



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_52996
Title of the Manuscript:	Poly-3-hydroxybutyrate Biosynthesis by Bacillus megaterium Utilizing a Pleustophytic Ecological Plague in the Legendary Source of River Nile as the Sole Carbon Source
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No.1. Try to prepare the manuscript within 10 Pages (So many unnecessary things can be removed) No.2. Abstract should be within 10-12 lines (Remove the words i.e. Aim, Study design, Place and Duration of Study, Methodology, Results & Conclusion) No.3. Proper care should be given to reference part also No.4. What is the importance of Poly-3-hydroxybutyrate in general? No.5. make you conclusions and recommendations point wise.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chadetri R Rout
Department, University & Country	Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University, India)