

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

EVALUATION OF EFFECTIVE AND SAFE EXTRACTION METHOD FOR ANALYSIS OF POLYCYCLIC AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS IN KOLANUTS FROM COTE D'IVOIRE

Aims: The current study targets the achievement of a reliable process for the determination of PAH contents in kola nuts for better appreciation of the risks incurred from the consumption of such food products.

Study design: kola nuts collected from two big storage centers were analyzed after the validation of the proposed analytical method.

Place and Duration of Study: Central Laboratory for Food Hygiene and Agro-Industry, LANADA in Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, running 2018.

Methodology: Two references were used for the validation of the analytical method, namely the French standard NF V 03-110 and the ISO directive ISO/DIS/15753 applicable in Liquid Chromatography. The PAH contents of some samples collected from different stores were then determined

Results: From the data, a significant regression chart was recorded for the PAH detection graphs. All the analysis exhibited good linearity with significant correlation coefficients ($R^2 > 0.99$). The relative standard deviations of the repeatability and reproducibility assays are below 3%, whereas standard additions of PAH are fully recovered, with percentages close to 100%.

Conclusion: Using this analytical method, kola nuts contamination by PAH have been determined with satisfactory. This analytical method could help in ensuring effective sanitary control at different critical points of kola nut distribution channel for promoting a good management of the toxicity concerns in such products

9
10
11

Keywords: PAH, Cola nitida, Method validation, HPLC

1. INTRODUCTION

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) represent a class of relatively stable organic contaminants with at least two fused aromatic rings consisting essentially of carbon and hydrogen atoms [1, 2]. These are important environmental pollutants that are formed and released during incomplete combustion or pyrolysis of organic matter, during industrial processes and other human activities [3, 4]. Indeed, the formation of PAH goes through a process of carbonization, where the initial matrix undergoes a chemical transformation and a rearrangement to give a more condensed polycyclic aromatic structure [5].

PAHs can be of natural or anthropogenic origin [2, 6]. From these origins arise environmental and professional exposure [7]. Food can be contaminated through the environment by PAHs from air, soil, water, automobile exhaust, and during industrial or domestic cooking or cooking [8, 9]. Thus, PAHs have been detected in certain foods such as vegetable oils, seafood, meat, tea, coffee, rice, tomato, potato, fruit and infant foods [10, 11, 6]. According to Walker [12], PAHs show a high toxicity towards living organisms including carcinogenic, teratogenic and mutagenic properties. Also, many epidemiological studies have shown that PAHs are responsible for cancers of the lungs, skin and bladder, stunting in living organisms [13,14, 15].

This proven toxicity is a real public health problem for many governments and a hindrance to the export of some agricultural products that are widely prized by Western industries such as kola nuts. Indeed, kola is the first agricultural product of trade between the countries of the Community of West African States (CEDEAO). Also, Côte d'Ivoire is the largest producer and exporter of kola nuts in the world with an annual export earnings reaching 130 billion FCFA [16, 17].

Kola nuts have an increasing interest for industries, mainly because of their richness in bioactive and functional compounds such as polyphenols, caffeine and theobromine [18, 19]. They constitute an important raw material in the formulation of pharmaceutical, food, cosmetic and textile products [20, 21, 19].

However, 90% of the production of fresh cola is consumed daily by the population and this, in many sociocultural rituals such as weddings, baptisms, expressions of friendship, funerals and rituals of sacrifice [22, 20]. Thus, after the harvesting of the nuts, a large quantity of the production undergoes a more or less long road which leaves from the producer to the big stockage centers while passing by various warehouses [17, 23]. This situation could lead to an exposure of populations from here and elsewhere to the serious problems of toxicity of several substances in the environment such as PAHs. Among these molecules, Benzo(a)pyrene, Benzo(b)fluoranthene, Benzo(a)anthracene, Chrysene, Fluoranthene, Benzo(k)fluoranthene, Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, Benzo(g,h,i)perylene and Indeno(1,2,3, c, d) pyrene are commonly analyzed in the different components of the environment because of their presence in water and food and their carcinogenic properties [24]. Considering the health risks and taking into account the consumer protection, there is a need to set up the systematic control of PAH levels in foodstuffs in order to guarantee the safety of the noted molecules. This control involves a fast, reliable and significant method. The objective of this study is the establishment and the validation of the quantification method of PAH in the kola nuts from Côte d'Ivoire.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Biological material

A sample of cereal references (1-1844-0031) composed of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon concentrations obtained from the BIPEA network was used: Benzo(a)pyrene ($15.7 \pm 0.86 \mu\text{g/L}$), Benzo(a)anthracene ($11.3 \pm 0.56 \mu\text{g/L}$), Benzo(b)fluoranthene ($18.3 \pm 0.91 \mu\text{g/L}$), Chrysene ($12.8 \pm 0.65 \mu\text{g/L}$), Fluoranthene ($97.7 \pm 3.81 \mu\text{g/L}$), Benzo(k)fluoranthene ($7.7 \pm 0.23 \mu\text{g/L}$), Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene ($14.4 \pm 0.66 \mu\text{g/L}$), Benzo(g,h,i)perylene ($12.3 \mu\text{g/L}$) and Indeno(1,2,3,c,d)pyrene ($11.8 \pm 0.47 \mu\text{g/L}$).

Cola nitida Vent. (Schott & Endl.) samples collected two big storage centers of Anyama (kola nut city in Côte d'Ivoire) served as an experimental matrix. Kola nuts was authenticated by a botanist in the laboratory of Botany, Training and Research Unit of Biosciences, Felix HOUPHOUËT-BOIGNY University where a voucher specimen was documented.

2.2 Reagents

Standard individual solutions of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons certified by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) were used: Benzo(a)pyrene (0.01 g/l), Benzo(b)fluoranthene (0.2 g/l), Benzo(a)anthracene (1 g/l), Chrysene (1 g/l), Fluoranthene (1 g/l), Benzo(k)fluoranthene (0.2 g/l), Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (1 g/l), Benzo(g,h,i)perylene (0.02 g/l) and Indeno(1,2,3,c,d)pyrene (0.04 g/l). Different solvents and chemical reagents used in this study were HPLC grade: acetonitrile (Scharlau Chemie S.A), N-hexane (Carlo Erba), toluene (Labosi) and deionized water (Panreac Quimica SA).

72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86

2.3 Apparatus

An Adept brand High Performance Liquid Chromatograph (HPLC) equipped with an ultraviolet (UV) / visible CE 4200 (CECIL) detector was used for the identification and quantification of PAHs. This device was equipped with a CE 4104 (CECIL) pump, an Optimas automatic injector (SPARK), a CE 4040 (CECIL) degasser, an HPLC column (Prevail C18 column, 150 mm x 4.6 mm - particles 5 µm). The column should be maintained at a constant temperature of 40 ° C by an oven (CECIL) CE 4600. The system controller (CECIL) CE 4900 has an automatic follow-up analysis. A METTLER TOLEDO AB 104-S scale was used to weigh the samples. BUCHI R-215 rotary evaporator with vacuum Comtroler V-850, vaccum Pump v-700; Heating Bath: B-491 was used to concentrate the extracts of the samples. The operating conditions of the HPLC assay for PAHs are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: HPLC operating conditions

Precolumn	Security guard, 20 mm x 4.6 mm
Column	Prevail C18, 150 mm x 4.6 mm
Detector, wavelength	ultra-violet (UV), λ = 255 nm
Binary gradient	Acetonitrile and Water
Injected volume (µL)	20 µl
Flow (mL/mn)	2.5
Column temperature (°C)	40
Rinse solvent	Acetonitrile /Water (50/50)
Analysis time (min)	6

87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

2.4. Validation of analytical method

The method of validation was conducted by using the method of the French Association for Standardization (NFV03-110/1998), respecting the specific requirements of ISO/DIS/15753 applicable in High Performance Liquid Chromatography [25, 26]. This procedure includes the study of the linearity of the calibration range, the determination of the limits of detection and quantification, the calculation of the coefficient of variation for the tests of repeatability and reproducibility, and the calculation of the percentage recovery for testing accuracy. A reference sample of cereal was used to compare the PAHs concentrations obtained with the certified values.

2.4.1 Test for linearity

The linearity was tested between 0 and 1 mg/L using 6 points calibration (0 µg/L, 100 µg/L, 250 µg/L, 500 µg/L, 750 µg/L and 1 mg/L) for each of the 9 PAHs. Five separate tests were performed for each compound.

2.4.2 Limits of detection and quantification

The limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) were calculated from the analysis of 10 separate assays of blank matrices. These parameters were measured by using the following formulas:

$$LOD = M_x + 3S$$

$$LOQ = M_x + 10S$$

With: LOD: Limits of detection, LOQ: Limits of quantification, M_x: Average from 10 assays of blank matrices, S: Standard deviation of blank values

2.4.3 Tests of repeatability and reproducibility

The repeatability of the analysis was probed into 10 assays of reference sample. As far as the reproducibility is concerned, 5 separate assays were achieved with the reference sample in a period of several days intervals.

2.4.4 Test of accuracy

Ten separate trials from reference samples were analyzed to assess the recovery rate by the method used for the determination of the 9 PAHs.

115
116
117
118
119
120

2.5. Evaluation of the PAH contents in kola nuts

121 **2.5.1 Extraction procedure**

122 In a 50 ml conical tube containing 10 g of kola ground material was added 30 ml of hexane. The whole
123 was homogenized with the magnetic stirrer for 1 hour, then in an ultrasonic bath for 5 minutes, before
124 being centrifuged for 5 minutes at 4000 rpm. The upper phase was removed by using a pasteur
125 pipette and transferred to a calibrated conical tube. The solvent was evaporated by using a rotary
126 evaporator at 35 ° C (Buchi R-215). This extraction was repeated twice and extracts obtained were
127 combined.

128
129 **2.5.2 Purification**

130 Purification was performed using C18 (Waters Sep-Pak® Vac) cartridges. The extract obtained was
131 recovered with 2 ml of cyclohexane and transferred to a cartridge previously conditioned with 15 ml of
132 cyclohexane. Each cartridge was placed on top of a previously calibrated conical tube. After recovery
133 of the extract in the tube, 2 ml of cyclohexane was added thereto. The whole was vortexed for 15
134 seconds and then filtered through a cartridge. The tube was rinsed with 2 x 2 ml of cyclohexane and
135 transferred to the cartridge. Elution was done with 4 ml of cyclohexane at atmospheric pressure. The
136 eluate was concentrated by using a rotary evaporator at 35 ° C (Buchi R-215) until a volume $V = 1$ ml,
137 to which was added 0.5 ml of toluene. A new evaporation was carried out until a volume of 50 μ l of
138 extract was obtained. The final volume of the extract was supplemented to 2 mL with ACN.

139
140 **2.6 Statistical analysis**

141 The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (version 20.0). Statistical significance was set at p
142 = .05. The average concentrations of the 9 PAHs were calculated with their respective standard
143 deviation. The coefficients of variation were obtained to express the repeatability and reproducibility
144 and the squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R^2) was calculated to assess linearity. The recovery
145 percentage was calculated to express the efficiency of extraction. Average concentrations and range
146 of variation in concentrations of PAHs were used to describe the level of kola nuts contamination. The
147 test of conformity at 5% risk between the reference method and our method was used to compare the
148 concentrations obtained with the certified values of reference sample.

149
150 **3. RESULTS**

151 **3.1 Validation parameters for PAH quantification**

152 The validation data deal with the values of linearity, repeatability, reproducibility, soundness, and limits
153 of detection and quantification involved in the PAHs determination.

154 Fig. 1 shows the chromatogram of the assay of 9 PAHs with a concentration of 1 mg/L by using the
155 proposed method. We noted that the method used allows good separation and identification of the
156 compounds analyzed from their different retention times (Table 2). It can be concluded that the
157 method used allows good separation and identification of the compounds analyzed from their different
158 retention times (Rt).

159 All PAH exhibited good linearity other the evaluated range with significant correlation coefficient ($R^2 \geq$
160 0.99).

161 Table 3 displays the statistical validity of the linearity over the full calibration range according to the
162 statistical Fisher rule. Indeed, the F1 values calculated for regression (ranged between 3526.79 to
163 46386.84) are higher than the critical Fischer value (8.10). On the other hand, the F2 values calculated
164 for the error trend (ranged between 0.16 to 2.64) are lower than the critical Fisher value (4.94). The
165 regression model and the calibration domain reflect a statistical acceptability of the method used.

166 The lowest concentration that can be quantified (LOQ) with acceptable accuracy and precision were
167 0.04 μ g/L, 0.07 μ g/L, 0.09 μ g/L, 0.13 μ g/L, 0.17 μ g/L, 0.18 μ g/L, 0.22 μ g/L, 0.45 μ g/L and 0.88 μ g/L for
168 Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene, Benzo(k)Fluoranthene, Benzo(a)pyrene, Indeno(1,2,3,c,d)Pyrene,
169 Benzo(b)fluoranthene, Chrysene, Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene, Benzo(a)anthracene and Fluoranthene,
170 respectively (Table 2). Furthermore, the limit of detection (LOD) ranged from 0.02 μ g/L to 0.55 μ g/L
171 corresponding to Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene and Fluoranthene, respectively.

172 For the standard solution at 10 μ g/L, the coefficients of variation calculated for the repeatability tests
173 were between 0.89% and 2.28% for Benzo (a) anthracene and Benzo (k) fluoranthene, respectively.
174 While those calculated for the reproducibility tests were between 1.4% for Benzo(a)pyrene and 2.67%
175 for Benzo(k)fluoranthene (Table 4). The results of these tests reflect the accuracy of the method of
176 PAHs determination and the precision of the chromatographic analysis used. Regarding the accuracy
177 of the method, the recovery rates and the results of the test of compliance with the certified values
178 were performed. The recovery rates obtained were between 96.1% and 102.31% corresponding to
179 Benzo(k)fluoranthène and Benzo(b)fluoranthène, respectively (Table 5).




Fig. 1. Chromatogram of the determination of 9 PAHs at 1 mg/L

Fluoranthene (1), Benzo(a)anthracene (2), Chrysene (3), Benzo(b)fluoranthene (4), Benzo(k)fluoranthene (5), Benzo(a)pyrene (6), Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (7), Benzo(g,h,i)perylene (8) and Indeno(1,2,3,c,d)pyrene (9)

Table 2. Retention time and calibration data obtained using matrix matched standards for the selected PAHs

PAHs	Retention time	Regression equation ^a	R ²	LOD (µg/L)	LOQ (µg/L)
Benzo(a)pyrene (B[a]P)	2.35	y = 21294.6x	0.9954	0.04	0.09
Benzo(a)anthracene (B[a]A)	1.10	y = 19277.4x	0.9954	0.27	0.45
Benzo(b)fluoranthene (B[b]F)	1.47	y = 20948.1x	0.9929	0.07	0.17
Chrysene (CHR)	1.21	y = 13022.7x	0.9966	0.10	0.18
Fluoranthene (FLA)	0.49	y = 48258.3x	0.9985	0.55	0.88
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene (B[k]F)	2.07	y = 9155.8x	0.9921	0.03	0.07
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene (D[ah]A)	3.03	y = 8018.72x	0.9944	0.02	0.04
Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene (B[ghi]P)	3.43	y = 20564.6x	0.9915	0.14	0.22
Indeno(1,2,3,c,d)Pyrene (IcdP)	4.01	y = 9691.72x	0.9951	0.09	0.13

a: y – absorbance; x – concentration (µg/L)

Table 3. Linearity traits deriving from the experimental domain calibration

PAH	F1	Critical F-value	Regression model	F2	Critical F-value	Calibration range
B[a]P	3526.79	8.10	Validated	1.27	4.94	Validated
B[a]A	3773.38		Validated	1.34		Validated
B[b]F	5023.01		Validated	1.16		Validated
CHR	5985.75		Validated	1.57		Validated
FLA	46386.84		Validated	0.16		Validated
B[k]F	11597.01		Validated	1.78		Validated
D[ah]A	6561.04		Validated	2.64		Validated
B[ghi]P	3608.03		Validated	1.39		Validated
IcdP	5372.60		Validated	2.39		Validated

194
195
196

F1: F-value for the regression trend; F2: F-value for the statistical error trend

Table 4. Repeatability, reproducibility and Recovery test of the standard solution

PAHs	Repeatability ^a (n = 10)		Reproducibility ^a (n = 5)	
	Measured Value*	CV** (%)	Measured Value*	CV** (%)
B[a]P	9.98 ± 0.20	2.24	9.99 ± 0.14	1.4
B[a]A	10.01 ± 0.09	0.89	10.07 ± 0.22	2.18
B[b]F	10.07 ± 0.21	2.08	10.02 ± 0.16	1.59
CHR	10.01 ± 0.14	1.39	10.11 ± 0.25	2.47
FLA	10.02 ± 0.1	0.99	10.05 ± 0.16	1.59
B[k]F	10.08 ± 0.23	2.28	10.08 ± 0.27	2.67
D[ah]A	10.07 ± 0.19	1.88	10.21 ± 0.27	2.64
B[ghi]P	9.99 ± 0.13	1.30	10.03 ± 0.23	2.29
IcdP	9.97 ± 0.16	1.60	9.98 ± 0.16	1.60

^a: Standard solution at 10 µg/L; *: µg.L⁻¹; **: Coefficient of variation

197
198
199
200

Table 5. PAH recovery rate of the standard solution

PAHs	Certified values (µg/L)	Measured content (µg/L)	Recovery ±RSD (%)
B[a]P	15.7 ± 0.86	15.3 ± 0.7	97.45 ± 4.60
B[a]A	11.3 ± 0.56	10.9 ± 0.52	96.46 ± 4.77
B[b]F	18.3 ± 0.91	18.8 ± 0.65	102.73 ± 3.45
CHR	12.8 ± 0.65	13.0 ± 0.5	101.56 ± 3.84
FLA	97.7 ± 3.81	98.1 ± 4.3	100.41 ± 4.32
B[k]F	7.7 ± 0.23	7.4 ± 0.37	96.1 ± 3.5
D[ah]A	14.4 ± 0.66	14.1 ± 0.6	97.92 ± 5.87
B[ghi]P	12.3 ± 0.59	11.9 ± 0.57	96.75 ± 5.51
IcdP	11.8 ± 0.47	11.6 ± 0.53	98.30 ± 5.2

201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208

3.2. Application of the proposed method: PAHs concentration in kola nuts

Fig. 2. shows the variation of PAH levels in kola samples collected. Using the analytical method proposed, kola nuts contamination by PAH have been determined with satisfactory. The results indicate that only Benzo(a)pyrene concentrations are below the LOQ for all samples analyzed. The concentrations of PAH vary from 0.51 µg/kg to 2.15 µg/kg for Chrysene and Indeno(1,2,3,c,d)Pyrene, respectively.




Fig. 2. PAHs content in kola nut sample

209
210
211

212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271

3.3 DISCUSSION

The study has demonstrated the reliability of the method for the determination of nine carcinogenic PAHs (B [a] P, B [a] A, B [b] F, CHR, FLA, B [k] F, D [ah] A, B [ghi] P and IcdP) in kolanut samples [24].

Indeed, the linearity test highlights the normality of the distribution across the range of the calibration range (0 to 1 mg/L). In addition, the Pearson coefficients (R^2) obtained during this study are all close to 1 and meet the criterion of linearity.

The detection and quantification limits are on average close to those recommended by the ISO/DIS-15753-2004 standard, ie 0.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ for most PAHs studied [26]. These results are in agreement with those of Ake et al. [27] on PAHs identified and analyzed in fish consumed in Côte d'Ivoire. Thus, the method has a high sensitivity for the nine PAHs analyzed. With respect to fidelity (repeatability and reproducibility), the coefficients of variation are all less than 3%. Values ranged from 0.89% to 2.28% for repeatability and from 1.4% to 2.67% for reproducibility. Thus, the results of the reproducibility tests corroborate those of the repeatability. This indicates the possibility of obtaining good results from the operating conditions set. In addition, it appears that by testing the precision with the reference sample, the calculated recovery percentages vary between 96.1% and 102.73%. The different indices obtained highlight the stability and the fidelity of the High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) as well as its precision.

The determination of the PAH concentrations of the certified sample shows the accuracy of the results of the assay of the different samples and the reliability of the method of extraction of the compounds because no significant difference was obtained with the conformity test. The results of the study of the validity of the method for determining PAHs are in accordance with the values demonstrating the acceptability of an analytical technique as affirmed by the experts of the Joint FAO/IAEA Committee [28] and documented in the American Association of Public Health's standard methods book [29].

This method also has the advantage of reducing the analysis time of a sample (6 minutes) compared to those proposed by the ISO 15753 standard (45 minutes) and Ake et al. [27] (20 minutes) for six (6) PAHs analyzed.

Analysis of the kola nuts samples collected revealed the presence of PAHs. This more or less significant presence is due to a post-harvest contamination by human or during kola nuts transport and storage [30]. Since the nuts after maturation and harvest are contained in the pods and coated with a thick protective membrane [19]. According to some authors, the low water solubility and the relatively high octanol/water partition coefficient (K_{ow}) of PAHs give them a high adsorption potential on airborne particles in water and food [31,32]. Thus, the concentrations of PAHs in kola nuts can be attributed to the high atmospheric PAH pollution. In fact, the daily passage of gasoline engines or diesel engines of the various trucks in transit, combined with soot and smoke of all origins, from the exhaust gases of the combustion engines to the smoke of cigarette and the incineration of the agricultural waste, wood combustion, coal or garbage could justify this PAH content [33, 4, 34]. Otherwise, Anyama, gateway to Abidjan, which concentrates most of the national manufacturing productive park with a high population density (20.8% of the population) and an intense daily road traffic is subject to more pollution than rural zone. In addition, the main compounds released by the exhaust gases of combustion engines, in particular those of the vehicles, are benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(g, h i)perylene and benzo(a)anthracene [35]. As for fluoranthene, pyrene and chrysene, they are emitted by domestic heating [36].

4. CONCLUSION

The study showed the acceptability of the method of determination of Benzo(a)pyrene, Benzo(b)fluoranthene, Benzo(a)anthracene, Chrysene, Fluoranthene, Benzo(k)fluoranthene, Dibenzo(a, h)anthracene, Benzo(g, h, i)perylene and Indeno(1,2,3, c, d)pyrene in kola nuts. The tests made it possible to highlight the linearity of the calibration lines in the chosen concentration ranges, the reproducibility and repeatability of the method used. This method has considerably reduced sample analysis time and could ensure effective health control not only of kola but other foodstuffs in order to ensure sustainable management and control of the toxicity of these food samples for the consumer.

REFERENCES

1. Ikue G., Monanu M. & Onuah C. Bioaccumulation of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Tissues (Gills and Muscles) of (Catfish) *Chrysichthys nigrodidatus* from Crude Oil Polluted Water of Ogoniland, River State, Nigeria. J. Appl. Life Sci. Int. 2016; 6(3):1-6.
2. Akpambang V. and Izomoh A. Levels and Sources of Polycyclic AromaticHydrocarbons in Urban

272 Soils of Akure, Nigeria. Chem. Sci. Int. J. 2016; 17(3):1-10.

273 3. Albinet A., Leoz-Garziandia E., Budzinski H. & Villenave E. Polycyclic aromatic hydro carb ons

274 (PAHs), nitrated PAHs and oxygenated PAHs in ambient air of the Marseilles area (South of France):

275 concentrations and sources. Science of the Total Environment, Elsevier. 2014; 384(1-3):1-24.

276 4. Haihua J., Qi W., Nana Z., Bo J., Xuliang Z. & Zihui B. Distributions and Sources of Polycyclic

277 Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Soils around a Chemical Plant in Shanxi, China. Int. J. Environ.

278 Res. Public Health. 2017; 1198(14):1-19.

279 5. Sharma R., Chan W. G., Seeman J. I. & Hajaligol M. Formation of low molecular weight heterocycles

280 and polycyclic aromatic compounds (PACs) in the pyrolysis of a amino acid. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrol.

281 2003; 66:97-121.

282 6. Anietie M. & Godwin E. Risk Assessment of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons PAHs in Soils and

283 Tubers Crops Collected from Ikot Oborenyin, South-south Nigeria. Int. Res. J. Pure Appl. Chem. 2016;

284 10(2):1-13.

285 7. Garnier R., Villa A., Chataigner D. Do the dangers of formaldehyde and toluene justify systematic

286 substitution in hospitals and laboratories? Arch. Occup. Diseases Environ. 2011; 72 (3):252-255.

287 French

288 8. Shen H., Huang Y., Wang R., Zhu D., Li W., Shen G., Wang B., Zhang Y., Chen Y., Lu Y., Chen H.,

289 Li T., Sun K., Li B., Liu W., Liu J. & Tao S. Global atmospheric emissions of polycyclic aromatic

290 hydrocarbons from 1960 to 2008 and future predictions. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2013; 47:6415-6424.

291 9. Jiao W., Wang T., Khim J., Luo W., Hu W., Naile J., Giesy J. & Lu Y. Polycyclic aromatic

292 hydrocarbons in soils along the coastal and estuarine areas of the Northern Bohai and Yellow Seas,

293 China. Environ. Monit. Assess. 2013; 185 (81):85-95.

294 10. Dobrinás S., Birghila S. & Coatu V. Assessment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in honey and

295 propolis produced from various flowering trees and plants in Romania. J. Food Compos. Anal. 2008;

296 21:71-77.

297 11. Stanciu G., Birghila S., Dobrinás S. Residues of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in different types

298 of coffee. Scientific study & Research. 2008; 9 (3): 325-330.

299 12. Walker C. Organic pollutants. An Eco toxicological perspective. New York, CRC Press. 2009;

300 414p.

301 13. Meador J., Sommers F., Ylitalo G. & Sloan C. Altered growth and related physiological responses

302 in juvenile Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) from dietary exposure to polycyclic aromatic

303 hydrocarbons. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2006; 63:2364-2376.

304 14. EL-Saeid M. & Sapp J. Distribution Ratios of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Urban

305 Soils. J. Appl. Life Sci. Int. 2016; 9(3): 1-10.

306 15. Jarosław L. & Ewa B. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons Content in Contaminated Forest Soils

307 with Different Humus Types. Water Air Soil Pollut. 2018; 229(204):1-8.

308 16. Seri Z. Draft action plan of the Appexco-ci 2013-2015. Center for Studies and Applied Research

309 (CERA), Côte d'Ivoire. 2012; 50p.

310 17. Deigna-M., Kouadio K., Konan N. & Biego G. Diagnosis in Production and Post-harvest

311 Processing of Nuts of *Cola nitida* (Malvaceae) in Côte d'Ivoire. J. Agric. Ecol. Res. Int. 2016; 9(2):1-11.

312 18. Niemenak N., Effa O., Lieberei R. & Omokolo N. Purine alkaloids and phenolic compounds in

313 three *Cola* species and *Garcinia kola* grown in Cameroon. S. Afr. J. Bot. 2008; 74:629-638.

314 19. Nyamien Y., Coulibaly A., Belleville M., Petit E., Adima A. & Biego G. Simultaneous determination

315 of caffeine, catechin, epicatechin, chlorogenic and caffeic acid in *Cola nitida* dried nuts from Côte

316 d'Ivoire using HPLC. Asian J. Biotech. Bioresour. Technol. 2017; 1(2):1-7.

317 20. Adeosun S., Adejobi K., Famaye A., Idrisu M., Ugioro O. & Nduka B. Combined effect of kola testa

318 based organic manure and NPK fertilizer on soil, leaf chemical composition and growth performance

319 of kola (*Cola nitida*). Res. J. Agric. Environ. Management. 2013; 2(7):183-189.

320 21. Dah-Nouvlessounon D., Adoukonou-Sagbadja H., Diarrassouba N., Adjanohoun A., Baba-Moussa

321 F., Sezan A. & Baba-Moussa L. Indigenous knowledge and socio-economic values of three kola

322 species (*Cola nitida*, *Cola acuminata* and *Garcinia kola*) used in southern Benin. Eur. Sci. J. 2015; 11:

323 206-227.

324 22. Ajai I., Ochigbo S., Jacob O., Ndamitso M. & Abubakar U. Proximate and mineral compositions of

325 different species of kolanuts. Eur. J. Applied Eng. Sci. Res. 2012; 1:44-47.

326 23. Kouadio R., Biego H., Nyamien Y., Konan Y., Konan C., Coulibaly A. & Sidibe D. Validation of

327 efficiency method for heavy metals determination in kola nuts (*Cola nitida* Schott & Endl.) from Côte

328 d'Ivoire. Asian J. Adv. Res. Reports. 2019; 6(3):1-9.

329 24. IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer. Monographs on the evaluation of

330 carcinogenic risks to humans. Some Non-Heterocyclic Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Some

331 Related Exposures. Lyon, France. 2010.

- 332 25. French Association of Standardization. Analysis of agricultural and food products: Intralaboratory
333 validation procedure for an alternative method compared to a reference method. Paris : Edition
334 AFNOR. 1 998;40. French
- 335 26. International Standard Organisation (ISO). Animal and vegetable fats and oils - Determination of
336 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Geneve : ISO 15753. 2004; 21. French
- 337 27. Ake A., Biego G., Sess A., Koffi K., Kouame P., Bonfoh B., Akpagni H.& Ausset E. Validation of a
338 method for the quantification of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in fish. Eur. J. Sci. Res. 2012; 74:69-
339 78.
- 340 28. Food and Agriculture Organization. Validation of analytical methods for food control. - Vienna:
341 Report of joint FAO/IAEA Expert Consultation. 1997; 18.
- 342 29. American Public Health Association. Standard methods for the examination of water and
343 wastewater.- Washington DC: Franson M. A. H. 17th edition. 2005; 72.
- 344 30. Juhasz A. & Naidu R. Bioremediation of high molecular weight polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: a
345 review of the microbial degradation of benzo[a]pyrene. Int. Biodeter. Biodeg. 2000; 45:57-88.
- 346 31. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). Thirty-seventh session of the Codex Alimentarius
347 Commission on Contamination of Food with Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH), Rome, Italy.
348 2005; 11p.
- 349 32. Hoenner V. Assessment of pollution by PAHs and heavy metals in Alsace. Master Chemistry and
350 Biology: analytical aspects, University of Louis Pasteur Strasbourg. 2006; 43p. French
- 351 33. Airparif. Air pollution with benzo (a) pyrene and other polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs).
352 Association of air quality monitoring in Ile-de-France. 2013; 48p. French
- 353 34. Byambaa B., Yang L., Matsuki A., Nagato E., Gankhuyag K., Chuluunpurev B., Banzragch L.,
354 Chonokhuu S., Tang N. & Hayakawa K. Sources and characteristics of Polycyclic Aromatic
355 Hydrocarbons in ambient total suspended particles in Ulaanbaatar City, Mongolia. Int. J. Environ. Res.
356 Public Health. 2019; 1-16.
- 357 35. Léotz-Gartziandia. Sampling and Analysis of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) and
358 Oxygenated PAH in Diesel Exhaust and Ambient Air. Polycycl. Aromat. Comp. 2000; 20: 245-258.
- 359 36. Costes J. & Druelle V. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in the Environment: Rehabilitation of
360 Former Industrial Sites. Rev. Inst. Franç. Pétr. 1997; 52(4):425-437.