

1  
2 **DEVELOPMENT OF LETTUCE PLANT IN SPRING AND**  
3 **AUTUMN PERIOD, EFFECTS OF LED LIGHTENING ON THE**  
4 **QUANTITY OF MINERAL SUBSTRATES AND LEAF NITRATE**

5  
6 **Seda BİCE ATAĞLI<sup>1</sup> , Sezer ŞAHİN<sup>2</sup>**

7 **<sup>1</sup> Gaziosmanpaşa University, Scientific and Technological Research and**  
8 **Implementation Centre, Tokat**

9 **<sup>2</sup> Gaziosmanpaşa University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Soil**  
10 **Science and Plant Nutrition, Tokat**

11 **\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [sezer.sahin@gop.edu.tr](mailto:sezer.sahin@gop.edu.tr)**

12  
13  
14 **ABSTRACT**  
15

**Aims:** This study was performed during early spring and winter period of 2015-2016 by utilizing soilless technique in a non-heated glasshouse that belongs to Gaziosmanpaşa University Faculty of Agriculture.

**Study Design:** Whereas Funly F1 lettuce species was used as vegetal materials, 2:1 ratio cocopeat and perlite mixture was used as cultivation environment. According to the experiment design, experiment coincidence parcels were performed as 3-recurrences. In the experiment, the effects of different colored LED lights (blue, yellow, red, blue + yellow, blue + red, yellow + red, blue + yellow + red) additional to sunlight were examined.

**Results:** SMD strip LEDs with different colors were used as light source. The light practice does not affect on the plant diameter, plant length, SÇKM, pH, titered acid, vitamin C, and plant nutrient concentrations. Statistically significant difference occurred in the yield of spring and winter curly leaf head salad. There was also an increase at 1% importance level in the light practices compared to the control. In the experiment, red and red blue light combinations had an increase of 1% in the curly leaf head salads in the yield rate when it was compared to the control. Whereas the highest total plant head weight was 840 gr/piece in spring practice, and it was 732 gr/piece for the red light practices in winter practice. Compared to the control, the amount of plant leaf nitrate for the light practices resulted in a decrease at 1% importance level in the curly leaf head salad. When the results were compared with the control conditions, the lowest nitrate contents were obtained as 1764.5 mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>kg<sup>-1</sup> in spring practices, 1898.6 NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>kg<sup>-1</sup> in winter practices.

**Conclusion:** More amount of nitrate was observed on the leaves of curly leaf head salad in winter practice compared to the one in spring. The amount of leaf nitrate decreased in the light practices compared to the control. As a result, the red and blue light practices and their combinations improved the amount of yield and plant growth by reducing nitrate content.

16  
17 *Keywords:* Soilless Culture, Led Light, Curly Lettuce

18  
19  
20  
21 **1. INTRODUCTION**

22

23 Upon the increasing population ratio, the demand for food increases, and so the producers  
24 desire to harvest more products from their production fields. While using the agricultural  
25 fields to harvest more products at the maximum level, as a result of chemical fertilizers,  
26 pesticides, wrong cultural practices, or etc., the agricultural fields become inefficient. As a  
27 result of this, even though product growth is expected, it is resulted in product losses. This  
28 has led the producers to conduct new technological studies in agriculture. One of these  
29 agricultural practices is the soilless agriculture.

30 Soilless agriculture has such advantages as to produce in fields where the soil is not suitable  
31 for plant production, to increase the efficiency of water use, to provide the plants to be fed in  
32 a controlled way, to increase yield, to improve quality, to reduce the necessary labour force,  
33 to facilitate irrigation, and to provide facilities that can be made without the need for  
34 environment sterilization. Soilless culture has also such superiorities as the absence of  
35 problems such as soil fatigue, soil-borne diseases and pests, controlling the development  
36 of plants by examining the fertilizer and water, eliminating the soil-based factors that reduce  
37 the quality, and increasing yield (Jones, 1983). The purpose of soilless agriculture is to  
38 provide the development of plants through the nutrient solution, to meet the nutrient and  
39 water requirements of the plants without causing stress, and to realize this in the most  
40 economical way.

41 Cocopeat is one of the cultivation environments that is widely used in soilless agriculture.  
42 Cocopeat is a fibrous organic substance obtained from coconut (*Cocos nucifera*) which is a  
43 type of palm grown in tropical regions. It is made out of the shell of coconut fruits. Whereas  
44 the long fibres are used for various purposes (rope, wicker, basket, or etc.), the rest is  
45 composted into heaps, and the coconut turf is produced.

46 Coconut fibre powders including good physical features are suitable for being used as  
47 cultivation environment. Coconut fibre powders can be used because of such features as  
48 their high water holding capacity, easily-absorbable water content, sufficient air capacity, low  
49 volume content and nutrient element content, being used without any chemical treatment,  
50 and being mixed with peat physically and chemically.

51 Another positive feature of coconut fibre powders is the high content of lignin and cellulose.  
52 Therefore, it can maintain air and water balance for a long time. It contains about 20% air by  
53 volume, and the pH value is determinedly saved. On the other hand, the most important  
54 negative side of the cultivation environment is the use of sea water in processing the green  
55 shells of it. As a result, the salt content increases owing to Na and Cl (Anonymous, 2014).

56 Greenhouse cultivation carried out in our country is expanded and developed in Marmara  
57 Region, Aegean Region, and Mediterranean coastline. Dense cultivation fields are occurred  
58 in this distribution. The greenhouse cultivation is observed in the microclimate around Yalova  
59 on the northern and is concentrated around İzmir and Mugla in the west, around Antalya and  
60 Mersin in the south, and reaches to Samandag district of Hatay province. When the recent  
61 distribution of greenhouse in our country is numerically examined, it is concluded that  
62 approximately 65% of greenhouse fields is located in Antalya, 21% in Mersin, 7% in Mugla,  
63 2% in Izmir, and 1% in Istanbul.

64 The main harvest in greenhouse production is targeted in the summer period. The cultivation  
65 process is prolonged due to the insufficient temperature and frost since the crops grown at  
66 the beginning of autumn and spring period do not get enough light during these periods, and  
67 yield losses may occur. This situation causes the financial losses for farmers. It is possible to  
68 reduce the losses during these periods by taking some cultural measures. Making artificial  
69 lightening in the environments where the plants are grown and creating cultivation

70 environments (organic and inorganic) that can hold water and mineral substances in the root  
71 part of the plant are among the cultural measures that can be taken.

72 All living creatures across the world benefit from the rays coming from the Sun. As a result of  
73 photosynthesis, the plants benefit from these rays by transforming the physical energy of the  
74 Sun into chemical food energy in organic substance. Lack or excess of light intensity has a  
75 significant effect on the metabolic functions in plant. If light is less than the necessary  
76 intensity, a series of metabolic changes follow the reduction of carbohydrate content in  
77 plants. In case of light deficiency, the shadow plants expand the leaf surfaces and slow  
78 down root growth by sending photosynthesis products less than the required ratio. The  
79 slowdown in root growth negatively affects the nutrient utilization of plants. (Kacar et al.,  
80 2010).

81 Light sources are divided into two as natural and artificial. Whereas the light from the Sun is  
82 stated as natural light, the light emitted from artificial lightening sources is defined as artificial  
83 light. Such light sources as fluorescent lamps, metal halogen lamps, and light emitting  
84 diodes (LEDs) are some examples of artificial lightening sources (Unal, 2009). It is  
85 necessary in artificial lightening to know the total amount of natural light reaching the plant  
86 during the day and the duration of sunbathing. These parameters are important for both  
87 photosynthesis and photo-period lightening.

88 The positive effect of light on plant growth has brought about the use of additional light in  
89 greenhouse cultivation. Likewise, that the latest technological greenhouses have the ability  
90 to produce their own energy and to use this energy for lightening in the greenhouse has led  
91 to an increase in the light-plant development studies. Samuoliene et al. (2009), lettuce and  
92 onion 3-day before the harvest have made additional lighting. The researchers reported that  
93 the amount of leaf nitrate decreased by 44% and 65% with additional lighting. Macedo et al.  
94 (2011), in vitro *Alternanthera brasiliana* Kuntze plant red light reduces plant growth while the  
95 blue light leaf, plant number and leaf surface increased reported. Chen et al. (2014) reported  
96 that the most appropriate light for the development of lettuce plant is 24-hour red-blue led  
97 lighting. Wojciechowska et al. (2016) applied LED lighting to lettuce plant in the middle of the  
98 day and in the evening. They reported that the amount of leaf nitrate decreased compared to  
99 the control.

100 When the light intensity decreases especially during winter months, additional lightening has  
101 significant effects on plant growth (Decoteau et al., 1988; Blom et al., 1995; Chia and  
102 Kubota, 2010). Since they have various advantages, the use of LED lights has become  
103 widespread in recent years for additional lightening to be carried out before sunrise and / or  
104 after sunset (Pinho et al., 2007; Runkle, 2010; Johkan et al., 2010; Johansen et al., 2011;  
105 Yang et al., 2012). LED lightening also allows the plant to grow even in sunless hours  
106 (Okamoto et al., 1996; Yanagi et al., 1996; Yanagi and Okamoto, 1997; Yorio et al., 2001).

107 According to the growth stages of the plants, the use of LED lights in different colours has  
108 started to become widespread in the greenhouse vegetable cultivation. For this purpose, red  
109 and blue LED light-emitting lamps are widely started to be used and sold (Johansen et al.,  
110 2011). LED lamps provide lightening without damaging the plants because they do not emit  
111 ultraviolet or infrared radiation and the system does not contain mercury and lead.  
112 (Anonymous, 2011).

113 Many out-greenhouse factors such as cloudiness, air pollution, fog, various precipitation  
114 forms and regimes, high proportional humidity, pollution of greenhouse cover, reflection due  
115 to roof slope, type of cover, and radiation absorption cause the changes in the amount of  
116 PAR (Photosynthetic Active Radiation) and wavelength during the greenhouse cultivation  
117 period. In addition, such in-greenhouse factors as shade curtains used in greenhouses,  
118 metal building components used in greenhouse construction, ventilation fans, lightening

119 units, and heating units lead the radiation energy entering into the greenhouse to be reached  
120 onto the leaves of the plant at different amounts (Yagcioglu et al. 2004; Yagcioglu, 2005).  
121 Moreover, the light level in the greenhouse decreases by 35-75% depending on many  
122 factors such as solar radiation incidence angle, length of the day, sunshine duration,  
123 cloudiness, structural shading, plant density, cover material, and pollution status (Fisher and  
124 Runkle, 2004). Therefore, shading should be applied in plant cultivation in greenhouses  
125 through the help of complementary photosynthetic lightening (CPL), full artificial  
126 photosynthetic lightening (FAPL) or shade curtains (Yagcioglu, 2005). However, most of the  
127 lightening-shading practices are carried out in the form of a uniform lightening practice by  
128 assuming that all plants in the cultivation environment need the same PAR amount at the  
129 same time (Yagcioglu, 1996). Artificial lightening gives positive results in the regions with an  
130 average sunshine duration less than 4.5 hours (Argus, 2010).

131 The purpose of this research is to determine the effects of artificial lightening implemented  
132 on lettuce plant cultivated in spring and autumn period under the conditions of hydroponics  
133 in an unheated glass greenhouse on plant growth, mineral matter concentration, and nitrate  
134 content on the leaves of plants.

135

136

## 137 **2. MATERIALS AND METHOD**

138

### 139 **2.1. Plant material and cultivation conditions**

140 This study examining the effects of additional LED lightening practices in curly leaf salad and  
141 pepper cultivation in solid environment culture on the yield quality and plant growth was  
142 conducted in a glass greenhouse located in Gaziosmanpasa University Faculty of  
143 Agriculture Implementation and Research in Tokat Central District during early spring and  
144 summer period in 2014-2015. The dimensions of the single-roofed greenhouse established  
145 in the east-west direction are 12 x 35 (420 m<sup>2</sup>) with a side height of 2.2 m and a roof height  
146 of 5 m. The practice was designed in randomized plot design with 3 replications. (Duzgunes  
147 et al., 1987).

148 As lettuce variety, Funnly F1 was used in the practices. A 2:1 mixture of cocopeat (coconut  
149 turf) and perlite, which are widely used in soilless agriculture, were used as plant cultivation  
150 environment.

151 A total of 72 pedestal rigid PVC pots with a depth of 18 cm, an internal width of 20 cm, an  
152 inner length of 71 cm, and a volume of approximately 25.6 litres were used in order to grow  
153 the plants. The pots were arranged in such a way that the distance between the rows is 1 m.  
154 12 pots were arranged on the row, but 9 pots were put into the practice, and the other 3 pots  
155 were accepted as edge effect. The floor of greenhouse was cleaned and covered with  
156 pebbles so as to disconnect the pots from the soil. The blocked cocopeats were saturated  
157 with water and mixed with perlite in a 2:1 ratio to obtain a homogeneous mortar. The pots  
158 were made ready for planting by filling the mortar into them. Drip irrigation system was  
159 applied to irrigate the plants. The drip irrigation system were operated from the tank  
160 containing 2 tons of nutrient solution through the electro-motopomp system.

### 161 **2.2. Light Practices**

162 3 different LED light sources such as red, blue, and yellow were used in this research. 4  
163 rows of LED lights were placed on each parcel. Accordingly, the total amount of LEDs used  
164 = number of parcels x number of LED rows in the parcel x length of parcel = 21 x 4 x 2.25 =  
165 198 m. These LEDs were operated through an adapter and a timer.

166 The LED strip factors were investigated in this practice. The levels of LED colour factor  
167 discussed were blue LED, yellow LED, red LED, blue + yellow LED, blue + red LED, yellow  
168 + red LED, blue + yellow + red LED, and control.

169 15 days after planting the seedling, LED strip was glued under transparent flexiglass strips,  
170 and these plates were hung on the roof with gut and placed 40 cm above the plants. The  
171 LEDs were operated between 7-24 hours. Empty transparent flexiglasses were placed on  
172 the control plots (Figure 1).



173

174 Figure 1. Light applications to lettuce plant

175

### 176 2.3. Planting, Maintenance and Harvest Operations

177 First stage curly leaf salad seedlings cultivated in a ready-made turf were planted on March  
178 16, 2015. Second stage curly leaf salad seedlings were planted as 3 plants in 1 pot and 9  
179 plants in parcel on December 1, 2015. A total of 216 plants were grown in 24 parcels.

180 Hoagland nutrient solution containing all the necessary nutrients for plant growth (N 150 mg /  
181 l, P 60 mg / l, K 150 mg / l, Ca 150 mg / l, Mg 50 mg / l, Fe 5 mg / l, Mn 0,5 mg / l, Zn 0.05  
182 mg / l, B 0.5 mg / l, Cu 0.03 mg / l, Mo 0.02 mg / l) were given to the plants by drip irrigation  
183 system. The electromotopomp was operated 3 times a day for 10 minutes (at 10, 13 and 16  
184 o'clock) by using a 15-minute precision time clock. The fungal diseases in plants were fought  
185 by applying fungicide.

186 The first stage curly leaf salads were harvested on May 8, 2015 when they were in variety  
187 size, and the second stage curly leaf salads were harvested on February 25, 2016.

### 188 2.4. Chemical Analysis

189 **2.4.1. Vitamin C (mg/100g):** The content of Vitamin C was determined through the  
190 spectrophotometric method.

191 **2.4.2. Water Soluble Dry Substance (WSDS) (%):** It was determined by measuring through  
192 hand refractometer from fruit juice obtained by shredding of fruits with solid juicer.

193 **2.4.3. pH:** It was measured with the help of pH meters. The plant samples taken separately  
194 for each parcel were grinded in a blender so as to obtain water, and the obtained plant juice  
195 was measured through pH meter.

196 **2.4.4. Titratable Acidity (%):** pH was calculated through the metric method. 1 ml of fruit  
197 juice was taken for each variety, and 50 ml of purified water was added into it. 1 or 2 drops  
198 of phenol phthalein were dropped to provide colour transformation. Then, the juice samples

199 were titrated with 0.1 N NaOH until pH 8.1, and the ratio of spent sodium hydroxide was  
200 determined for this practice. The calculations were made in citric acid.

201 **2.4.5. Nitrate Analysis:** According to Cataldo et al. (1975), it was carried out through the  
202 spectrophotometric method.

203 **2.4.6. Amount of Chlorophyll:** Chlorophyll in the leaves was measured through a  
204 chlorophyll meter during the harvest period.

205 **2.4.7. Light Intensity:** The light intensity of the practices was measured through a  
206 planimeter.

## 207 **2.5. Statistical Analysis**

208 (ANOVA) SPSS (Version 12.00; Chicago, IL, USA) statistical software was applied for  
209 evaluation of the data obtained in the practice and analysis of variance. The comparison of  
210 the means was made according to Duncan test at  $P \leq 0.05$  level.

## 211 **3. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION**

### 212 **3.1. Effect of Light Practices on Yield, Some Properties and Leaf Nitrate Content of** 213 **Lettuce Plant**

214 In this study in which different coloured LED lights and their combinations were used, yield  
215 and some properties of lettuce plant in spring period are given in Tables 1 and 2. Whereas  
216 the plant head weights were 804 g/pc in the control practice, the highest head weight was  
217 obtained in the red and yellow light practices. The head weight was reduced in the light  
218 combined with blue and red. Light practices were effective at 1% significance level. The  
219 effect of light practices on plant diameter and plant height of lettuce was not statistically  
220 significant. Plant diameter was 32.6 cm in yellow light practice and 32.5 cm in blue light  
221 practice. While the average plant height was 28.2 cm, the highest lettuce lengths were  
222 obtained in the control practice and mixture of blue and red.

223 **Table 1. The effect of light practices in spring cultivation on head weight, plant**  
224 **diameter, and height of lettuce**

Light Practices	Plant head weight (g / piece)**	Plant diameter (cm)	Height of plant (cm)
Control	804 c	31.3	30.5
Blue	820 c	32.5	28.3
Yellow	828 c	32.6	27.6
Red	840 a	31.9	27.3
Blue + Red	836 b	32.6	30.7
Yellow + Blue	825 c	32.5	27.9
Red + Yellow	814 d	32.3	27.2
B + Y + R	820 c	31.9	26.5
<b>Mean</b>	<b>823</b>	<b>32.2</b>	<b>28.2</b>

225 The differences between the means in each column were determined through Duncan test. N.I: Not  
226 important; \*  $P < 0.05$ ; \*\*  $P < 0.01$  is important;

227 The effect of light practices on the WSDS, titratable acid, pH, vitamin C, and chlorophyll  
228 content of leaves of lettuce plant was not determined to be statistically significant. Whereas

229 the amount of water soluble dry substance of the lettuce cultivated during the spring period  
 230 was 5.4 %, it was close to this amount in other practices. While the titratable amount of  
 231 lettuce plant was 1.74 % on average, it was measured that the pH values were 6.02 on  
 232 average and that vitamin C amount was 11.3 mg/100 g.

233 **Table 2. The effect of light practices on WSDS, pH, titratable acid, vitamin C,**  
 234 **chlorophyll content of lettuce leaves cultivated in spring period**

Light Practices	WSDS (%)	TA (%)	pH	Vitamin C (mg/100 g)
Control	5.4	1.64	6.05	10.6
Blue	5.8	1.75	5.93	11.6
Yellow	5.5	1.61	6.05	11.4
Red	5.4	1.64	6.06	12.1
Blue + Red	5.4	1.91	6.11	11.3
Yellow + Blue	5.4	1.68	6.04	11.5
Red + Yellow	4.9	1.73	5.98	11.2
B + Y + R	5.1	1.98	6.01	11.3
<b>Mean</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>1.74</b>	<b>6.02</b>	<b>11.3</b>

235 The differences between the means in each column were determined through Duncan test. N.I: Not  
 236 important; \* P <0.05; \*\* P <0.01 is important;

237 Whereas the average head yield of spring cultivation was 823 gr/pc, it was 703 gr/pc in  
 238 autumn cultivation. It is thought that this yield difference is due to growing time, temperature  
 239 difference in greenhouse, and differences in lightening times. Due to the high light and  
 240 temperature in the spring, it increased photosynthesis through biomass and vegetative  
 241 components and therefore increased the growth.

242 In this study in which different coloured LED lights and their combinations were used, yield  
 243 and some properties of lettuce plant in autumn cultivation are presented in Tables 3 and 4.  
 244 Whereas the plant head weights were 650 g/pc in the control practice, the highest head  
 245 weight was obtained in red and yellow-blue light practices. The weight of the head was  
 246 decreased in light carried out in the control practice. Light practices were effective at 1%  
 247 significance level. The effect of light practices on plant diameter and plant height of lettuce  
 248 was not statistically significant. Plant diameter was measured as 33.2 cm in blue-red light  
 249 practice, and it was 33.2 cm in blue-yellow-red light practice. While the average plant height  
 250 was 26.4 cm, the highest lettuce lengths were obtained in the control practice and mixture of  
 251 blue and red.

252 **Table 3. The effect of light practices in autumn cultivation on head weight,**  
 253 **plant diameter, and height of lettuce plant**

Light Practices	Plant head weight (g / piece)**	Plant diameter (cm)	Height of plant (cm)
-----------------	---------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------

Control	650 c	32.3	27.3
Blue	670 bc	30.2	24.5
Yellow	720 b	32.2	26.5
Red	732 a	32.5	26.4
Blue + Red	723 b	33.2	28.6
Yellow + Blue	732 a	32.4	25.6
Red + Yellow	710 b	32.1	26.5
B + Y + R	690 bc	33.2	25.9
<b>Mean</b>	<b>703</b>	<b>32.3</b>	<b>26.4</b>

254 The differences between the means in each column were determined through Duncan test. N.I: Not  
 255 important; \* P <0.05; \*\* P <0.01 is important;

256 The effect of light practices on the WSDS, titratable acid, pH, vitamin C, and chlorophyll  
 257 content of leaves of lettuce plant was not determined as statistically significant. Whereas the  
 258 amount of water soluble dry substance of lettuce cultivated in the spring period was 5.2%, it  
 259 was close to this amount in other practices. While the titratable amount of lettuce plant was  
 260 1.73% on average, it was measured that the pH values were 5.69 on average and that the  
 261 vitamin C amount was 12 mg / 100 g. Chlorophyll values of lettuce leaves were periodically  
 262 examined, and the average is showed in the table.

263

264 **Table 4. The effect of light practices in autumn cultivation on WSDS, pH, titratable**  
 265 **acid, vitamin C, chlorophyll content of lettuce leaves**

<b>Light Practices</b>	<b>WSDS (%)</b>	<b>TA (%)</b>	<b>pH</b>	<b>Vitamin C (mg/100 g)</b>
Control	4.9	1.73	5.75	11.5
Blue	5.2	1.78	5.68	12.1
Yellow	5.1	1.71	5.71	11.9
Red	5.1	1.69	5.72	11.8
Blue + Red	5.2	1.74	5.73	12.5
Yellow + Blue	5.2	1.67	5.66	11.9
Red + Yellow	5.4	1.74	5.64	11.9
B + Y + R	5.6	1.78	5.61	12.6
<b>Mean</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>1.73</b>	<b>5.69</b>	<b>12.0</b>

266 The differences between the means in each column were determined through Duncan test. N.I: Not  
 267 important; \* P <0.05; \*\* P <0.01 is important;



268 **3.2. Comparison of Spring and Autumn Cultivation in terms of Yield and Leaf Nitrate**  
269 **Amount**

270 Numerous factors determine the amount of leaf nitrate. The most effective of these factors is  
271 light. The nitrate content of lettuce leaf cultivated in spring and autumn is presented in Table  
272 5. When the average of spring period and autumn period were examined, it was measured  
273 that the average lettuce leaves in autumn period was 1968.3 mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>, this amount was  
274 measured as 1792.8 mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> in spring period. There is a linear correlation between total  
275 biomass and nitrate content of the plant.

276 **Table 5. The effect of light practices on nitrate content of lettuce leaves in spring and**  
277 **autumn cultivation**

Light Practices	Spring Period Nitrate content** mg NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup>	Autumn Period Nitrate content** mg NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup>
Control	1880.5 a	2008.8 a
Blue	1784.5 b	1910.8 b
Yellow	1794.8 b	1920.3 cd
Red	1772.5 d	1924.6 cd
Blue + Red	1775.8 d	1950.6 c
Yellow + Blue	1785.5 c	1898.6 d
Red + Yellow	1784.7 c	1954.6 c
B + Y + R	1764.5 d	1978.3 c
<b>Mean</b>	<b>1792.8 B</b>	<b>1968.3 A</b>

278 The differences between the means in each column were determined through Duncan test. N.I.: Not  
279 important; \* P <0.05; \*\* P <0.01 is important;

280 This result was acquired since it was tested through the light measurements that the plant  
281 received more light in the spring period. As the lightening time of the plant increased,  
282 nitrogenase enzyme increased, and leaf nitrate amount decreased. Some other studies have  
283 reported the same results in this direction. Different light practices varied in terms of quantity.  
284 In particular, red light and its combinations significantly reduced the nitrate content. The  
285 lowest nitrate content in spring period was obtained in red light and in the combination of red  
286 and blue light. In autumn period, nitrate decreases were observed in the combination of  
287 yellow and blue light, yellow, and red light practices.

288 **4. Conclusion**

289 In this research, it was observed that there was a yield difference between spring and  
290 autumn cultivation. The use of LED lights in crop production has increased the efficiency  
291 according to the control conditions. It is understood that the use of LED lights in agriculture  
292 and the necessity to study these kinds of studies in detail and the necessity with these and  
293 other studies. In winter (December, January, February, March) light intensity decreases,  
294 additional lighting is needed in greenhouse plant growing. In the studies conducted with led  
295 lighting, it has been reported that the effect of the lightings made during seedling period on  
296 growth and development is quite high (Hernandez and Kubota, 2014; Köksal et al.,  
297 2014). This situation can be explained through the temperature difference and duration of  
298 lightening. On the other hand, in light practices, there was a difference in efficiency in

299 practices where red light and its combinations were made according to control conditions.  
300 One of the main factors determining the nitrate content of plants is light. Nitrate contents in  
301 plants are influenced by light intensity, photoperiod and photoperiod. According to the  
302 researches, nitrate accumulation increased in low light conditions such as winter season and  
303 nitrate content decreased at high light intensities. Besides, significant decreases were  
304 observed in leaf nitrate contents compared to control conditions. Especially in the  
305 greenhouse conditions with cloudy autumn period and low duration of lightening,  
306 implementation of 7-24 light practices will cause the plant to carry out more biochemical  
307 activities. This also will lead to further development of vegetative components.

308 Even though technological innovations are offering a wide variety of possibilities and  
309 different solutions for growing plants almost everywhere, the energy demand is still the main  
310 limiting factor of these cultivation systems. For this reason, it is necessary to evaluate and  
311 consider the feasibility of these solutions in the proper context, thus economic and  
312 environmental studies will be needed to assay the real sustainability of such innovative  
313 growing systems on a larger scale.

314

## 315 REFERENCES

- 316 Anonim,2011.DijitalTeknik.S:102.Available:<http://www.neoneon.com.tr/uploads/basinda/510b>  
317 [9ae5824653d8.pdf](http://www.neoneon.com.tr/uploads/basinda/510b9ae5824653d8.pdf)(erişim:21.05.2012).  
318 Anonim,2014.  
319 <http://hbogm.meb.gov.tr/modulerprogramlar/kursprogramlari/bahcecilik/moduller/topra>  
320 [ksiz\\_tarima\\_hazirlik.pdf](http://hbogm.meb.gov.tr/modulerprogramlar/kursprogramlari/bahcecilik/moduller/topra)  
321 Blom TJ, Tsujita MJ, Roberts GL, 1995. Far-red at end of day and reduced irradiance affect  
322 plant height of easter and asiatic hybrid lilies. *HortScience* 30: 1009-1012.  
323 Cataldo ve ark., 1975. Commun Soil and Plant Anal. B (!), 71-80  
324 Chia PL, Kubota C, 2010. End-of-day far-red light quality and dose requirements for tomato  
325 rootstock hypocotyl elongation. *HortScience* 45: 1501-1506.  
326 Decoteau DR, Kasperbauer MJ, Daniels DD, Hunt PG,1988. Plastic mulch color effects on  
327 reflected light and tomato plant-growth. *Sci.Hortic* 34: 169-175.  
328 Düzgüneş, O., Kesici, T., Kavuncu, O., Gürbüz, F., 1987. Araştırma ve deneme metodları.  
329 Ankara Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Yayın No: 1021. Ankara.  
330 Fisher, P. And E. Runkle. 2004. Light up Protips: Understanding Greenhouse Lighting.  
331 Meister Media Worldwide, Willoughby, Ohio.  
332 Johansen NS, Eriksen AS, Mortensen L., 2011. Light quality influences trap catches of  
333 *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande) and *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* (Westwood).  
334 Integrated control in protected crops, temperate climate *IOBC/wprs Bulletin* 68: 89-92.  
335 Johkan M, Shoji K, Goto F, Hahida S, Yoshihara T., 2010. Blue light-emitting diode light  
336 irradiation of seedlings improves seedling quality and growth after transplanting in red  
337 leaf lettuce. *HortScience* 45: 1809-1814.  
338 Jones, Jr., J. B., 1983. A Guide For The Hydroponic & Soilless Culture Grower. ISBN: 0-  
339 917304- 49-7. Timber Press. Oregon.  
340 Hernandez, R. ve Kubota, C., 2014. Growth and morphological response of cucumber  
341 seedlings to supplemental red and blue photon flux ratios under varied solar daily light  
342 integrals. *Scientia Horticulturae* 173, 92-99.  
343 Kacar, B., Katkat A.V.ve Öztürk Ş., 2010. Bitki Fizyolojisi.,Nobel Yayın Dağıtım,556s,  
344 Ankara.  
345 Köksal, N., İncesu, M. ve Teke, A., 2014. LED aydınlatma sisteminin domates bitkisinin  
346 gelişimi üzerine etkileri. *Tarım Bilimleri Araştırma Dergisi* 7 (1), 53-57.  
347 Macedo, A.F., Leal-Costa, M.V., Tavares, E. S., Lage, C. L. S. ve Esquibel, M. A., 2011. The  
348 effect of light quality on leaf production and development of in vitro-cultured plants of

349 Alternanthera brasiliiana Kuntze Environmental and Experimental Botany, Volume 70,  
350 Issue 1, January 2011, 43-50.

351 Okamoto K, Yanagi T, Takita S, Tanaka M, Higuchi T, Ushida Y, Watanabe H., 1996.  
352 Development of plant growth apparatus using blue and red LED as artificial light  
353 source, *Acta Hort.* 440: 111-116.

354 Pinho P, Lukkala R, Särkkä L, Tetri E, Tahvonen R, Halonen L., 2007. Evaluation of lettuce  
355 growth under multi-spectral-component supplemental solid state lighting in  
356 greenhouse environment. *International Review of Electrical Engineering (I.R.E.E.)*  
357 2(6):854-860.

358 Runkle E., 2010. The future of greenhouse lighting. *gpn* 66.

359 Samuoliene, G., Sirtautas, R., Brazaityte, A., Virsile, A., ve Duchovskis, P., 2012.  
360 Supplementary red-LED lighting and the changes in phytochemical content of two  
361 baby leaf lettuce varieties during three seasons. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and*  
362 *Environment* 10, 701-706.

363 Ünal, A., 2009. Aydınlatma Tasarımı ve Proje Uygulamaları. Birsen Yayınevi, Umut  
364 Matbaası, 613 sayfa. İstanbul.

365 Wojciechowska, R., Kolton, A., Dlugozs-Grochowska, O. ve Knop, E., 2016. Nitrate content  
366 in Valerianella locusta L. Plants is affected by supplemental LED lighting. *Scientia*  
367 *Horticulturae* 211 (2016) 179-186.

368 Yağcıoğlu A K., 1996. Tarımsal Elektrifikasyon. Ege Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Yayınları:  
369 488, İzmir

370 Yağcıoğlu A K, Demir V & Günhan T., 2004. Seraya giren faydalı ışınım enerjisini  
371 hesaplamak için bir yöntem-I. Ege Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi 41(2):143-154

372 Yağcıoğlu A K., 2005. Sera Mekanizasyonu. Ege Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Yayınları: 562,  
373 İzmir

374 Yanagi T, Okamoto K., 1997. Utilization of super-bright light emitting diodes as an artificial  
375 light source for plant growth. *Acta Hort. (ISHS)* 418: 223-228.

376 Yanagi T, Okamoto K, Takita S., 1996. Effects of blue, red and blue/red lights of two different  
377 PPF levels on growth and morphogenesis of lettuce plants. *Acta Hort. (ISHS)*. 440:  
378 117-122.

379 Yang ZC, Kubota C, Chia PL, Kacira M., 2012. Effect of end-of-day far-red light from a  
380 movable LED fixture on squash rootstock hypocotyl elongation. *Scientia Horticulturae*  
381 136: 81-86.

382 Yorio NC, Goins GD, Kagie HR, Wheeler RM, Sager JC., 2001. Improving spinach, radish  
383 and lettuce growth under red light-emitting diodes (LEDs) with blue light  
384 supplementation, *HortScience* 36(2):380-383.

385 Zhang, X., He., D., Niu, G., Yan, Z. ve Song, J., 2018. Effects of environment lighting on the  
386 growth, photosynthesis, and quality of hydroponic lettuce in a plant factory. *Int J.*  
387 *Agric. and Bio. Eng.*, 12 (2), 33-40.

388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394