



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_53926
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical analysis of anti-venom traditional herbal preparation for snake-bite
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Author should provide the chromatogram of each herbal paste ingredients. 2. Author did not provide evidence of possible antsnake or antivenom properties of the herbal paste ingredients. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Author did not indicate the type of salt crystals used. 2. Author mentioned phytochemical analysis done but no evidence/results to show for all the phytochemicals present in the herbal preparations 	
Optional/General comments	Good research work but skeletal findings were revealed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fatima Amin Adamude
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Lafia, Nigeria