

1
2 **Physiological and biochemical changes under salinity and**
3 **drought stress in ricebean [*Vigna umbellata* (Thunb.)**
4 **Ohwi and Ohashi] seedlings**

5
6 **Kousik Atta^{1*}, P. Chetri¹ and A.K. Pal¹**

7
8 ¹Department of Plant Physiology,
9 Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur,
10 Nadia, West Bengal, India, 741252
11 Email:kousikatta1995@gmail.com

12 **ABSTRACT**

13 **Aims:** To study the effect of iso-osmotic potentials of drought and salinity during seedling growth stage in ricebean.

Study design: Completely randomised design.

Place and Duration of Study: The lab experiment was conducted during the year of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 in ricebean variety Bidhan 1 at Department of Plant Physiology, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal, India.

Methodology: For studying the effect of iso-osmotic potential of salinity and drought stress, the solutions of NaCl and PEG 6000 with -0.2, -0.4 and -0.8 MPa osmotic potential were used and the experiment was conducted in sand culture using modified Hoagland solution [1] under laboratory condition of diffused light, at around 80±1% relative humidity (R.H.) and at a temperature of 28±1°C.

Results: All the biochemical parameters under study, in general were adversely affected by the both stress with the effects being more drastic as the intensity of stress increased. The highest intensity of salinity stress was found to produce more adverse effects than drought in respect of RLWC, leaf chlorophyll as well as protein content in leaves of ricebean in the present experiment. While the content of soluble sugar, starch and phenol in the leaf were more drastically affected by drought stress.

Conclusion: The drought stress was found to register more drastic effects on seedling growth as compared to iso-osmotic potential of salinity stress, especially, at the highest intensity of stress in ricebean cultivar Bidhan 1.

14
15 *Key words: Ricebean, Salinity stress, Drought stress, Leaf Protein, Lipid peroxidation, Total*
16 *Phenol, Leaf starch and Total soluble sugar.*

* Tel.: +919749353554

E-mail address: kousikatta1995@gmail.com

17 **1. INTRODUCTION**

18

19 Salinity stress can affect plants initially by creating an osmotic stress then it induces ion
20 toxicity that lead to cyto-toxicity, metabolic impairment, nutrient imbalance and finally death
21 of the plant. Initially, the presence of salts in high concentration makes it very difficult for
22 plants to withdraw water from soil due to very low osmotic potential. In effect, the plants
23 suffer from a sort of osmotic stress which causes yield reduction. At the later stages of
24 stress, due to the absorption of sodium and chloride ions in high concentration plants suffer
25 from cyto-toxicity which result in reduction of growth, leaf burn and plant death. The
26 presence of high concentration of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ion also reduces the availability of other ions
27 like K⁺, Ca²⁺ thus, causing nutritional disorders [1].

28

29 Drought is a meteorological term that normally occurs under depleting soil moisture and the
30 intensity of drought increases under atmospheric conditions conducive to increased water
31 loss by transpiration and/ or evaporation. Water deficit is one of the major abiotic stresses,
32 which adversely affects crop growth and yield. These changes are mainly related to altered
33 metabolic functions, one of those is either loss of or reduced synthesis of photosynthetic
34 pigments, uptake and translocation of ion, carbohydrate biosynthesis, nutrient metabolism
35 and synthesis of growth promoters. These changes in the metabolic functions and synthesis
36 of photosynthetic pigments are closely associated with biomass production in plants [2]. A
37 common adverse effect of water stress on crop plants is the reduction in fresh and dry
38 biomass [3]. Plant productivity under drought stress is strongly related to the processes of
39 dry matter partitioning and temporal biomass distribution [4].

40

41 The present experiment has been designed to study the comparative effects of different
42 levels of salinity and drought stress on some physiological and biochemical parameters of
43 ricebean during seedling growth stage.

44

45 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

46

47 For studying the effect of salinity and drought stress on seedling growth of ricebean the
48 experiment was conducted in sand culture using modified Hoagland solution [5] under
49 laboratory condition of diffused light, at around 80±1% relative humidity (R.H.) and at a
50 temperature of 28±1°C. For this purpose, the seeds of ricebean cultivar Bidhan-1 were
51 surface sterilized with 0.1% (w/v) HgCl₂ for 3 minutes followed by thorough washing in
52 distilled water. Then the seeds were germinated for 48 hours at 28± 1°C using glass distilled
53 water. The pre-germinated seeds were then transferred to plastic beakers of capacity one
54 litre containing neutral sand. Five pre-germinated seeds were transferred to each beaker.
55 Finally three healthy seedlings were allowed to grow in each beaker. The seedlings were
56 grown in presence of full strength Hoagland solution prepared as per modification of [5] for
57 14 days. The nutrient medium was supplemented at 3 days interval. At the age of 14 days
58 the seedlings were subjected to salinity and drought treatments. For this purpose, the
59 appropriate amounts of NaCl and PEG 6000 calculated as per Sosa *et al.* [6] to obtain the
60 osmotic potential (Ψ) of -0.2, -0.4 and -0.8 MPa were mixed with modified Hoagland nutrient
61 solution. Thus, the drought and salinity stress with iso-osmotic potentials were created in the
62 present experiment. A control set having Ψ s equivalent to 0.0 MPa osmotic potential without
63 NaCl or PEG was also maintained similarly for comparison of results. Observations on
64 different growth and biochemical parameters were recorded 9 days after treatment
65 application.

66

67 The dry weight of seedlings was determined by harvesting the seedlings from the beaker at
68 9 days after treatment (DAT) and then drying in an oven at 80°C till constant weight. Before
69 that the fresh weight in each case was also recorded. The chlorophyll content in the leaves

70 was measured as per Arnon [7], while lipid peroxidation was estimated as per Heath and
71 Packer [8].Relative leaf water content (RLWC) was determined as per Perez et al. [9]. The
72 content of total phenol, soluble protein and total soluble sugar in the leaves of the seedlings
73 were estimated following the methods of McDonald et al. [10], Lowry et al. [11] and Yoshida
74 et al. [12] respectively.

75
76 The mean data in all the cases were subjected to statistical analysis following completely
77 randomised design using INDOSTAT version 7.1 software.

78 79 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

80
81 Analysis of variance indicated that the treatments registered significant differences among
82 them for seedling biomass. Perusal of data revealed that the total fresh weight of seedlings
83 significantly decreased (Table no-1) under all the treatments of drought and salinity stress as
84 compared to that of control. The level of such decrease was higher as the osmotic potential
85 of the growing medium decreased more. Earlier the adverse effects of salinity stress [13, 14]
86 and drought stress [15, 16] on seedling growth in legumes were reported by different
87 workers. Jeannette et al. [13] reported that total fresh weight of root and shoot of cultivated
88 accessions of cowpea was reduced with increased salt stress. Earlier Bibi et al. [17] in
89 sorghum and Khan et al. [18] in wheat reported that the fresh weight of seedling decreased
90 with an increase in PEG concentration. Amirjani [19] reported in the soybean plants which
91 were exposed to NaCl stress and increasing salinity level resulted in a reduction of fresh
92 weight. However, in the present experiment drought stress was found to produce more
93 adverse effects on total fresh weight of seedling of ricebean. Thus, the highest intensity (-
94 0.8MPa) of drought stress led to a reduction in seedling fresh weight by 37.89% over
95 unstressed control, whereas the reduction under the highest intensity of stress in case of
96 salinity treatment was 34.78% over that of control.

97
98 **Table-1. Effect of salinity and drought stress on seedling growth in ricebean cv.**
99 **Bidhan 1**

Treatments	Total fresh weight (gm)	Total dry weight (gm)
Control	1.286	0.041
50 mM NaCl	1.113	0.038
100 mM NaCl	1.044	0.035
200 mM NaCl	0.839	0.029
10% PEG	0.963	0.035
12% PEG	0.827	0.029
18% PEG	0.799	0.028
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.069	0.008

100

101

102 The dry weight of seedling also significantly decreased under all the treatments of drought
103 and salinity stress as compared to that of control (Table no-1). Like the fresh weight, the
104 level of such decrease in dry weight was also higher as the osmotic potential of the growing
105 medium decreased more. Earlier reduction in dry weight was reported by Anaytullah [20] in
106 rice and in cultivars of blackgram [16] under stress. Gamze [15] showed increasing PEG
107 concentration inhibited seedling fresh and dry weight in pea seedling. Bibi *et al.* [17] and
108 Khan *et al.* [18] reported in sorghum and wheat Seedling that dry weight showed a similar
109 trend and it decreased with increasing PEG. The total dry weight registered more adverse
110 effects of drought stress in comparison with salinity stress at iso-osmotic potentials. In the
111 present experiment, the highest intensity (-0.8MPa) of drought and salinity stress led to a

112 reduction of seedling dry weight by 27% and 32%, respectively over that of unstressed
113 control.

114
115

116 In the present experiment the effects of varying concentrations of NaCl and PEG on different
117 biochemical parameters of ricebean seedlings were studied. Analysis of variance exhibited
118 significant differences among the treatments for all the biochemical parameters under study.
119 The total chlorophyll content of leaf significantly decreased under all the treatments of
120 drought and salinity stress as compared to that of control, except for PEG 10 % where the
121 mean value slightly exceeded that of control. In all the other cases, the level of decrease in
122 chlorophyll content was higher as the intensity of stress increased (Table no-2). Earlier the
123 adverse effects of drought stress and salinity stress on chlorophyll content were reported by
124 Pratap and sharma [16], El-Sayed [21] and Aniat-ul-Haq [22]. They also concluded that such
125 decrease in chlorophyll content also resulted in decrease of biomass production. The total
126 chlorophyll content registered more adverse effects of salinity stress in comparison with
127 drought stress at iso-osmotic potentials. The mean values of total chlorophyll content in leaf
128 were found to be 2.36, 2.35 and 1.79 mg g⁻¹ fresh weight under 50, 100 and 200 mM NaCl
129 solutions, respectively. The corresponding values under 10, 12 and 18% PEG solutions were
130 2.89, 2.53 and 1.98 mg g⁻¹ fresh weight , respectively. The variety Bidhan 1 recorded 35.37
131 % and 28.51 % reduction in total chlorophyll content under a treatment of 200 mMNaCl and
132 18 % PEG producing an osmotic potential of -0.8 MPa, respectively, over that of control.

133
134

135 The starch content in the leaves of ricebean seedlings significantly decreased under all the
136 treatments of drought and salinity stress as compared to that of control (Table no-2). This
137 decrease in leaf starch might be attributed to decrease in photosynthetic pigment under
138 stress. Previously, Mohammadkhani and Heidari [23] observed in maize seedling that higher
139 amount of soluble sugars and a lower amount of starch in the leaves under drought stress
140 and concluded the increase in sugar concentration might be a result from the degradation of
141 starch. The level of such decrease was higher as the osmotic potential of the growing
142 medium decreased more. The drought stress was found to produce more adverse effects on
143 total content of leaf starch of ricebean in the present experiment. The variety Bidhan-1
144 recorded 66.56 % and 68.89 % reduction in starch content in 200 mMNaCl and 18 % PEG
145 producing an osmotic potential of -0.8 MPa, respectively, over that of control.

146
147
148

Table 2. Effect of salinity and drought stress on contents of chlorophyll, starch and total soluble sugar in the leaves of ricebean cv. Bidhan 1

Treatments	Chlorophyll ^a	Starch ^b	Sugar ^c
Control	2.77	662.74	83.23
50 mM NaCl	2.36	577.94	111.46
100 mM NaCl	2.35	323.54	83.89
200 mM NaCl	1.79	221.78	75.56
10% PEG	2.89	515.32	108.75
12% PEG	2.53	302.67	81.60
18% PEG	1.98	206.13	43.55
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.50	21.63	9.38

149 ^a Data expressed as mg g⁻¹ fresh weight

150 ^b Data expressed as mg g⁻¹ dry weight

151 ^c Data expressed as mg g⁻¹ dry weight

152

153 In case of total soluble sugar content in leaf the lowest intensity of both salinity and drought
154 stress led to an, increase over unstressed control. Thus, the mean values of soluble sugar
155 content in leaf were found to be 111.46 and 108.75 mg g⁻¹ dry weight under 50 mM NaCl
156 and 10% PEG solution, respectively as against 83.23 mg g⁻¹ dry weight recorded under
157 unstressed control. This increase in sugar content under stress might contribute for osmotic
158 adjustment under stress-induced osmotic shock which was also proposed by Garg et al. [24]
159 and Munns [25]. However, as the stress intensity increased in the present experiment the
160 sugar content significantly decreased under all drought and salinity treatments (Table no-2).
161 The level of such decrease was higher as the osmotic potential of the growing medium
162 decreased more. Earlier the adverse effects of salinity stress [26] and drought stress [27, 28
163 and 29] on leaf sugar in legumes were reported by different workers. The drought stress was
164 found to produce more adverse effects on total content of sugar in leaf of ricebean in the
165 present experiment.
166

167
168 The leaf protein content significantly decreased under salinity stress, with the effect being
169 more adverse as the osmotic potentials decreased more (Table no-3). In contrast, the
170 content of soluble protein in leaf at PEG 10 % and 12% treatment increased over control by
171 21.45 and 6.29 % respectively. While at 18% PEG solution creating -0.8 MPa osmotic
172 potential the content decreased by 48.63% as compared to unstressed control. However, the
173 adverse effects of salinity and drought stress on protein in legumes were reported by Verma
174 et al. [26] and Bhardwaj et al. [30]. El-Sayed [21] showed that salinity stress reduced the
175 protein content in the leaves and increased the accumulation of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ in leaf of
176 legume. Verma et al. [26] observed that salinity has an adverse effect on plants particularly
177 legumes, which were subjected to four different levels of salt stress treatments and leaf
178 chlorophyll content significantly decreased at the highest salinity stress. The leaf protein
179 content registered more adverse effects of salinity stress in comparison with drought stress
180 at the highest intensity of stress. Thus, the mean values of leaf protein were 57.66 and 84.42
181 mg g⁻¹ fresh weight at 200 mM NaCl and 18% PEG treatment, respectively.
182

183
184 The RLWC significantly decreased under all the treatments of drought and salinity stress as
185 compared to that of control (Table no-3). The level of such decrease was higher as the
186 osmotic potential of the growing medium decreased more. Such decrease in RLWC also
187 indicated the reduction in leaf water potential under osmotic shock in all cases of stress in
188 the present experiment (Table no-3). Thus, the corroborated well the early findings of Chen
189 et al. [31], Bhardwaj et al. [30] and Petrovic et al. [32]. Babu et al. [33] reported in blackgram
190 that there was a steady decrease in RLWC with an increase of stress level. Thus, here
191 salinity stresses of 50,100,200 mM recorded RLWC of 73.98, 70.91 and 62.70 %, respectively,
192 and in case of drought stress imposed by PEG dose 10%,12%,18% the seedlings recorded RLWC of 75.28, 70.67 and 64.92 %, RLWC, respectively. The salinity
193 stress was found to produce more adverse effects on RLWC of ricebean in the present
194 experiment at -0.08 MPa.
195

196
197
198 The extent of leaf membrane damage was measured by determining the level of lipid
199 peroxidation which in turn, was estimated as the content of thiobarbituric acid reactive
200 substances (TBARS). The level of lipid peroxidation was significantly increased under all the
201 treatments of drought and salinity stress (Table no-3). The level of such increase was higher
202 as the osmotic potential of the growing medium decreased more. Earlier the adverse effects
203 of salinity stress [34, 26] and drought stress [16] on lipid peroxidation in legumes were
204 reported by different workers. This indicated greater damage of leaf membrane under stress
205 leading to membrane leakiness. The drought stress exhibited more adverse effects than

206 salinity stress at low and medium stress levels in terms of lipid peroxidation, although at the
 207 highest intensity of stress the salinity stress was found to be more damaging. Thus, the
 208 mean values of lipid peroxidation were found to be 125.17, 128.45 and 147.12 μM of TBARS
 209 content g^{-1} fresh weight under 50, 100 and 200 mM NaCl, while the corresponding means
 210 under 10, 12 and 18% PEG were 134.00, 140.31 and 145.86 μM of TBARS content g^{-1} fresh
 211 weight, respectively.

212
 213
 214
 215
 216

Table 3. Effect of salinity and drought stress on soluble Protein, relative leaf water content (RLWC), lipid peroxidation and total phenol content in the leaves of ricebean cv. Bidhan 1

Treatments	Protein ^a	RLWC(%)	Lipid peroxidation ^b	Phenol ^c
Control	164.36	87.62	94.38	5.73
50 mM NaCl	136.67	73.98	125.17	4.80
100 mM NaCl	99.19	70.91	128.45	4.34
200 mM NaCl	57.66	62.70	147.12	3.09
10% PEG	199.62	75.28	134.00	3.86
12% PEG	174.70	70.67	140.31	2.84
18% PEG	84.42	64.92	145.86	2.41
C.D. (P=0.05)	12.08	7.63	12.87	0.76

217
 218
 219
 220
 221

^a Data expressed as mg g^{-1} fresh weight

^b Data expressed as μM of TBARS content g^{-1} fresh weight

^c Data expressed as mM of Gallic acid equivalent g^{-1} fresh weight

222 The content of total phenol in leaf significantly decreased under all the treatments of drought
 223 and salinity stress as compared to that of control. The level of such decrease was higher as
 224 the osmotic potential of the growing medium decreased more (Table no-3). Earlier the
 225 adverse effects of salinity stress [26] and drought stress [30] on phenol content in legumes
 226 were reported by different workers. The leaf phenol content registered lower means under
 227 drought stress in comparison with salinity stress at iso-osmotic potentials in the present
 228 experiment. The mean values under salinity dose of 50,100,200 mM NaCl were 4.80, 4.34,
 229 3.09 mM GAE g^{-1} fresh weight, respectively and in case of drought stress the mean values
 230 3.86, 2.84, 2.41 mM GAE g^{-1} fresh weight at PEG doses of 10%,12%,18%
 231 respectively(Table no-3). The drought was found to produce more adverse effects on phenol
 232 content than salinity stress in ricebean in the present experiment.

233
 234
 235
 236

4. CONCLUSION

237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245

From the present study, it might be concluded that in general, the drought stress was found to register more drastic effects on seedling growth as compared to iso-osmotic potential of salinity stress, especially, at the highest intensity of stress in ricebean cultivar Bidhan 1. The drought stress also exhibited more negative effects on content of starch, total soluble sugar and phenol content of leaf as well as on membrane structure. While the photosynthetic pigment content in leaf, RLWC and leaf protein was found to be more adversely affected by salinity stress. Thus, the drought and salinity stress were found to affect the growth and physiology of ricebean seedlings differently in the present experiment. The seedling growth of ricebean was found to be more sensitive to water deficit than salinity at iso-osmotic

246 potential. Presence of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions in the growing medium, at least at lower dose, could
247 help in osmotic adjustment of the cell under osmotic stress condition.

248

249 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

250

251 The authors acknowledge the assistance extended by AICRP on Forage Crops, Kalyani
252 Centre, West Bengal for supplying plant materials.

253 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

254

255 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

256

257 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

258

259 This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author KA managed the work,
260 wrote the paper and performed the statistical analysis. Author PC helped author KA during
261 the experiment. Author AKP planned the experiment and guided as and when required. All
262 authors read and approved the final manuscript.

263

264 **REFERENCES**

265

- 266 1. Marschner, H. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, 2nd ed.; Academic Press: London,
267 UK, 1995.
- 268 2. Jaleel CU, Manivannan P, Wahid A, Farooq M, Al-juburi HJ, Somasundaram R,
269 Panneerselvam R. Drought stress in plants: a review on morphological
270 characteristics and pigments composition. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 2009;11:100–105.
- 271 3. Farooq M, Wahid A, Kobayashi N, Fujita, D. and Basra, SMA. Plant drought stress:
272 effects, mechanisms and management. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 2009;29:185–212.
- 273 4. Kage H, Kochler M, Stutzel H. Root growth and dry matter partitioning of cauliflower
274 under drought stress conditions: measurement and simulation. *European J.*
275 *Agron.*2004;20:379–394.
- 276 5. Epstein E. Mineral nutrition of plants: Principles and Perspectives. John Wiley and
277 Sons, New York.1972
- 278 6. Sosa L, Lianes A, Reinoso H, Reginato M, Luna V. Osmotic and Specific Ion Effects
279 on the Germination of *Prosopisstrobilifera*. *Annals of Botany.*2005;96:261–267.
- 280 7. Arnon DI. Copper enzyme in isolated chloroplast polyphenol oxidase in *Beta*
281 *vulgaris*. *Plant Physiol.*1949;24:1-15.
- 282 8. Heath RL, Packer L. Photoperoxidation in isolated chloroplast. 1. Kinetics and
283 stoichiometry of fatty acid peroxidation. *Arch. Biochem.Biophys.*1968;12:189-198.
- 284 9. Perez NCM, Espinosa RG, Castaneda CL, Gallegos JAA, Simpson J. Water
285 relation, histopathology and growth of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) during
286 pathogenesis of *MacrophominaPhaseolina* under drought stress. *Physiol. Mol. Plant*
287 *Pathol.*2002;60:185- 195.
- 288 10. McDonald S, Prenzler PD, Autolovich M, Robards K. Phenolic content and
289 antioxidant activity of olive extracts. *Food Chem.*2001;73:73- 84.
- 290 11. Lowry OH, Rosebrogh NJ, Farr L, Randall RJ. Protein measurement with Folin
291 phenol reagent. *J.Biol.Chem.*1951;193:265- 275.
- 292 12. Yoshida S, Forno DA, Cock JH, Gomez KA. Laboratory Manual for Physiological
293 studies of Rice, 2nd edn. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos,
294 Philippines.1972.
- 295 13. Grieve CM, Suarez DL. Purslane (*PortulacaOleracea*L.): A Halophytic Crop for
296 Drainage Water Reuse Systems. *Plant and Soil.*1997;192:277–283.

- 297 14. Jeannette S, Craig R, Lynch JP. Salinity Tolerance of *Phaseolus* Species During
298 Germination and Early Seedling Growth. *Crop Science*.2002;42:1584-1594.
- 299 15. Gamze OKCU. Effects of Salt and Drought Stresses on Germination and Seedling
300 Growth of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) *Turk J Agric*.2005;29:237-242.
- 301 16. Pratap V, Sharma YK. Impact of osmotic stress on seed germination and seedling
302 growth in black gram (*Phaseolus mungo*).*Journal-of-Environmental*
303 *Biology*.2010;31:721-726.
- 304 17. Bibi H, Sadaqat A, Tahir MHN, Akram HM. Screening of sorghum (*Sorghum*
305 *bicolor* var *moench*) for drought tolerance at seedling stage in polyethylene glycol.
306 *The Journal Animal Plant Science*.2012;22:671-678.
- 307 18. Khan MI, Shabbir G, Akram Z, Shah MKN, Ansar M, Cheema NM, Iqbal MS.
308 Character association studies of seedling traits in different wheat genotypes under
309 moisture stress conditions. *SABRAO Journal of Breeding and*
310 *Genetics*.2013;45:458-467.
- 311 19. Amirjani MR. Effect of salinity stress on growth, mineral composition, proline
312 content, antioxidant enzymes of soybean. *American Journal of Plant*
313 *Physiology*.2010;5: 350-360.
- 314 20. Anaytullah, Bose B, Yadav RS. PEG induced moisture stress: screening for drought
315 tolerance in rice. *Indian J Plant Physiol*.2007;12(1):88-90.
- 316 21. El Sayed HEA. Influence of salinity (NaCl and Na₂SO₄) treatments on growth
317 development of broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.) plant. *American Eurasian Journal of*
318 *Agricultural and Environmental Sciences*.2011;10:600-610.
- 319 22. Aniat-ul-Haq, Vamil R, Agnihotri RK. Effect of Osmotic Stress (PEG) on Germination
320 and Seedling Survival of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* MEDIK.). *Research Journal of*
321 *Agricultural Sciences*.2010;1(3):201-204.
- 322 23. Mohammadkhani N, Heidari R. Drought-induced Accumulation of Soluble Sugars
323 and Proline in Two Maize Varieties. *World Applied Sciences Journal*.2008;3:448-
324 453.
- 325 24. Garg AK, Kim JK, Owens TG, Ranwala AP, Choi YD, Kochian LV, Wu RJ.
326 Trehalose accumulation in rice plants confers high tolerance levels to different
327 abiotic stresses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*.2002;99:15898-15903.
- 328 25. Munns R. Genes and salt tolerance: bringing them together. *New*
329 *Phytologist*.2005;167:645-663.
- 330 26. Verma SK, Chaudhary M, Prakash V. Study of the alleviation of salinity effect due to
331 enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants in *Glycine max*. *Research-Journal-of-*
332 *Pharmaceutical,-Biological-and-Chemical-Sciences*.2012;3:1177-1185.
- 333 27. Macar TK, Turan O, Ekmekci Y. Effects of Water Deficit Induced by PEG and NaCl
334 on NaCl on Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Cultivars and Lines at Early Seedling
335 Stages. *G.U. Journal of Science*.2009;22:5-14.
- 336 28. Zhang HX, Irving LJ, McGill C, Matthew C, DaoWei Z, Kemp P. The effects of
337 salinity and osmotic stress on barley germination rate sodium as an osmotic
338 regulator. *Annals of Botany*.2010;106:1027-1035.
- 339 29. Adele M, Maria S, Umberto A, Carmelo S, Albino M. Effect of PEG-induced drought
340 stress on seed germination of four lentil genotypes. *Journal of Plant*
341 *Interactions*.2014;9(1):354-363.
- 342 30. Bhardwaj J, Yadav SK. Comparative study on biochemical parameters and
343 antioxidant enzymes in a drought tolerant and a sensitive variety of horsegram
344 (*Macrotyloma uniflorum*) under drought stress. *American Journal of Plant*
345 *Physiology*.2012;7:17-29.
- 346 31. Chen CS, Xie ZX, Liu XJ. Dynamic transformation of the substances of osmotic
347 adjustment in winter wheat under iso-osmotic salt and drought stresses. *Bulletin*
348 *of Botanical Research*.2009;29(6):708-713.

- 349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
32. Petrović G, Jovičić D, Nikolić Z, Tamindžić G, Ignjatov M, Milošević D, Milošević B. Comparative study of drought and salt stress effects on germination and seedling growth of pea. *Genetika*.2016;48:373 -381.
 33. Babu K, Rosaiah G. A study on germination and seedling growth of Blcakgram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper) germplasm against Polyethylene glycol 6000 stress. *IOSR Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences (IOSR-JPBS)*.2017; 12:90–98.
 34. Dar ZM, Hemantaranjan A, Panday SK. Antioxidative response of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) to salt stress. *Legume-Research*.2007;30:57-60.