

## **Original Research Article**

### **Climate-Friendly Farming Self-Efficacy and Its Correlates among Secondary School Agricultural Science Students in Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.**

#### **ABSTRACT**

The Paper assessed self-efficacy of secondary school agricultural science towards climate-friendly farming. It specifically examined the influence that attitude towards climate-friendly farming, knowledge of climate change and ownership of household farms could have on the climate-friendly farming self-efficacy of the respondents. Correlation analysis, chi-square, percentages and composite index technique were applied to a set of primary data collected from 200 randomly sampled agricultural science students in 4 randomly selected schools in Uyo, AKS. Findings revealed that 52% of the respondents have high climate-friendly farming self-efficacy. The respondents had a positive attitudinal disposition towards climate-friendly farming. Most (48.5%) of the respondents had low knowledge of climate change. There is a need for stakeholders to translate the high climate-friendly farming self-efficacy observed among the respondents into climate-smart farming through a conscious effort at increasing their participation in practical farming activities both in school and home farms. There is a need to include climate change issues in secondary school curriculum to raise the knowledge level of the agricultural students on climate change. Students, upon the acquisition of this knowledge and skills, would help in the extension of innovative and efficient farming methods to their households and communities thereby complementing government efforts in the extension of modern and acceptable practices in farming.

**Keywords:** Climate-Friendly Farming, Self-Efficacy, Secondary school, Agricultural Science Students, Nigeria

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Climate change and agriculture are interrelated processes both of which take place on a global scale. Global warming is projected to have an impact on conditions affecting agriculture including temperature precipitation and glacial run-off. These conditions determine the carrying capacity of the biosphere to produce enough food for the human population and domesticated animal. Small-scale farmers are among the first to feel the impacts of climate change because of their greater dependence on the natural environment. Extreme climate variability (drought, floods and frost) can destroy the economies and welfare of poor rural families because they lack technologies, social protection mechanisms (such as benefits, insurance and savings) and adequate protection for their crops and animals [1; 2].

Few large farms and numerous household and other small farms including teaching and learning farms in schools and colleges characterize agriculture in Nigeria. Young farmers in secondary schools and colleges, always make effort, with guidance from their instructors/teachers, to demonstrate their ability to apply what was learnt in the class at the field in what is referred to as practical agriculture in most school and colleges. Prominent cropping systems adopted by the farmers include mixed

45 cropping and intercropping. This is in addition to the sole cropping and mixed farming sparingly  
46 practised [3]. Secondary school students embark on various cultural practices that lead to the  
47 production of various crops and in few cases livestock.

48 Agricultural production must increase if the global food supply is to keep pace with population growth.  
49 Yet at the same time, it is clear that if the world is to meet its targets for reducing greenhouse gas  
50 emission and mitigating climate change, agriculture must become "climate-friendly/smart". Agricultural  
51 production system managed in a climate-friendly way, emit lower greenhouse gases, create  
52 significant carbon sinks, and at the same time become more productive and more resilient in the face  
53 of climate change [4]. The adaptive capacity of a family is also of importance. The current livelihood of  
54 a family may be highly exposed to climate change, but if they can adapt, their overall vulnerability may  
55 not be high [5]. If a family accustomed to growing maize, has experience in producing sorghum in dry  
56 years, they may be better prepared to make a more permanent change if conditions become drier.  
57 Again, the capacity to adapt varies considerably between families even within the same community.  
58 The most important characteristics for the capacity to adapt our human knowledge and access to  
59 social institutions where this knowledge can be shared [5].

60 For Africa and Nigeria in particular, there is the looming threat of food insecurity [6; 7]. Arable lands  
61 are dwindling, climate change is taking a toll on agricultural practices, the farming population is ageing  
62 and going extinct, famine is ravaging the Horn of Africa [8]. This should be of urgent concern to all  
63 stakeholders and getting a young person's to invest in or practice agriculture is a way of translating  
64 the threats to opportunities. Ultimately, it is the world farmers, Agricultural Students inclusive, who  
65 have the largest role to play in making agriculture both climate-friendly and more productive.  
66 Agricultural science students are relatively young; this category of people ought to be active,  
67 inquisitive and willing to learn to add to their knowledge [9]. Both the female and male students should  
68 be better informed about climatic changes and how it affects agriculture since they have been  
69 learning about it. They should always be willing and ready to personally seek for information on  
70 climate change which will give them a good level of familiarity with climate change issues. These  
71 students upon the acquisition of this knowledge and skills would help in the extension of innovative,  
72 climate-change-adaptation strategies and efficient farming methods to their households and  
73 communities thereby complementing government's effort in the extension of modern and acceptable  
74 practices in farming.

75 Therefore, it is important to understand what affects students' willingness to engage in climate-friendly  
76 farming. According to [10], people's judgment of their capabilities to organise and execute courses of  
77 action required to attain designated types of performances strongly influences the choices people  
78 make, the effort they expend, and how long they persevere in the face of challenges.

79 People's judgement of their capabilities to organise and execute courses of action required to attain  
80 designated types of performance is what is referred to in the literature as self-efficacy. Self-efficacy is  
81 therefore based on self-perceptions regarding particular behaviours. It can be defined as the belief a  
82 person has about his ability to perform a particular task or behaviour [11]. It can also be referred to an

83 individual's confidence in their ability to complete a task or achieve a goal [12]. Self-efficacy is,  
84 therefore, domain or task-specific. Self-efficacy is an important psychological construct which requires  
85 attention in research as it influences (i) the choice of activities that an individual takes part in; (ii) the  
86 amount of effort they will expend in performing a task and (iii) how long they will persevere in the face  
87 of stressful situations in completing that task [11].

88 Self-efficacy, as explained by [13], determine how people think, feel, motivate themselves and even  
89 how they behave. He further explains that people with a strong sense of self-efficacy view challenging  
90 problems as tasks to be mastered develop a deeper interest in the activities in which they participate,  
91 form a stronger sense of commitment to their interest and activities, and recover quickly from  
92 setbacks and disappointments. People with a weak sense of self-efficacy avoid challenging tasks,  
93 believe that difficult tasks and situations are beyond their capabilities, focus on personal failures and  
94 negative outcomes and quickly lose confidence in personal abilities. As submitted by [14], high self-  
95 efficacy can enhance motivation. He further submits that people with high self-efficacy set themselves  
96 higher goals, invest more efforts, show more resilience and persist longer than those with low self-  
97 efficacy. Research on self-efficacy theory has powerful effects which are embedded in social cognitive  
98 theory, positing that confidence in completing behaviours of interest will lead to the achievement of  
99 those behaviours [10]. It is believed that self-efficacy will influence climate-friendly agricultural  
100 practices among the students in the study area.

101 Another factor that is viewed by the researchers to be capable of influencing students' climate-friendly  
102 farming is their attitude. Attitude is a psychological concept is relative. It could be viewed as the way  
103 one reacts towards an object or situation. It could be favourable or unfavourable. As asserted by [15],  
104 attitude is a desire or tendency to approach or avoid something" He stated further that the attitude of  
105 an individual can be either positive or negative. When it is positive, the individual approaches the  
106 object. When otherwise, the object is avoided.

107 Literature and opinions of climate scientists suggest that there is much uncertainty as changes in  
108 climatic condition unfold. Though positive and negative scenarios are being speculated, many  
109 concerns are paid towards more risk for the farmer concerning productions. Agricultural students in  
110 Akwa Ibom State may not understand the driving force of climate change but, certainly, the direction  
111 of climate change will alter the cropping and soil management system and cause many diversification  
112 strategies to mitigate and adapt to the unfolding condition. Income and other livelihood activities are at  
113 risk as the majority are already living below the World Bank poverty line of two (2) dollars per day.

114 There is doubt whether young farmers in schools and colleges are prepared for or know what  
115 constitutes environmental and climate changes and what could be the best responses to these  
116 changes to guarantee environmental and climatic friendly agriculture. Are the students' attitude and  
117 self-efficacy favourably disposed towards climate-friendly farming practices, especially in their various  
118 homes? This present study was carried out to fill in the gap by focusing on the influence of students'  
119 knowledge of climate change, students' attitude towards climate-friendly farming on the students' self-  
120 efficacy towards climate-friendly farming in Uyo Local Government Area of Akwa Ibom State.

121 Specifically, the study identified the demographic characteristics of the respondents, examined  
122 students' knowledge of climate change, assessed students' attitude towards climate-friendly farming,  
123 assessed students' self-efficacy towards climate-friendly farming and examined the influence of  
124 gender, age, knowledge of climate change and attitude towards climate-friendly farming on students'  
125 self-efficacy towards climate-friendly farming.

## 126 2. METHODOLOGY

127 The study was conducted in the educational zones of Uyo Local Government Area of Akwa Ibom  
128 State. Uyo Local Government lies between latitude 5.04 North and longitude 7.90 East. This is within  
129 the equatorial rain forest belt, which is a tropical zone that house vegetation of green foliage of trees  
130 shrubs and oil palm trees. The area is endowed with abundant mineral and forest resources, among  
131 which are gravel, silica, sand, clay, and timber. Agricultural produce includes cassava, yam,  
132 vegetables and plantain. The people of Uyo Local Government Area are predominantly farmers and  
133 traders. Their area of trade is mostly on food items like palm oil and other palm produce, vegetables,  
134 plantain, banana, yam, cassava, live stocks e.g poultry, goat, sheep, cow etc.

135 The study was targeted at all the Senior Secondary School 2 (SS2) Agriculture science students in  
136 Uyo Local Government Area of Akwa Ibom State. This study adopted a multi-stage sampling  
137 technique in the selection of the respondents. There are four clans in Uyo Local Government Area Vis  
138 Ikono Clan, Etoi Clan, Oku Clan and Offot Clan and there are fifteen (15) Public secondary schools  
139 spread across the four clans. Secondary schools in Uyo L.G.A were clustered into the four clans. One  
140 (1) secondary school was randomly selected from each of the four (4) clans, thereafter, simple  
141 random sampling was used to select 50 students from each of the schools. A total of 4 schools and  
142 200 students who offer agricultural science as a subject were selected respectively. The unit of  
143 measurement for the study was the student.

144 A well-designed questionnaire, developed by the researchers, was used for data collection. Kuder  
145 Richardson 20 (KR20) and Cronbach alpha formulae were used to establish the reliability and the  
146 internal consistency of sections B, C 1&2 of the questionnaire and coefficients of 0.60, 0.58 & 0.71  
147 were found respectively suggesting that the scales were quite reliable. Section B was a knowledge  
148 test designed to measure the knowledge (awareness) level of the respondents on climate change.  
149 The section contained climate change items with response format given as "Yes, No, and Don't  
150 know". Section C measured the climate-friendly attitude and self-efficacy of the respondents. It had  
151 two (2) sub-sections. Sub-section 1 measured the attitude of the respondents towards climate-friendly  
152 farming practices and had items with response format ranging from strongly agree to strongly  
153 disagree while Sub-section 2 measured the self-efficacy of the respondents towards climate-friendly  
154 farming. It had items with response format ranging from absolutely confident to not at all confident.  
155 Correlation analysis, simple percentages and frequency analysis, chi-square as well as composite  
156 index technique were deployed to analyze the data collected.

157

### 158 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 159 3.1 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents

160 With regards to table 1, item 1, the researcher had more access to female respondents than males.  
 161 Hundred and nine (54.5%) females and Ninety - one (45.5%) male students were sampled for the  
 162 study. Item 2 on table 1 reveals that the majority (88.5%) of the respondents were between 13 to 16  
 163 years of age while 11.5% aged between 17-20 years. The majority (77.5%) made a range of between  
 164 1 – 5 person household, 20.5% had 6 – 10 person household, while 2.0% of the respondents had a  
 165 household size of 16 persons and above. Thus the majority of the students have a household size of  
 166 1 – 5 people with its attendance consequence on welfare. Similarly, the majority (87.5%) of the  
 167 respondents affirmed that farms are available in their houses (families) while 12.5% affirmed  
 168 unavailability and 80% affirmed their involvements in household farming.

169

170 **Table 1: Frequency counts and Percentages of the Demographic Characteristics**  
 171 **of the Respondents.**

Item	Variables	Frequency	Percentages (%)
1	<b>Gender</b>		
	Male	91	45.5
	Female	109	54.5
2	<b>Age</b>		
	13 – 16	177	88.5
	17 – 20	23	11.5
3	<b>Family Size</b>		
	1 – 5	155	77.5
	6 – 10	41	20.5
	16 and above	4	2.0
4	<b>Availability of Household Farms</b>		
	Yes	175	87.5
	No	25	12.5
5	<b>Involvement in Household Farming</b>		
	Yes	160	80.0
	No	40	20.0

172 **Source:** Field survey, 2018

173

#### 174 3.2 Respondents Level of Knowledge on Climate Change

175 Table 2 indicates that 48.5% of the respondents have low knowledge of climate change issues, 42.0%  
 176 have average knowledge while only 9.5% of the respondents have high knowledge of climate change.  
 177 Climate change awareness involves creating knowledge, understanding and values, attitude, skills  
 178 and abilities among individuals and social groups towards the issues of climate change for attaining a  
 179 better quality environment. Climate change specialists have repeatedly pointed out that a solution to  
 180 climate change problem will require climate change awareness and its proper understanding. The  
 181 research found that a good number of the sampled students still have low knowledge of climate

182 change. Most of the sampled students did not know the difference between climate and weather; they  
 183 did not know the atmospheric layer of gas; they did not know what greenhouse gases are, how the  
 184 gases act in the atmosphere and the effects of these gases on agricultural production. The students  
 185 did not know that deforestation, bush burning, continuous tillage, animal rearing, rice cultivation,  
 186 desertification, fertilizer use and fuel-intensive farming can cause climate change. Hence, to develop  
 187 farming strategies that adapt to climate change might be difficult.

188 However, the study also found that a sizeable number of the sampled students are averagely  
 189 knowledgeable about climate change issues, but much effort need to be exerted by the research  
 190 institutions and government agencies in encouraging the inclusion of climate-change issues in the  
 191 Secondary school curriculum so as to raise the knowledge level of the student-farmers for mitigation  
 192 and adaptation. This, of course, will imply the level of knowledge and adaptation of the farmers who  
 193 benefit directly from the information.

194 The findings of this study tend to corroborate the findings of [16] who discussed how school-age  
 195 children were confused about climate change and ozone layer depletion. Result also agrees with the  
 196 findings of [17] who emphasized how Swedish students in grades 9 and 12 ( $15 \pm 16$  and  $18 \pm 19$   
 197 years old respectively) explain the greenhouse effect and how they think the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub>  
 198 emissions would affect the society. The study strongly supports the work of [18] who examined similar  
 199 ideas among students of various age groups and aimed at proposing strategies for education in  
 200 climate change.

201 **Table 2: Distribution of Respondents on Level of Knowledge on Climate Change**

Level	Respondents	Percentage (%)
Very low knowledge of climate change	5	2.5
Low knowledge of climate change	92	46.0
Average knowledge of climate change	84	42.0
High knowledge of climate change	19	9.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>100.0</b>

202 **Source:** Field survey, 2018

### 203 3.3 Respondents' Attitude towards Climate-Friendly Farming

204 Results in tables 3a & 3b provide insights to attitudinal dispositions of the respondents towards  
 205 climate-friendly farming. The frequency distributions, percentages in table 3a show the pattern of  
 206 agreement of the respondents with the climate-friendly farming statements. It can be seen from the  
 207 table that majority of the respondents either strongly agreed or just agreed to most of the statements.  
 208 The mean scores of the responses to attitudinal statements were also calculated. Any statement that  
 209 had a mean score of 2.5 and above was regarded as the positive or favourable attitudinal disposition  
 210 of that particular statement since the maximum response score for each item was 4 and the minimum  
 211 was 1. The mean score distribution shows that almost all the statements attracted a mean score  
 212 above 2.5 leading to the revelation, as shown on table 3b, that majority (87.5%) of the respondents  
 213 had a favourable or positive attitudinal disposition towards climate-friendly farming. They agreed that  
 214 use of water channels in the farm as draining system is advisable to all farmers to check to flood, that

215 breeding of drought and heat resistant crop varieties can help lessen the effect of climate change on  
 216 crop production and that mulching can conserve soil moisture in the face of rising temperature to  
 217 mention but a few.

218 **Table 3a: Distribution of Respondents on Attitude towards Climate-friendly Farming**

S/N	Items	SA	A	D	SD	Mean
1.	I feel climate-friendly farming is an interesting area of discussion	88 (44.0)	73 (36.5)	23 (11.5)	16 (8)	3.16
2	Use of water channels in the farm as the draining system is advisable to all farmers to check to flood.	99 (49.5)	57 (28.5)	29 (14.5)	15 (7.5)	3.20
3	Farmers should use organic manure to conserve soil nutrient	78 (39.0)	92 (46.0)	12 (6.0)	18 (9.0)	3.15
4	Planting of cover crops should be recommended to farmers as a means of reducing the harmful effect of climate change	74 (37.0)	74 (37.0)	32 (16.0)	20 (10.0)	3.01
5	Mixed cropping practices are the best approaches to response to uncertainties in crop yield occasioned by climate change.	45 (22.5)	81 (40.0)	43 (21.5)	31 (15.5)	2.70
6	Every farmer should be told to plant pest and disease-resistant crops.	66 (33.0)	67 (33.5)	37 (18.5)	30 (15.0)	2.84
7	Mulching can conserve soil moisture in the face of rising temperature	83 (41.5)	75 (37.5)	21 (10.5)	21 (10.5)	3.10
8	Farmers should ensure regular weeding to avoid breed of some insects pest	100 (50.0)	56 (28.0)	22 (11.0)	22 (11.0)	3.17
9	Breeding of drought and heat resistant crop varieties can help lessen the effect of climate change on crop production.	51 (25.5)	83 (41.5)	43 (21.5)	23 (11.5)	2.81
10	Farmers should know how to conserve soil moisture through appropriate tillage operation.	61 (30.0)	85 (42.5)	28 (14.0)	26 (13.0)	2.90
11	I feel intimidated to talk about climate change	42 (21.0)	47 (23.5)	55 (27.5)	56 (28.0)	2.37
12	Afforestation should be encouraged at all times	75 (37.5)	64 (32.0)	28 (14.0)	33 (16.5)	2.90
13	Proper conservation of seeds should be encouraged at all times	75 (37.5)	65 (32.5)	28 (14.0)	32 (16.0)	2.91
14	Farmers should be encouraged to reduce the use of generators for electrification	51 (25.5)	58 (29.0)	47 (23.5)	44 (22.0)	2.58
15	Regular practice of crop rotation can reduce the harmful effect of climate change on crops	59 (29.5)	69 (34.5)	37 (18.5)	35 (17.5)	2.76

219 **Source:** Field survey, 2018. **Note:** Percentages in parenthesis and values outside  
 220 parenthesis are the Frequencies

221 **Key:** SA=Strongly Agree; A=Agree; D=Disagree; SD=Strongly Disagree

222

223

224

225

226

227 **Table 3b: Distribution of Respondents based on Attitudinal Disposition towards**  
 228 **Climate-friendly Farming Index (ADCFF)**

The index range of ADCFF	ADCFF Index Interpretation	Respondents	Frequency
0.00 – 0.59	Unfavourable disposition	attitudinal 25	12.5
0.60 – 1.00	Favourable disposition	attitudinal 175	87.5
<b>Total</b>		<b>200</b>	<b>100.0</b>

229 **Source:** Field survey, 2018

### 230 3.4 Respondents' Self-efficacy towards Climate-friendly Farming

231 Results on tables 4a & 4b provide insights into the self-efficacy of the respondents towards climate-  
 232 friendly farming. The frequency distributions values, as well as percentages on table 3a, show the  
 233 pattern of confidence the respondents demonstrated towards the climate-friendly farming statements.  
 234 It can be seen from the table that majority of the respondents were absolutely, mostly and slightly  
 235 confident that they can practice most of those climate-friendly farming activities. The mean scores of  
 236 the responses to self-efficacy statements were also calculated. Any statement that had a mean score  
 237 of 1.5 and above was regarded as high self-efficacy of that particular statement since the maximum  
 238 response score for each item was 3 and the minimum was 0. The mean score distribution shows that  
 239 almost all the statements attracted a mean score above 1.5 leading to the revelation, as shown on  
 240 table 3b, that majority (52.0%) of the respondents fell into the high self-efficacy towards the climate-  
 241 friendly farming category. They were confident that they can monitor and provide information on the  
 242 effect of climate change on crops, that they can monitor and provide information on the effect of  
 243 climate change on livestock, that they can suggest the appropriate seed-bed that can be used for the  
 244 planting of various crops and that they can always develop measures of checking/controlling erosion  
 245 on farmland, to mention but a few.

246 **Table 4a: Distribution of Respondents on Self-efficacy towards Climate-friendly**  
 247 **Farming**

S/N	Items	Mean
1	I can monitor and provide information on the effect of climate change on livestock	1.77
2	I can suggest the appropriate seed-bed that can be used for the planting of various crops.	1.63
3	I can always develop measures of checking/controlling erosion on farmland	1.92
4	I can devote a greater part of my time to carrying out climate-friendly farming practices	1.58
5	I can educate people on how to check fertility and PH status of the soil before planting	1.67
6	I can educate people on the breeding of new crops or livestock that are tolerant to drought	1.55
7	I can spend a whole day learning about conservation farming systems that use minimum or no-tillage	1.57
8	I can spend more time reading about various crop and	1.95



	climate-induced animal diseases	
9	I can ensure regular weeding and pest control in my farm	2.07
10	I can monitor and provide information on the effect of climate change on crops	1.75

248 **Source:** Field survey, 2018.

249

250

251

252 **Table 4b: Distribution of Respondents based on Self Efficacy towards Climate-friendly**

253 **Farming Index (SECFI)**

The index range of SECFI	SECFI Index Interpretation	Respondents	Frequency
0.00 – 0.59	Low self-efficacy	97	48.0
0.60 – 1.00	High self-efficacy	103	52.0
<b>Total</b>		<b>200</b>	<b>100.0</b>

254 **Source:** Field survey, 2018.

255 **3.5 Influence of Gender, Age, Ownership of Household farms, Knowledge of Climate**

256 **Change and Attitude towards Climate-Friendly Farming on Students' Self-Efficacy**

257 **towards Climate-Friendly Farming**

258 **A. Chi-square analysis of the relationship between gender, ownership of household**

259 **farms and students' self-efficacy towards climate-friendly farming.**

260

261 Chi-square analysis was done to determine whether gender and ownership of household farms

262 significantly influenced the respondents' self-efficacy towards climate-friendly farming in the study

263 area. Results from the analysis shown in table 5a below reveal that ownership of household farms ( $X^2$

264 =4.35;  $P=0.00$ ) significantly influenced the respondents' self-efficacy towards climate-friendly farming

265 in the study area while sex ( $X^2=0.10$ ;  $P=0.74$ ) did not significantly contribute to the model.

266

267 **Table 5a: Chi-square Analysis between Gender, Ownership of Household farm and**

268 **Students' Self-Efficacy towards Climate-Friendly Farming**

Variables:	$X^2$ -value	df	Sign 2-tail	P-value	Remark
i Gender	0.10	1	0.74	0.05	NS
ii Ownership of farm	4.35	1	0.00	0.05	Sig

269 **Source: Field Survey 2018 Note: NS implies Not Significant**

270 **A. Pearson product-moment correlation between age, knowledge of climate change,**

271 **attitude towards climate-friendly farming and respondents' self-efficacy towards**

272 **climate-friendly farming.**

273

274 The table 5b below reveals that there is a very low and negative relationship between age of the

275 respondents and their self-efficacy towards climate-friendly farming in the study area,  $r = -0.046$ . This

276 means that the self-efficacy towards climate-friendly farming drops as the age of the respondent's

277 increases. However, the relationship is low, the table also reveals that the relationships are not

278 statistically significant at  $r = -0.046$ ,  $P=0.516$ ,  $R^2=0.002$ , explaining that only 0.2% variation in self-

279 efficacy towards climate-friendly farming can be attributed to the variation in the ages of respondents.

280 Item 2 in table 5b presents the results of the analysis on the relationship between knowledge of

281 climate change and self-efficacy towards climate-friendly farming. The table reveals that there is a low

282 and positive relationship between the respondents' knowledge of climate change and their self-

283 efficacy towards climate-friendly farming. The table revealed that the respondents will increase their  
 284 self-efficacy with increased knowledge therefore, as knowledge of climate change increases, self-  
 285 efficacy towards climate-friendly farming increases as well. It may be reasonable to relate that gaining  
 286 knowledge of concept can serve as a motivational factor towards the understanding and eventual  
 287 practice or willingness to practice the concept. Research findings show that higher levels of perceived  
 288 self-efficacy correlate to greater motivational efforts like knowledge and perseverance [19]. According  
 289 to [11], computer self-efficacy has proven to be a factor in understanding the frequency and success  
 290 with which individuals use computers. Self- efficacy theory, according to [20] has emerged as an  
 291 important means of understanding and predicting a person's performance and vice versa. More  
 292 should be done to promote the young farmer's knowledge on climate change issues as this has  
 293 proven effective in promoting the respondents' self-efficacy on climate-friendly farming. The table also  
 294 indicated that although there was a low relationship, it was statistically significant  $r = 0.136$ ,  $P=0.040$ ,  
 295  $R^2=0.018$  explaining that only 1.8% variation in the self-efficacy towards climate-friendly farming could  
 296 be attributed to variations in the knowledge of the respondents on climate change.

297

298 **Table 5b: PPMC Analysis between Age, Knowledge of Climate Change, Attitude**  
 299 **towards Climate-Friendly Farming and Students' Self-Efficacy towards Climate-**  
 300 **Friendly Farming in the Study Area**

301

	<b>Variables: EUFSM and</b>	<b>r</b>	<b>r<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Sign 2-tail</b>	<b>P-value</b>	<b>Remark</b>
i	Age	-0.046	0.002	0.516	0.05	NS.
ii	Knowledge	0.136	0.018	0.040	0.05	Sig.
iii	Attitude	0.062	0.0038	0.384	0.05	NS.

302 **Source: Field Survey 2018. Note: NS implies Not Significant**

#### 303 **4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

304 Degree of knowledge on climate change and ownership or availability of farms in the respondent's  
 305 homes were found to significantly and statistically influence the climate-friendly farming self-efficacy of  
 306 the students. Therefore, the more agricultural students, especially those that own farms in their  
 307 homes, know or read about climate change, the more confident they will be in contributing to climate  
 308 change adaptation farming practices in their various household farms and the society at large.  
 309 However, it is logical to conclude in this study that knowledge of climate change and ownership of  
 310 farms in some ways contributes to self-efficacy towards climate-friendly farming in Uyo, Akwa Ibom  
 311 State. These present a need to include climate change issues in the secondary school curriculum to  
 312 raise the knowledge level of the agricultural students on climate change issues. Also important is the  
 313 need for stakeholders to translate the high climate-friendly farming self-efficacy observed among the  
 314 respondents into climate-smart farming through a conscious effort at increasing their participation in  
 315 practical farming activities both in school and home farms. Students, upon the acquisition of this  
 316 knowledge and skills, would help in the extension of innovative and efficient farming methods to their  
 317 households and communities thereby complementing government efforts in the extension of modern  
 318 and acceptable practices in farming.

319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371

## 5. REFERENCES

1. Zhu, X. (2011). Technologies for Climate Change Adaptation- OSTI.gov. Retrieved from <https://www.osti.gov/etdeweb/servlets/purl1026421>.
2. Tschakert, P. (2016). World Bank Documents & Reports. Documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/411761467996762234/pdf/WPS7677.pdf.
3. Eyo, E.O and Igben, M.S (2002). *Agricultural Economics: An introduction to Basic Concepts and Principles*. Best Print Business Press, Uyo, Nigeria.
4. FAO (2010). "Climate-Smart" Agriculture – Policies, Practices and Financing for Food Security, Adaptation and Mitigation. FAO, Rome. Freeman and Company.
5. Akpabio, I. A. (2005). *Human Agricultural Development: Social Themes in Agricultural Development*. Abaam Publishing Company. Uyo.
6. Matemilola, S., & Elegbede, I. (2017). The Challenges of food security in Nigeriua. Open Access Library Journal, 4: e4185. <https://doi.org/10.4236/Oalib.1104185>.
7. Editorial (2018, June 3<sup>rd</sup>). Climate change and threat of food insecurity. The Guardian Newspaper. Retrieved from <http://www.guardian.ng>.
8. Anthony, I. (2012). AGRPHILA: the Poetic farmer, stimulating youth interest in Agriculture. Retrieved from://poeticfarmer.wordpress.com.
9. Umoh, I.M. (2008). Evaluation of Climate Change Friendliness of Akwa Ibom Development Programme (AKADEP), Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension, University of Uyo, Uyo.
10. Bandura, A. (2006). Adolescent Development from an Agentic Perspective. In F. Pajares, & T. Urdan (Eds.), *Self-Efficacy Beliefs of Adolescents*, (pp.1-43). Greenwich, CT: Information Age.
11. Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of self-control*. New York: W. H.
12. Hopper, E. (updated January 13, 2019). Understanding Self-efficacy. Retrieved from [www.thoughtco.com/self-efficacy-4177970](http://www.thoughtco.com/self-efficacy-4177970).
13. Bandura, A. (2004). Perceived Self Efficacy in Cognitive Development and Functioning (Electronic Version). *Educational Psychologist* 28(2), 117 – 148.
14. Schwarzer, R (2004). "General Perceived Self Efficacy in 14 Cultures" user page [fu-berlin.de/~health/selfscal.htm](http://www.fu-berlin.de/~health/selfscal.htm).
15. Inyang-Abia, M.E. (2003). *Essentials of educational technology: handbook for educators and media practitioners*, Uyo, Nigeria. Legacy Nigeria Ltd.
16. Meadows. G., & Wiesenmayer, R.L. (1999). Identifying and addressing students' alternative conceptions of the causes of global warming: The need for cognitive conflict. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 8(3), 235-239.
17. Anderson, B., & Wallin, A. (2000). Students' understanding of the greenhouse effect, the societal consequences of reducing CO2 emissions and the problem of Ozone layer depletion. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 37(10), 1096-1111.
18. Pruneau, D., Liboiron, L., Vrain, E., Gravel, H., Bourque, W., & Langis, J. (2001). People's ideas about climate change: A source of inspiration for the creation of educational programs. *Canadian Journal of Environmental Education*, 6, 121-138.
19. Cassidy, S and Eachus, P. (2012). Developing the Computer User Self-Efficacy (CSUE) Scale: Investigating the Relationship between Computer Self-Efficacy, Gender and Experience with Computers. *Educational Computing Research*, 26(2), 133-153.
20. Askar, P., & Davenport, D. (2009). An investigation of factors related to self-efficacy for Java Programming among Engineering Students. *Turkish Online Journal of Education Technology* 8(1).