

Evaluating the Irrigation Practice of Center Pivot Sprinkler Irrigation System at Hiwot Agricultural Mechanization, Ethiopia

ABSTRACT

Irrigation practice evaluation of center pivot sprinkler irrigation system at Hiwot Agricultural Mechanization farm, North/west Ethiopia was conducted. The aim of the study was evaluating the existing center pivot irrigation practice in terms of irrigation scheduling. Measuring flow rate of center pivot machines for existing irrigation practice and Crop water requirement based scheduling was used to evaluate the system. The highest value of crop water requirement at location m6, m7 and m12 was 5.24 mm/day in September at mid-stage and for location m4 and m8 in October at mid-stage equal to 4.99 mm/day. Whereas, the lowest crop water requirement at location m6, m7 and m12 was 2.52 mm/day in July at the initial stage which was and for location m4 and m8 in August at initial stage equal to 2.08 mm/day. The actual flow rate of center pivot machines varies from 0.7l/s for m7 to a maximum of 1l/s for m4 whereas estimated crop water requirement flow rate varies from 0.6l/s for m6 to a maximum of 0.8l/s for m4. **The study also revealed that the actual flow rate of the nozzles was excess. Therefore improvement of center pivot sprinkler irrigation system can be amended by using proper irrigation scheduling and by introducing an automatic control system.**

Keywords: *Irrigation practice, Center pivot, Irrigation scheduling, Crop water requirement*

1. INTRODUCTION

CROPWAT is a computer program for irrigation planning and management, developed by the land water development division of FAO. Its basic functions include the calculation of reference evapotranspiration, crop water requirements, and scheme irrigation. Though a daily water balance, the user can simulate various water supply conditions and estimate yield reductions and irrigation and rainfall efficiencies(1).

FAO-56 Penman-Monteith method was used by(2) for estimation of ETo for different center pivot machines. Crop water requirement is estimated using ETo values. Water requirement of crops under the command area of Hiwot agricultural mechanization was estimated by(3).

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) is an important row crop in Ethiopia. Ethiopia annually produces approximately 120,000 tons of cotton (Central Statistics Agency). Much of the cotton production in

35 Ethiopia is from small-scale farmers, who cultivate about 39,600 hectares annually. The total area under
36 cotton plantation by the private-owned enterprises is 54,000 hectares.

37 Hiwot Agricultural Mechanization (HAM) PLC is a private company established in 1999 and envisioned to
38 excel in agribusiness through mechanized farming methods. HAM has been engaged in the production of
39 industrial crops like cotton and sesame using mechanized farming system. Currently, the company is
40 implementing a modern center pivot irrigation projects chosen based on its merits in meeting the growing
41 market demand for agricultural products. Within the strategies to improve cotton yield, irrigation
42 scheduling is an important management practice that can help to obtain high yield (4).


43 Therefore, the study was proposed and executed with Specific objective of evaluating the existing
44 irrigation practice of the center pivot (CP) sprinkler irrigation system in terms of irrigation scheduling.

45 2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

46 2.1 Description of study area

47 The experiment was conducted at Hiwot agricultural mechanization (HAM) which is found in Northwest
48 Ethiopia.

49 HAM is located at a distance of 1350 km from Addis Ababa. The average elevation is about 673 m.a.s.l
50 and it lies between 13°16'30" and 13°50'30"N latitude and 36°36'30" and 37°44'30" E Longitude. The
51 water source for the irrigation system is from the Kaza River which is found in the Tekeze basin.



52
53 Figure 1. study area map (Prepared by Kasa m. and Pratap s.)

54 2.2 Sample size and technique

55 There were about 32 center pivot machines to irrigate 1730 hectare of land. The area to be irrigated by
56 different CP sprinkler irrigation machines varied from 20 to 70 ha. For this study only five machines were
57 used because these were only under operation for the season, those were m4 (50ha), m6 (30ha), m7
58 (40ha), m8 (50ha) and m12 (60ha). The machines used for the study included almost machines of all
59 sizes.

60 2.3 Estimation of Crop Water Requirement

61 Reference crop evapotranspiration was estimated by using CROPWAT 8.0 software based on the
62 formula of the Penman-Monteith method.

63

$$ET_o = \frac{0.408\Delta(Rn-G) + \gamma \frac{900}{T+273} U^2 (e_s - e_a)}{\gamma(1+0.34U^2)} \quad (1)$$

65

66

67

68 Where:

69 ET_o = reference crop evapotranspiration, mm day^{-1} ; Rn = net radiation at the crop surface, $\text{MJ m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}$;

70 G = soil heat flux density, $\text{MJ m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}$; T = mean daily air temperature at 2 m height, $^{\circ}\text{C}$;

71 U^2 = wind speed at 2 m height, m s^{-1} ; e_s = saturation vapor pressure, kPa ;

72 e_a = actual vapor pressure, kPa ; $e_s - e_a$ = saturation vapor pressure deficit, kPa ;

73 Δ = slope of the vapor pressure curve, $^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$; γ = psychrometric constant, $\text{kPa } ^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$

74 The monthly values of reference crop evapotranspiration (ET_o) were estimated from CROPWAT 8

75 software using mean monthly values of climatic data. The dominant crops grown in the region was cotton.

76 The crop water requirement for cotton crop was estimated from ET_o and crop coefficient (K_c) expressed as follows(5).

$$ET_c = K_c \times ET_o \quad (2)$$

79 Where:

80 ET_c = crop water requirement in mm per unit of time

81 K_c = crop coefficient

82 ET_o = reference crop evapotranspiration, in mm per unit time

83 Also, the gross depth of irrigation, net application depth per growing stage and effective rainfall were estimated from CROPWAT 8.0 software.

85 **2.2.1 Net irrigation requirement**

86 Net irrigation water requirement for the crop is the depth of water, which is exclusive of other water sources, such as effective precipitation, groundwater contribution.

88 The actual net irrigation water requirement of the study area was estimated using the method outlined by (6) for each month.

$$NIR = ET_c - Pe - Gw \quad (3)$$

91 Where NIR is the net irrigation water requirement of the crop, Pe is effective rainfall, and GW is groundwater contribution. However, the effect of groundwater contribution was assumed zero.

93 **2.2.2 Irrigation interval**

94 Irrigation interval is the frequency of applying irrigation water. The actual irrigation interval of the study area was determined for each month, growth stages, using equation 4.

$$I(\text{days}) = \frac{\text{net application depth}(\text{mm})}{\text{crop water requirement}(\frac{\text{mm}}{\text{day}})} \quad (4)$$

97

98 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

99 **3.1 Soil physical properties**

100 Table 1. Soil physical properties result

parameters												
s/n	sites	FC (%)				PWP (%)				TAW (%)	Bulk density g cm-3	soil texture
		soil sample depth(cm)				soil sample depth(cm)						
		0-30	30-60	60-90	Average	0-30	30-60	60-90	Average			
1	m4	42.66	43.12	44.98	43.59	28.69	27.99	35.69	30.8	12.80	1.2	clay loam
2	m6	42.5	43.5	44.2	43.40	28.3	28.5	33	29.9	13.47	1.1	clay loam
3	m7	43.1	42.9	43.8	43.27	29	27.2	33.4	29.9	13.40	1.2	clay loam
4	m8	42.91	43.4	44.1	43.47	27.6	28.4	31	29.0	14.47	1.2	clay loam
5	m12	43.1	43.7	43.6	43.47	28.1	29	32.2	29.8	13.70	1.1	clay loam
Average										13.57	1.15	

101

102 **3.2 Crop water requirement and scheduling**

103 Crop water requirement, net irrigation requirement, gross irrigation requirement, and irrigation interval were estimated for cotton crop for different
 104 crop growth stages such as initial, development, mid and late using CROPWAT software. The cotton crop at location m4 and m8 was sown on
 105 July 24, 2016, whereas at location m6, m7 and m12 the crop was sown on August 11, 2016. The duration for different crop growth stage periods
 106 were as follows.

107

Crop growth stage	initial	Development	Mid-season	Late season
Duration in days	20	20	60	40


108 Table 2.Stage wise cotton crop growing period

109

110 The required flow rate, daily crop water requirement was estimated for cotton crop using CROPWAT 8.0
111 software. But the actual applied water was beyond the required which leads to unnecessary losses.

112 The estimated daily ETo and ER (effective rainfall) values on a monthly bases are shown in Figure 2. The
113 ER was excess than ETo for July and August months only.

114



115

116 Figure 2.The monthly variation of ETo and effective rainfall

117 The actual flow rate of the nozzles was excess in all of the test machines as compared to the required
118 flow rate which has been estimated by crop water requirement as given in table3. Statistically, there is a
119 significant difference among all test machines for actual flow rate and estimated CWR flow rate for
120 (P<.05).

121 Table 3.Required nozzle flow rate and actual flow rate

CP machines	CWR, l/s	Actual flow rate l/s
m6	0.6	0.8
m12	0.7	0.9
m4	0.8	1
m8	0.75	0.87
m7	0.65	0.7

122 P-value

.01

123

124 The daily effective rainfall for initial and development stages was higher as compared with crop water
 125 requirement for location m6, m7 and m12, hence no irrigation was required (Table 4).

126 The irrigation interval varied from 1 day to 1.7 days depending on each stage of growth. The irrigation
 127 interval for initial and development stages was zero as no irrigation was required during these stages
 128 (Table 4).

129 The daily effective rainfall for the initial stage at locations m4 and m8 was higher as compared with crop
 130 water requirement, hence no irrigation was required during the initial stage (Table 5). The irrigation
 131 interval varied from 1.1 days up to 1.6 days for different stages of growth. There was no irrigation required
 132 for initial stage hence the irrigation interval was zero (Table 5).

133 Table 4. Crop water requirement and irrigation interval for m6, m7 and m12

Month	Decade	Stage	ETc mm/day	Eff rain mm/day	Net Req. mm/day	IRR. mm/day	Gross Req. mm/day	Irrg. day	Irrigation interval day
Jul	3	Init	2.52	3.11	0		0		0
Aug	1	Init	2.31	4.92	0		0		0
Aug	2	Deve	2.68	5.38	0		0		0
Aug	3	Deve	4.3	4.03	0.7		1.11		0
Sep	1	Mid	5.24	2.28	2.96		4.7		0.9
Sep	2	Mid	5.15	1.03	4.11		6.52		1.3
Sep	3	Mid	5.03	0.69	4.34		6.89		1.4
Oct	1	Mid	4.92	0.01	4.9		7.78		1.6
Oct	2	Mid	4.8	0	4.8		7.62		1.6
Oct	3	Mid	4.53	0	4.98		7.9		1.7
Nov	1	Late	4.12	0	4.12		6.54		1.6
Nov	2	Late	3.62	0	3.62		5.75		1.6
Nov	3	Late	3.33	0	3.33		5.29		1.6
Dec	1	Late	3.04	0	3.04		4.83		1.6
<i>P-value</i>			<i>.002</i>						<i>.06</i>

134

135

Month	Decade	Stage	ETc mm/day	Eff rain mm/day	Net Req. mm/day	IRR. mm/day	Gross Req. mm/day	Irrg. day	Irrigation interval day
Aug	2	Init	2.1	5.38	0		0		0
Aug	3	Init	2.08	4.03	0		0		0
Sep	1	Deve	2.35	2.28	0.06		0.09		0
Sep	2	Deve	3.5	1.03	2.47		3.69		1.1
Sep	3	Mid	4.66	0.69	3.97		5.93		1.3
Oct	1	Mid	4.99	0.01	4.98		7.43		1.5
Oct	2	Mid	4.87	0	4.87		7.27		1.5
Oct	3	Mid	4.6	0	5.06		7.55		1.6
Nov	1	Mid	4.32	0	4.32		6.45		1.5
Nov	2	Mid	4.05	0	4.05		6.04		1.5
Nov	3	Late	3.98	0	3.98		5.94		1.5
Dec	1	Late	3.75	0	3.75		5.6		1.5
Dec	2	Late	3.46	0	3.46		5.16		1.5
Dec	3	Late	3.27	0	3.6		5.37		1.6
Jan	1	Late	3.06	0	2.14		3.19		1

137 *P-value* .003 .07

138 The highest value of crop water requirement at location m6, m7 and m12 was 5.24 mm/day in September
 139 at mid-stage and for location m4 and m8 in October at mid-stage equal to 4.99 mm/day. Whereas, the
 140 lowest crop water requirement at location m6, m7 and m12 was 2.52 mm/day in July at the initial stage
 141 which was and for location m4 and m8 in August at initial stage equal to 2.08 mm/day.

142 Likewise(8) investigated that the variation of water need for cotton crop is dependent on cultivar, length
 143 of growing season, temperature, sunshine hours, the amount & distribution of rainfall and the
 144 characteristics of soil. The dynamics of water use pattern for cotton (with 160 days duration) shows that
 145 with the advancement in crop growth, the water use increases progressively from 2.5 mm/day in seedling
 146 stage, 2.5 to 6.2 mm/day from squaring to first bloom, and goes to a maximum of 6.2 to 10 mm/day in
 147 peak flowering and decreases to 5.1 mm/day thereafter during boll development and falls below 2.0
 148 mm/day during boll bursting stage.

149 The net irrigation water requirement of Dp 90 cotton variety for the m4 and m8 experimental site
 150 estimated using CROPWAT8 software was 467 mm. This amount includes the assumption of 75% of
 151 existing irrigation efficiency.

152 The net irrigation water requirement of Dp 90 cotton variety for the m6, m7 and m12 experimental site
 153 estimated using CROPWAT8 software was 409 mm. This amount includes the assumption of 75% of
 154 existing irrigation efficiency.

155

156 4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

157 Evaluation of irrigation practice for center pivot sprinkler irrigation is the best method to know the status of
158 irrigation system.

159 The system can operate under different rotational speeds. This makes it flexible to be changed according
160 to the crop water requirements.

161 According to the study the actual flow rate of the nozzles was higher for the tested machines as
162 compared to the required flow rate which has been estimated by crop water requirement, which creates
163 excess runoff.

164 Sustainable use of center pivot sprinkler irrigation system can be achieved by adjusting application depth
165 as per crop water requirement of each stage crop growth using appropriate scheduling and by making
166 functional the automatic control system. The sprinkler nozzles as well all the systems should be also
167 checked for blockages, wear and tear, and application rates.

168 **Conflicts of Interest.** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

169

170 REFERENCES

171 1. Yuan K, Chan W, Marcoulides GA, Bentler PM, Chan W, Marcoulides GA. Assessing structural
172 equation models by equivalence testing with adjusted fit indexes. 2016;23(3):319–30.

173 2. SAVVA AP, FRANKEN K. Crop Water Requirements and Irrigation Scheduling. Water resource
174 development management of FAO sub-regional of East South Africa, Harare. 2002.

175 3. Lingling Z, Jun XIA, Chong-yu XU, Zhonggen W. Evapotranspiration estimation methods in
176 hydrological models. 2013;23(2010):359–69.

177 4. Soler CMT, Edge F, Hoogenboom G. Determining irrigation scheduling for cotton and peanut
178 using cropping system models. 2007.

179 5. Smith M, Kivumbi D, Division WD. Use of the FAO CROPWAT model in deficit irrigation studies.
180 Food Agric Organ Rome, Italy. 2002;17–27.

181 6. Ewald SH, Abed SA. Crop water requirements and irrigation schedules for some major crops in
182 Southern Iraq. 2019.

183 7. Ioslovich I, Linker R, Civil F. Optimal deficit irrigation using aqua crop model. A methodology
184 study. 2014;6–10.

185 8. Sankaranarayanan K, Praharaj CS. Water management practices to Improve cotton production.
186 Cent Institute Cott Res Reg Station Coimbatore. 2007;171–82.

187