

34 as regular intake of okra provides calcium and magnesium for the body; supplying the body with
35 antioxidants – flavonoids and polyphenols – which promote glycogen storage in the liver [5]; and also
36 helps to control hunger owing to its rich content of soluble fibre that gives a feeling of fullness. Okra
37 equally helps in the fight against cancer as it contains lectin a nutrient known to inhibit cancer cells'
38 growth by about 63 percent [6]. It as well strengthens the bones and prevents osteoporosis, a disease of
39 the bone. Okra seed oil is a rich source of linoleic acid, a polyunsaturated fatty acid essential for human
40 nutrition [7]. With the availability of minimum funding and adequate attention, okra farming is a venture
41 that yields huge returns within 60 days. Despite the economic value of this important vegetable crop, its
42 potential as a wealth creator especially among the teeming unemployed population in Nigeria is
43 hampered by improper management practices especially in the control of insects that are responsible for
44 about 69% loss in the marketable yield [8]. The most terrible and economic insect pests causing
45 significant loss in terms of quality and quantity include spiny bollworms, cotton aphids, okra shoot, and
46 fruit borer, okra jassid and whitefly [9]. Majority of the Nigerian Small-holders' farmers have resorted to the
47 use of synthetic insecticides to combat these notorious pests, but the extensive use of these chemical
48 synthetics have led to problems of pest resistance to several pesticides, high level of pesticide residues in
49 food, threat to human health and the environment [10]. With increasing concern for environmentally
50 sound strategies in the control of pests, the development of alternative natural pesticides has now
51 become an imperative need. **Turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) is an important medicinal plant with reported
52 insecticidal activity but with limited information on its efficacy against okra insect pests. This study
53 therefore aims at investigating the efficacy of turmeric and sub-lethal dose of lambda-cyhalothrin on
54 insect pests' infestation and performance of okra.**

55 **Materials and Methods**

56 **Description of Study Site and Field Procedure**

57 The study was carried out at the Teaching and Research Farm of the Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti,
58 Nigeria (Latitude 7° 31N and Longitude 5° 13E and Altitude 730 m above sea level) in the rain forest agro-
59 ecological zone during 2017 cropping season. The experimental field was laid out in a randomized
60 complete block design of four treatments and replicated three times. The treatments were: *Curcuma*
61 *longa* 5%, *Curcuma longa* 20%, lambda-cyhalothrin, and a control. Each plot measured 3 m x 3 m with
62 spacing of 1 m in-between the rows, and 0.5 m within the row to give a plant population of 28 plants per
63 plot. The okra seeds were planted two seeds per hole and were later thinned to one plant per hole one
64 week after germination. Manual weeding was carried out at three weeks interval throughout the
65 experiment.

66 **Source of Materials**

67 Okra seed, Clemson spineless was procured from Premier Seeds, Ado-Ekiti. This susceptible variety of
68 okra was controlled with lambdacyhalothrin (2.5 E.C) (procured from a chemical store), turmeric (procured
69 from a local market).

70 **Preparation of Aqueous Extract of *Curcuma longa***

71 250g rhizome of *Curcuma longa* was weighed on a scale and pounded using mortar and pestle. The
72 paste of the plant was dissolved in 1000ml of distilled water and mixed thoroughly after which it was left
73 for 24 hours. The aqueous solution was filtered with a muslin cloth, and the filtrate was kept in the
74 refrigerator as stock solution. 20 ml each of *Curcuma longa* extract was measured from the stock solution
75 out of which 5 and 20% concentrations were calculated.

76 **Application of the treatments**

77 The Foliar application was done using manually operated hand sprayers. The treatments were applied in
78 the morning to prevent photodecomposition of the extracts and application of treatments commenced two
79 weeks after planting and was repeated weekly for five weeks. The control (unsprayed plots) and
80 lambdacyhalothrin were included for comparison.

81 **Data Collection**

82 **Insect Pest Infestation**

83 The efficacy of the treatments was assessed through visual counting of pest population densities on five
84 selected stands each from the two middle rows of each plot. The counting was carefully done at the early
85 hours in the morning between 6:30 and 7:30 am by observing the leaves, stem, and pods of the selected
86 plants. This exercise was carried out a day after application of treatment.

87 **Yield Attributes**

88 The number and weights of okra fruits harvested from the plots were counted and weighed respectively.

89

90

91

92 Data Analysis

93 Data on insect counts were transformed using square root transformation before analyzing them. Growth
94 and yield parameters recorded were subjected to analysis of variance and means of the significant
95 treatments was compared by Duncan Multiple Range Test at α 5%.

96 Result and Discussion

97 Table 1 show that the cocktail of pests infesting the field was majorly from the order Coleoptera. An array
98 of beetles was observed which include the Flea beetles; *Phyllotreta striolata* and *Podagrica* spp as well
99 as the flower or pollen beetles; *Coryna* spp., and *Mylabris* spp. Other observed pests include the hairy
100 caterpillar and some lacewing (*Chrysoperla carnea*). *Phyllotreta striolata* and *Podagrica* spp were the
101 most prominent pests attacking the foliage. Out of the several important pests (*Aphis gossypii*, *Amrasca*
102 *spp.*, *Zonocerus variegatus*, *Bemisia tabaci*, *Tetranhchus cinnabarius*, *Podagrica spp.*,) reported to attack
103 okra, *Podagrica spp* are of great economic importance [11]. These beetles appear in large numbers
104 making characteristic small holes on okra leaves. At the beginning of their infestation, they peel the leaf
105 epidermis, and damaged tissues appear sieve-like. This feeding activity by *Podagrica spp* reduces the
106 photosynthetic surface area of the leaves and results in great reduction in okra yield [12]. This beetle was
107 also implicated as a vector of okra mosaic virus [13] which causes yield losses of up to 90% in okra [14].
108 The blister beetle's name, derived from its secretions which normally cause blister on the skin. The adults
109 of this pest are either found feeding on the leaves biting off irregular patches or on the flowers where they
110 reduce pod set.

111

112 Table 1: Insect Pests Attacking Okra Field

S/N	Scientific Name	Common Name	Stage of Infestation
1	<i>Podagrica</i> spp	Flea beetles	Vegetative and Reproductive
2	<i>Phyllotreta striolata</i>	Flea beetles	Vegetative and Reproductive
3	<i>Coryna</i> spp	Blister beetle	Vegetative and Reproductive
4.	Caterpillar	Bollworm	Vegetative
5.	<i>Chrysoperla carnea</i>	lacewing	Vegetative

113

114 Table 2 shows the effect of treatments on the population densities of *P. striolata*. At first, second, third to
 115 fifth week after treatment application (WAT), plots treated to Lambdacyhalothrin consistently had lowest
 116 population density of *P. striolata*. Plots treated to 20% v/v concentration of *C. longa* had lower number of
 117 *P. striolata* infestation when compared to those plots treated to 5% v/v concentration of *C. longa*.
 118 However, all the plots treated to either *C. longa* (5% v/v and 20% v/v) or lambdacyhalothrin had
 119 significantly reduced the number of these pests attacking them when compared to the untreated plots.

120 As shown in Table 3, lambdacyhalothrin and *C. longa* (both at 5% and 20% v/v concentrations) exhibited
 121 insecticidal control against *Podagrica* spp. Although the population of *Podagrica* spp was lower on
 122 lambdacyhalothrin treated plots, *Curcuma longa* treated plots relatively competed effectively with the
 123 synthetic insecticide. Aqueous extracts of plants have been evaluated for their toxicity against flea beetles
 124 by several researchers [14,15,16,17,18]. Plants including *Acorus calamus*, *Ageratum conyzoides*,
 125 *Azadirachta indica*, *Duranta repens*, *Spilanthes acmella* and *Urtica dioca* have been reported for their
 126 high mortality and repellency against flea beetles. Neem- based products were reported effective with
 127 high mortality against the crucifer flea beetle. Tumeric, *C. longa* has also shown some pesticidal activities
 128 against the flea beetle. This pesticidal property may be due to the active compound curcuminoids found in
 129 its rhizome [19].

130
131
132
133

Table 2. Effect of treatments on the population densities of *P. striolata*

Treatment	Weeks After Treatment				
	1	2	3	4	5
Control	11.67a	9.67a	9.67a	6.33a	5.00a
<i>C. longa</i> _{5%}	3.00b	3.67b	4.00ab	2.67b	3.00ab
<i>C. longa</i> _{20%}	2.67b	2.67bc	2.67ab	0.67b	0.67b
Lambdacyhalothrin	1.33b	2.33c	1.33b	1.50b	0.83b

134
135
136
137

138 Table 3. Effect of treatments on the population densities of *Podagrica* spp.

Treatment	Weeks After Treatment				
	1	2	3	4	5
Control	13.00a	12.67a	8.33a	8.67a	5.00a
<i>C. longa</i> _{5%}	4.33b	3.00b	2.67a	1.33b	1.33b
<i>C. longa</i> _{20%}	3.33b	2.33bc	3.33a	1.00b	1.00b
Lambdacyhalothrin	0.67b	1.67c	1.33a	1.00b	0.83b

139

140 Table 4 shows the effects of the treatments on okra yield. The highest number and greatest weight of
 141 pods were harvested from the plots treated to synthetic chemical. *C. longa* treated plots (at 20%
 142 concentration) were next in the ranking. Though the yield recorded in *C. longa* treated plots was
 143 significantly lower than that of the synthetic treated plots, they differ significantly from the plots which no
 144 treatment was applied. The efficacy of *C. longa* in controlling insect pests, which is expected to culminate
 145 into optimal plant performance, might be dependent on some intrinsic qualities. Jilani and Su [20]
 146 reported that petroleum ether extracts from the rhizomes of *C. longa* were more effective than acetone
 147 and ethanol extracts when tested against *Tribolium castaneum*. Surface treatment of wheat seeds with
 148 turmeric extracts of different solvents was reported not effective against adults of *Sitophilus oryzae* and
 149 *Rhizopertha dominica* except for petroleum ether extracts which gave low mortality percentage against
 150 *Sitophilus oryzae* and greater percentage mortality against *Rhizopertha dominica* at 4% concentration
 151 [21]. These documented reports suggest that the efficacy of *C. longa* might be solvent dependent. The
 152 water extract of rhizomes exhibited some insecticidal control and could be a potent insecticide in
 153 protecting okra plant against infestation by the flea beetles which attack both the leaves and the flowers
 154 leading into fruit abortion and consequently a reduction in fruit yield [22]. Bearing in mind the cost
 155 implication of applying synthetic and its negative environmental impacts, we suggest that farmers in Ekiti
 156 State adopt this botanical especially when the profits accrue from okra sales outweigh their net
 157 investments at harvest.

158

159

160

161

162

163

164 Table 4. Effects of treatment on the yield of okra

Treatments	Number of Pods	Weight of Pods
Control	9.33d	117.80d
<i>Curcuma longa</i> 5%	29.33c	389.17c
<i>Curcuma longa</i> 20%	42.00b	634.63b
Lambdacyhalothrin	56.33a	843.37a

165

217 Conclusion

218 This result shows that *Curcuma longa* is a potential botanical pesticide for the management of field insect
219 pests of okra and the observed variations in efficacy and yield between lambdacyhalothrin and *C. longa*
220 suggested that the efficacy of *C. longa* might be solvent dependent. Comparatively, *C. longa* compete
221 effectively with lambdacyhalothrin in checking pest infestation and produced significantly higher yields
222 than the untreated plots. Therefore, we recommend that farmers in Ekiti consider its use as an eco-
223 botanical pesticide.

224

225 References

- 226 1. Adesina JM, Ileke KD, Yallappa R, Ofuya TI. Insecticidal evaluation of *bridelia micrantha* and
227 *dalbergia lacteal* aqueous extract for the control of *podagrica uniforma* (jacoby) and *niscoetra*
228 *dilecta* (jacoby) (coleopteran chsomelidae) infestation on okra. *AGRIVITA Journal of Agric. Sc.*
229 2016;38(3): 269-274
- 230 2. Iqbal J, Ali H, Hassan MW, Jamil M. Evaluation of indigenous plant extracts against sucking
231 insect pest of okra crop. *Pak. Entomol.* 2015;37(1): 39-44
- 232 3. Yonas M, Garedew W, Debela A. Multivariate analysis among okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.)
233 Moench) collection in South Western Ethiopia. *Journal of Plant Sciences.* 2014;9(2): 43-50.

- 234 4. Vermudy V. Marking survey need to boost okra exports. Department of Economics, Vivekananda
235 College, Puttur, Karnataka, India. 2011.
- 236 5. Arapitsas P. Identification and quantification of polyphenolic compounds from okra seeds and
237 skins. *Food Chem.* 2008;110:1041-1045.
- 238 6. Monte LG, Santi-Gadelha T, Reis LB, Braganhol E, Prietsch RF, Dellagostin OA, Pinto LS. Lectin
239 of *Abelmoschus esculentus* (okra) promotes selective antitumor effects in human breast cancer
240 cells [Abstract]. *Biotechnology Letter.* 2013;36(3), 461-469.
- 241 7. Gemedede HF, Ratta N, Haki GD, Woldegiorgis AZ, Beyene F. Nutritional Quality and Health
242 Benefits of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*): A Review. *International Journal of Nutrition and Food
243 Sciences.* 2015;4(2): 208-215
- 244 8. Rawat RR, Sahu HR. Estimation of losses in growth and yield of okra due to *Earias* spp. *Indian
245 Journal of Entomology.* 1973;35; 252-254.
- 246 9. Aziz MA, Hasan M, Ali A. Impact of abiotic factors on incidence of fruit and shoot damage of
247 spotted bollworms *Earias* spp. on Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). *Pakistan J Zool.* 2011;
248 43:863-868.
- 249 10. Damalas CA. Potential uses of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) products as alternative means of pest
250 management in crop production. *Plant Omics Journal.* 2011;4(3): 136-141
- 251 11. Fasunwon BT, Banjo AD. Seasonal Fluctuations of *Podagrica* sp on Okra Plant *Abelmoschus
252 esculentus* (L.) Moench. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences.* 2010;6:283-
253 288.
- 254 12. Echezona BL, Offordile JI. Responses of flea beetles (*Podagrica* spp.) and okra plants
255 (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench) to differently coloured polyethylene shades. *International
256 Journal of Pest Management.* 2011;57(2), 161-168.
- 257 13. Brunt AK, Crabtree MJ, Callwitz AJ, Gibbs L, Zurcher EJ. Plant Viruses online. In: Description
258 and lists from the VIDE database. Available at http://biology.anu.edu.au/Groups/ME_S/videl/.
259 1996.
- 260 14. Alegbejo M, Ogunlana M, Banwo O. Survey for incidence of okra mosaic virus in northern Nigeria
261 and evidence for its transmission by beetles. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research.* 2008;6:
262 408-411.
- 263 15. Palaniswamy P, Wise I. Effects of neem based products on number and feeding activity of
264 crucifer flea beetle, *Phyllotreta cruciferae* (Goeze) on canola. *J Agric Entomol* 1994;11(1): 49-60
- 265 16. Joshi SL, Paneru RB). Botanicals against insect pests of agricultural importance in Nepal. Paper
266 presented on "Regional training program in chemistry of natural products and related fields";
267 Kathmandu, Nepal. Kathmandu: Central Department of Chemistry, Tribhuvan University. 1999,
268 May 18-28;

- 269 17. Subedi IP, Vaidya K. Control of flea beetle, *Phyllotreta nemorum* L.(Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae)
270 using locally available natural resources. *Himalayan Journal of Sciences*.1994;1(2): 111-114.
- 271 18. Odewole AF, Alao FO, Agboola AA, Adedapo BF. Comparative efficacy of plant extracts with
272 synthetic against insect pests of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *International Letters*
273 *of Natural Sciences*. 204;19: 52-58.
- 274 19. Revathy S, Elumalai S, Benny M, Antony B. Isolation, purification and identification of
275 curcuminoids from turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) by column chromatography. *J. Exp. Sci.* 2011;2:
276 21–25.
- 277 20. Jilani G, Su HCF. Laboratory studies on several plant materials as insect repellants for protection
278 of cereal grains. *J Econ Entomol.* 1983; 76:154-157.
- 279 21. Matter MM, Salem SA, Abou-Ela RG, El-Kholy MY. Toxicity and repelency of *Trigonella foenum-*
280 *graecum* and *Curcuma longa* L. extracts to *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) and *Rhizopertha dominica*
281 (Fab.) (Coleoptera). *Egypt J Biol Pest Control.* 2008; 8:149-154.
- 282 22. Alao FO, Adebayo TA. Comparative efficacy of *Tephrosia vogelii* and *Moringa oleifera* against
283 insect pests of watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* Thumb). *International Letters of Natural Sciences.*
284 2015;35:71-78.