H - Height of water jet to impact point
- V - Length of water jet to impact point
- I - Inclination of water jet to impact point
- C - Condition of the Turbine

2.4 Measured Parameters

2.4.1 Speed

The speed was measured using a tachometer. The nob of the tachometer was placed at the punched center of the shaft and the readings were recorded. The Tachometer used was a contact type and it was manufactured by Fisons. The model is TAF – 420 – K and it has a capacity of 100,000 rpm.

2.4.2 Output Voltage

The output voltage was measured using a D.C. Multimeter. It was manufactured by Fison. The model is DT9205M and it has a capacity of 1000V

2.4.3 Torque

The turbine torque was measured using a hand-held Shimpo FG-7000T-3 Digital Torque Meter

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Descriptive Statistics

Table 4 shows the summary statistics of the data collected during the Experiment. It can be inferred from the table that the mean values of Turbine speed vary depending on the operation parameters being employed. Variations in Turbines speed also occurred along the levels of operation parameter. Similar pattern was observed for all other output namely, Turbine Torque, Alternator Speed and Output voltage. These may suggest that process parameter manipulated does not have same effect on the output/responses.

Table 4: Descriptive Statistics of Speed of Turbine and Torque using the Various Operation Parameter

Operation Parameter	Level	Turbine Speed		Turbine Torque		Alternator Speed		Output Voltage	
		Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Condition	Off Load	428.38	55.54	36.73	4.76				
	On Load	301.28	38.88	28.00	3.63				
Inclination (I)	15° above	350.31	77.65	31.08	5.94	1304.07	173.73	6.02	0.80
	Tangential	400.57	76.36	35.54	5.49	1490.44	108.63	6.88	0.50
	15° below	343.61	73.80	30.48	5.59	1283.19	154.74	5.92	0.72
Height to Impact Point (V)	200mm	345.89	72.81	30.69	5.50	1292.74	151.44	5.97	0.70
	250mm	342.20	73.91	30.36	5.60	1275.26	156.81	5.89	0.72
	300mm	406.41	76.62	36.05	5.47	1509.70	100.11	6.97	0.46
Length to Impact Point (H)	50mm	345.85	75.71	30.67	5.76	1285.15	156.73	5.93	0.72
	100mm	397.43	77.45	35.26	5.62	1484.96	119.06	6.85	0.55
	150mm	351.22	76.90	31.16	5.88	1307.59	171.30	6.03	0.79

3.2 Effect of Operation Parameters on Turbine Speed and Turbine Torque for Off-load Condition

Turbine Speed

Table 5 shows the effect of angle of inclination, height to impact point and length to impact point pre-set at various levels on Turbine speed under Off-load condition. The results show that the pre-set levels of the three process parameters was statistically significant at 5% level. The hypothesis of equal Turbine Speed irrespective of the operation parameters was therefore rejected. This means that variations observed in Turbine Speed as recorded in Table 4 were due to effect of the operation parameters and not by chance occurrence.

To determine the differences in the angle of inclination, height to impact point and length to impact point on mean effect of Turbine Speed, New Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was conducted (Table 6). The result of the comparison of Turbine Speed among the three levels of angle of inclination (15° above, tangential and 15° below) revealed that the observed means of Turbine Speed are significantly different from one level to the other. Turbine Speed observed at tangential level (470.33rpm) was significantly higher than Turbine speed observed at 15° above and 15° below tangent respectively. Turbine Speed observed at 15° above tangent (411.48rpm) was significantly higher than Turbine Speed recorded at 15° below tangent (403.33rpm). At 300mm height to impact point, a Turbine speed of 477.44rpm was observed and this was significantly higher than the Turbine speed observed at 250 mm and 200 mm respectively. Turbine speed observed at the later levels (250 mm and 200 mm) are the same on the average. A higher Turbine speed of 466.74 rpm was observed at 100 mm Length to Impact Point. This value was significantly higher than Turbine speed observed at 150 mm and 50 mm respectively.

Table 5: Effect of Operation Parameter on Turbine Speed in Off-load Condition

Source	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig
A	72170.40	2	36085.20	876.43	0.001*
B	97598.32	2	48799.16	1185.23	0.001*
C	59878.54	2	29939.27	727.16	0.001*
A * B	5762.20	4	1440.55	34.99	0.001*
A * C	1549.53	4	387.38	9.41	0.001*
B* C	3362.72	4	840.68	20.42	0.001*
A*B*C	4258.10	8	532.26	12.93	0.001*
Error	2223.33	54	41.17		
Total	246803.14	80			

*A=Inclination, B=Height to Impact Point, C=Length to Impact Point, *=Significant @ 5%*

Table 6: Comparing the mean values of Turbine Speed using Duncan Multiple Range Test

Factor	Level	Turbine Speed
Inclination	15° above	411.48a
	Tangential	470.33b
	15° below	403.33c
Height to Impact Point	200mm	405.30a
	250mm	402.41a
	300mm	477.44b
Length to Impact Point	50mm	406.89a
	100mm	466.74b
	150mm	411.52a

Means with the same alphabet are not significantly different from each other

Turbine Torque

The result of the effect of operation conditions on Turbine Torque is presented on Table 7. It can be observed that all the process conditions and their interactions had significant effect on Turbine Torque before load application at 5% level of significance. This implies that each operation conditions independently influenced Turbine Torque and also had combined effect on the Turbine Torque. It can therefore be concluded from the foregoing that at least one treatment effect is significantly different from the others. Table 8 shows the comparisons between the different levels of the process condition using the New Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT). In comparing the means of Turbine Torque at the three levels of angle of inclination considered in the study for the Pelton Wheel Turbine, it was observed that

the highest mean Turbine Torque of 40.33kNm was obtained at tangential level, while the lowest Turbine Torque of 34.58kNm and 35.29kNm were observed at 15° below and 15° above tangent respectively. Tangential level of angle of inclination had significantly higher Turbine Torque than both 15° below and 15° above tangent. A Turbine Torque of 40.93kNm was observed at 300mm height to impact point which is significantly higher than Turbine Torque observed at 250mm (34.51kNm) and 200mm (34.76kNm) respectively.

Table 7: Effect of Operation Parameter on Turbine Torque in Off-load Condition

Source	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig
A	530.85	2	265.42	854.84	0.001*
B	713.20	2	356.60	1148.50	0.001*
C	438.56	2	219.28	706.23	0.001*
A * B	42.29	4	10.57	34.05	0.001*
A * C	11.45	4	2.86	9.22	0.001*
B* C	24.41	4	6.10	19.66	0.001*
A*B*C	31.65	8	3.96	12.74	0.001*
Error	16.77	54	0.31		
Total	1809.18	80			

*A=Inclination, B=Height to Impact Point, C=Length to Impact Point, *=Significant @ 5%*

Table 8: Comparing the mean values of Turbine Torque using Duncan Multiple Range Test

Process Parameter	Level	Turbine Torque
Inclination	15° above	35.29a
	Tangential	40.33b
	15° below	34.58a
Height to Impact point	200mm	34.76a
	250mm	34.51a
	300mm	40.93b
Length to Impact	25mm	34.89a
	75mm	40.01b
	125mm	35.29c

Means with the same alphabet are not significantly different from each other

3.3 Effect of Operating Parameters on Process Output for On-load Condition

Turbine Speed

Table 9 shows the effect of operating parameters on the turbine speed at on-load condition, it can be observed that angle of inclination, height to impact point and length to impact point had significant effect on Turbine Speed when the Turbine is on load at 5% level of significance. The interactions between these process parameters also had significant effect on Turbine Speed at 5% level of significance. This implies that at least one level of the process conditions manipulated is significantly different from the others. Table 10 compares the mean of Turbine speed along the levels of angle of inclination, height to impact point and length to impact point for both Turbines. For the Pelton Wheel Turbine, the tangential level of angle of inclination had a significantly higher Turbine speed of 330.81rpm compared to a Turbine speed of 289.15rpm and 283.89rpm observed at 15° above and 15° below tangent respectively.

A Turbine speed of 335.37rpm was observed at 300mm height to impact point. This value was statistically higher than those observed at 250mm and 200mm height to impact point respectively.

At 100mm length to impact point, highest Turbine speed (328.11rpm) was observed which thereafter decreases to 290.93rpm at 150mm length to impact point.

Table 9: Effect of Operation Parameter on Turbine Speed in On-load Condition

Source	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
A	35692.32	2	17846.16	2144.72	0.001*
B	47327.43	2	23663.72	2843.86	0.001*
C	29651.88	2	14825.94	1781.75	0.001*
A * B	3533.16	4	883.29	106.15	0.001*
A * C	857.38	4	214.35	25.76	0.001*
B* C	2023.16	4	505.79	60.79	0.001*
A*B*C	1375.80	8	171.98	20.67	0.001*
Error	449.33	54	8.32		
Total	120910.47	80			

*A=Inclination, B=Height to Impact Point, C=Length to Impact Point, *=Significant @ 5%*

Table 10: Comparing the mean values of Turbine Speed using Duncan Multiple Range Test

Factor	Level	Turbine Speed
Inclination	15° above	289.15a
	Tangential	330.81b
	15° below	283.89c
Height to Impact	200mm	286.48a
	250mm	282.00b
	300mm	335.37c
Length to Impact	50mm	284.81a
	100mm	328.11b
	150mm	290.93c

Means with the same alphabet are not significantly different from each other

Turbine Torque

Table 11 shows the effect of angle of inclination, height to impact point and length to impact point preset at various levels, on Turbine Torque at On-load condition. The results show that the preset levels of the three process parameters was statistically significant at 5% level. The hypothesis of equal Turbine Torque irrespective of the process parameters was therefore rejected. This means that variances earlier observed in Turbine Torque in Table 4 above were actually due to effect of the process parameters namely, angle of inclination, height to impact point and length to impact point.

Table 12 shows the comparisons between the different levels of angle of inclination, height to impact point and length to impact point using the New Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Table 12 shows that a mean Turbine Torque of about 30.76kNm was observed at the tangential angle of inclination. This value was significantly higher than Turbine Torque observed at 15° above and 15° below tangent respectively. Turbine Torque observed at 15° above tangent (26.87kNm) was statistically the same on the average with Turbine Torque recorded at 15° below tangent (26.37kNm). At 300mm height to impact point, a Turbine Torque of 31.17kNm was observed and this was significantly higher than the Turbine

Torque observed at 250mm and 200mm respectively. Turbine Torque observed at the later levels (250mm and 200mm) is the same on the average.

A higher Turbine Torque of 30.51kNm was observed at 100mm Length to Impact Point. This value was significantly higher than Turbine Torque observed at 150mm and 50mm respectively.

Table 11: Effect of Operation Parameter on Turbine Torque in On-load Condition

Source	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
A	310.85	2	155.42	2252.10	0.001*
B	410.31	2	205.15	2972.72	0.001*
C	259.89	2	129.94	1882.90	0.001*
A * B	30.53	4	7.63	110.61	0.001*
A * C	7.58	4	1.89	27.46	0.001*
B* C	18.08	4	4.52	65.48	0.001*
A*B*C	12.03	8	1.50	21.78	0.001*
Error	3.73	54	0.07		
Total	1052.98	80			

*A=Inclination, B=Height to Impact Point, C=Length to Impact Point, *=Significant @ 5%*

Table 12: Comparing the mean values of Turbine Torque using Duncan Multiple Range Test

Factor	Level	Turbine Torque
Inclination	15° above	26.87a
	Tangential	30.76b
	15° below	26.37a
Height to Impact	200mm	26.62a
	250mm	26.21b
	300mm	31.17c
Length to Impact	50mm	26.46a
	100mm	30.51b
	150mm	27.03c

Means with the same alphabet are not significantly different from each other

Alternator Speed

Table 13 shows the effect of process parameters (angle of inclination, height to impact point and length to impact point) on Alternator Speed. The results show that variations observed in Alternator speed were significantly due to process parameters manipulated during the evaluation. The hypothesis of equal mean values of Alternator speed across all levels of process parameters was therefore rejected. This means that variations observed in Alternator speed during the performance evaluation was due to effect of changes in the level of process parameter manipulated or preset.

Table 14 compares the mean of Alternator speed along the three levels of angle of inclination, height to impact point and length to impact point for both Alternators. For the Pelton Wheel Turbine, the tangential level of angle of inclination had a significantly higher Alternator speed of 1490.44 rpm compared to an alternator speed of 1304.07rpm and 1283.19rpm observed at 15° above and 15° below tangent respectively. An Alternator speed of 1509.70rpm was observed at 300mm height to impact point. This value was statistically higher than those observed at 250mm and 200mm height to impact point respectively. At 100mm length to impact point, higher Alternator speed (1484.96 rpm) was observed which thereafter decreases significantly to 1307.59rpm at 150mm height to impact point.

Table 13: Effect of Operation Parameter on Alternator Speed in On-load Condition

Source	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
A	703139.95	2	351569.98	10105.45	0.001*
B	921084.54	2	460542.27	13237.73	0.001*
C	647009.65	2	323504.83	9298.75	0.001*
A * B	62815.60	4	15703.90	451.39	0.001*
A * C	15585.16	4	3896.29	111.99	0.001*
B* C	35467.23	4	8866.81	254.87	0.001*
A*B*C	30269.73	8	3783.72	108.76	0.001*
Error	1878.67	54	34.79		
Total	2417250.54	80			

*A=Inclination, B=Height to Impact Point, C=Length to Impact Point, *=Significant @ 5%*

Table 14: Comparing the mean values of Alternator Speed using Duncan Multiple Range Test

Factor	Level	Alternator Speed
Inclination	15° above	1304.07a
	Tangential	1490.44b
	15° below	1283.19c
Height to Impact	200mm	1292.74a
	250mm	1275.26b
	300mm	1509.70c
Length to Impact	50mm	1285.15a
	100mm	1484.96b
	150mm	1307.59c

Means with the same alphabet are not significantly different from each other

Output Voltage

Table 15 show that various process parameters examined had significant effect on the Output voltage of the two Turbines respectively at 5% level of significant. This implies that Output Voltage of the two turbines is dependent on at least one and /or all the process parameters preset at their various levels. It can therefore be safely concluded that all process parameters manipulated do not have the same effect on the output voltage of both Turbines understudy.

Table 16 shows the comparisons between the different levels of angle of inclination, height to impact point and length to impact point using the New Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Table 15 shows that a mean output voltage of about 6.88V was observed at the tangential angle of inclination. This value was significantly higher than output voltage observed at 15° above and 15° below tangent respectively. Output voltage observed at 15° above tangent (6.02V) was statistically higher on the average compared to output voltage recorded at 15° below tangent (5.92V). At 300mm height to impact point, a mean output voltage of 6.97V was observed and this was significantly higher than the output voltage observed at 250mm and 200mm respectively. Output voltage observed at the later levels (250mm and 200mm) are the same on the average. A higher output voltage of 6.85V was observed at 100mm Length to Impact Point. This value was significantly higher than output voltage observed at 150mm and 50mm respectively.

Table 15: Effect of Operation Parameter on Output Voltage in On-load Condition

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
A	14.97	2	7.49	9257.69	0.001*
B	19.61	2	9.81	12125.70	0.001*
C	13.82	2	6.91	8542.61	0.001*
A * B	1.35	4	0.34	416.80	0.001*
A * C	0.34	4	0.08	103.65	0.001*
B* C	0.76	4	0.19	234.12	0.001*
A*B*C	0.63	8	0.08	98.13	0.001*
Error	0.04	54	0.00		
Total	51.52	80			

*A=Inclination, B=Height to Impact Point, C=Length to Impact Point, *=Significant @ 5%*

Table 16: Comparing the mean values of Output Voltage using Duncan Multiple Range Test

Factor	Level	Turbine Speed
Inclination	15° above	6.019a
	Tangential	6.879b
	15° below	5.922c
Height to Impact	200mm	5.966a
	250mm	5.886b
	300mm	6.968c
Length to Impact	50mm	5.931a
	100mm	6.854b
	150mm	6.035a

Means with the same alphabet are not significantly different from each other

3.4. Optimization Analysis

Optimized Value of Process Conditions and the Output

Optimization is defined as the process of finding optimum (maximum or minimum) settings of parameters (process conditions) in the model in order to obtain a predefined output or response value.

The optimized value of process conditions namely, angle of inclination, height to impact point and length to impact point pre-set at their various levels and the optimum values of the process output or measured parameters are as presented in Table 17. The processes were optimized for both on load and off load.

Off-Load Condition

To optimize Turbine speed in off load condition, 250mm height to impact point, 150mm length to impact point and angle at tangential inclination will be required. This combination will yield an optimum Turbine speed of 538.38rpm. Similar combination will also yield an optimum Turbine Torque of 46.16kNm for the Pelton Wheel Turbine.

On-Load Condition

For the Pelton Wheel Turbine, Optimum Turbine speed of 392.02rpm was achieved at 250mm height to impact point, 150mm length to impact point and at tangential angle of inclination. Same operation combination will yield a Turbine Torque of 36.46kNm, and Alternator speed of 1768.56rpm and an output voltage of 7.87V.

Table 17: Optimized Values of Process Parameters and Output

	Parameters	H	I	V	Optimized value	Nature of Solution
Off-load	Turbine Speed	250mm	Tangential	150mm	538.38	Maximized
	Turbine Torque	250mm	Tangential	150mm	46.15	Maximized
On-load	Turbine Speed	250mm	Tangential	150mm	392.02	Maximized
	Turbine Torque	250mm	Tangential	150mm	36.46	Maximized
	Alternator Speed	250mm	Tangential	150mm	1768.56	Maximized
	Output Voltage	250mm	Tangential	150mm	7.87	Maximized

H=height to impact point, I=angle of inclination and V=length to impact point

3.5 Comparing results with that of other researchers.

As shown in Table 1, Pantheet.al. [7] got a runner speed of 600rpm with a net head of 53.9m and flow rate of 0.05m³/sec., Panagiotopouloset.al. [6] achieved a runner speed of 1000rpm with a net head of 100m, while Pdasainiet.al. [8] got 600rpm runner speed with 80.85m net head and 0.09218m³/sec flow rate. In this research on the other hand, a runner speed of 538.38rpm was gotten with a head and flow rate of only 4m and 0.017m³/sec. The research is therefore novel as there has been no researcher as much as we know who has made use of the Pelton wheel at such low head. This is also an indication of the possibility of adapting the Pelton Wheel to low head if the operational conditions are adequately optimized.

4. Conclusion

The operational condition for the optimal performance of a Pelton wheel was investigated. It was found out that same conditions; 250mm height to impact point, 150mm length to impact point and angle at tangential inclination gave the highest values in all measured parameters (Torque, Speed and Voltage) at both off-load and on-load condition. A direct proportionality was also observed between the alternator speed and the output voltage.

It is recommended that further research should be carried out on the optimization of nozzle sizes, number of Pelton wheel buckets, head, discharge, etc. on all the investigated parameters. Also, modelling of the system would make it easier to predict the effects of various conditions on the output.

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

5. References

- [1] Oyeboode, O. O. and Olaoye, J. O. (2019). "Development of Hydropower Turbines Powered by Dam Overflow" Arid Zone Journal of Engineering, Technology and

Environment”, Vol. 15, No. 3, Pp 560-573. <https://azojete.com.ng/index.php/azojete/article/view/36>

- [2] Aliyu, U.O. and Elegba, S. B., “Prospects for Small Hydropower Development for Rural Applications in Nigeria”, Nigerian Journal of Renewable Energy, Vol. 1, Number 1, 1990, pp. 74-86.
- [3] Sule, B. F., Salami, A. W., Bilewu, S. O., Adeleke, O. O., and Ajimotokan, H. A. “Hydrology of River Oyun and Hydropower Potential of Unilorin Dam, Ilorin, Kwara State”, New York Science Journal, Vol. 4, Number 1, 2011, pp. 1-10
- [4] Olayinka, S., Sunday, J. and Oluseyi, O. “Small Hydropower (SHP) Development in Nigeria: An Assessment” Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Vol. 15, Number 4, 2011, pp.2006-2013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.01.003>
- [5] Roselin, K., Kaisan, M. and Ahmad, T. “Potentials of Small Hydropower in Nigeria; The Current Status and Investment opportunities”, International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, Vol. 3, Number 5, 2012, pp. 1-5
- [6] Panagiotopoulos, A., Zidonis, A., Aggidis, G. A., Anagnostopoulos, J. S., and Papantonis, D. E. “Flow Modelling in Pelton Turbines by an Accurate Eulerian and a Fast Lagrangian Evaluation Method”, International Journal of Rotating Machinery, Vol. 2015, Number 1, 2015, pp. 1-16, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/679576>
- [7] Panthee, A., Thapa, B. and Neopane, H. P. “CFD Analysis of pelton runner”, International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Vol. 4, Number 8, 2014, pp.1-6
- [8] Pudasaini, S., Neopane, H. P. and Amod P. “Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) analysis of Pelton runner of Khimti Hydro-power Project of Nepal” Rentech Symposium Compendium, Vol 4, Number 1, 2014, pp. 91-94.
- [9] Solemslie B.W. and Dahlhaug, O. G. (2012). A reference Pelton turbine design. *Proceedings of the IAHR, 26th IAHR Symposium on Hydraulic Machinery and Systems, IOP Conf.*, Earth and Environmental Science, Beijing, China.
- [10] Tilahun N., Abraham E., and Edessa D. “Design, Modelling, and CFD Analysis of a Micro Hydro Pelton Turbine Runner: For the Case of Selected Site in Ethiopia”, International Journal of Rotating Machinery, Vol. 2017, Number 1, 2017, pp. 1-17, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/3030217>
- [11] Akoshile, C. O. and Olaoye, J. O. “Adapting Dedicated Hydro Dam for Electrical Power Generation - Unilorin Case Study”. A 2 – Day Seminar on Hydropower Resources: Development, Management and the Environment Organized by the National Centre for Hydropower Research and Development, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria, August 19 – 20, 2009, pp. 1-3
- [12] Oyebode, O. and Olaoye, J. “Optimization of the Operational Conditions for Crossflow Turbine Developed for Power Generation”, Journal of Energy Technologies and Policy, Vol. 8, Number 8, 2018, pp. 53-64. <https://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/JETP/article/view/45881>
- [13] Oyebode, O. and Olaoye, J. "Comparative Performance Evaluation of Pelton Wheel and Cross Flow Turbines for Power Generation", European Mechanical Science, Vol. 3, Number 1, 2019, pp. 6-12. <https://doi.org/10.26701/ems.449884>