



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_53926
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical analysis of anti-venom traditional herbal preparation for snake-bite
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CONCLUSION: Conclusion is not too appropriate. It is not too proper to only say "Present study showed the possibilities of using this traditional herbal paste for snake-bites" because the study did not assess the effect of the herbal paste on snake bite. Rather, the study centred on identifying the phytochemicals in the herbal paste. In addition to what you have already written, the conclusion should capture the phytochemicals identified. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. TITLE: Include "an" between "of" and "anti-venom". This will make it clearer that it is not a universally adopted traditional herbal preparation. 2. ABSTRACT: Results: "Revealed" is the correct spelling not "reveled" 3. INTRODUCTION: Line 11: join "under" and "estimates" to make one word. 4. Section 2.1: Line 4: the correct word is "Lecturer" not "Lecture" 5. Section 2.2: Mention the equipment (including its brand name) that was used to pulverise the plant parts. 6. Section 2.5.2: Line 2: Remove "d" from "filtrated" 	
Optional/General comments	Table 2: Some portions in table 2 are empty	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Justina Nwandimma Nwangwa
Department, University & Country	University of Calabar, Nigeria