

Original Research Article

Lived Experiences of Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs) During General Community Quarantine (GCQ)

Commented [u1]: Is it applicable to use abbreviations in the title of your study? I am not sure. Reconsider it.

ABSTRACT

The lived experiences of Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and Person Under Monitoring are examined in this study. The eleven Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and fourteen Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs) were interviewed via messenger to solicit their struggles and sufferings during General Community Quarantine (GCQ). The data gathered from the identified respondents were analyzed using Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis. In the analysis, the major themes identified were Initial Reaction, Discrimination, Life During 14-day Quarantine or Isolation, Challenges Experienced as 1.) Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and 2.) Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs). Extreme discrimination for the entire family were the initial reactions of all respondents upon classifying them as Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs). For them to cope up with this crisis, they resorted to focus on their strengths and seek support systems. Getting insane is also one of the challenges being experienced by a person identified as Persons Under Investigation (PUIs).

Commented [u2]: Make it consistent your presentation. Put the challenges before the coping strategies.

Keywords: *General Community Quarantine (GCQ, lived experiences, Persons Under Investigation (PUIs), Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs)*

Commented [u3]: Once you communicate us the abbreviations with full spell, no need of repeating throughout the paper. Moreover, in keywords, not appropriate if not very important.

1. INTRODUCTION

The entire world had been alarmed due to the abrupt escalating cases of this very contagious yet deadly virus -Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19). In addition, people in all walks of life experienced a prolonged period of uncertainty and unrelaxed brought about by the COVID-19 pandemic. A novel coronavirus, originally abbreviated as 2019-nCoV by WHO, was identified from the throat swab sample of a patient [1]. The World Health Organization (WHO) declared on January 30, 2020 that the COVID-19 outbreak is a global health emergency, Immediately on March 11, 2020 same agency declared COVID-19 a global pandemic [2]. With this declaration, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or CDC issued a recommendation that the general public, even those without symptoms, should begin wearing face coverings in public setting, and observe social distancing at all times that serve as precautionary measures to abate the spread of COVID-19. In addition, People were advised to wear Personal Protective Equipment [3].

Commented [u4]: First spell it fully then put the acronym in parentheses. And then use the acronym only.

Commented [u5]: Rewrite it.

On March 16, 2020 evening, Manila announced the implementation of Enhanced Community Quarantine (ECQ) to contain the spread of corona virus. This condition involves the strict

home quarantine in all households, suspension of transportation in the entire city, regulated the essential services for food and health, and the heightened presence of the uniformed personnel to enforce quarantine procedure [4]. Corollary to this, province of Northern Samar by virtue of Resolution no. 55-a series of 2020 July 14, 2020 issued by the Republic of the Philippines Inter-Agency Task Force For the Management of Emerging Infectious Diseases, Region VIII where Northern Samar belongs had been placed under Modified General Community Quarantine (MGCQ) until 31 of July 2020. Placing a certain place in a General Community Quarantine (GCQ) mean that this place allowed local government units some flexibility in implementing lockdown depending on the risk of the area. [5]. This means that in every household, one member of the family will be allowed to go out to attend the essential needs of the family, basically the privilege is given to the immediate head of the family within a specific time duration during the day.

Included in the announcement of Code Red Sublevel Two in the Philippines is that Locally Stranded Individuals are required to present a Travel Authority or Pass that must be secured from the Joint Task Force COVID Shield of the Philippines National Police (PNP) [6]. The said pass is needed to travel across provinces or regions across the country under General Community Quarantine. Locally Stranded Individuals are classified as: 1.) Person Under Investigation (PUI); and 2.) Person Under Monitoring (PUM). Theoretically, Person Under Investigation (PUIs) refers to persons who are identified with symptoms such as fever, cough, cold and flu, etc to be put on quarantine either in a facility or at home due to their exposure to a place infected with the virus and with or without history of travel within 14 days, while Person Under Monitoring (PUMs) refers to persons with no symptoms but with history of travel within the Philippines or abroad and need to be placed in quarantine facility if not home quarantine did not warrant. According to health literature, "quarantine is commonly defined as the separation or restriction of the activities of individuals who may have been exposed to infectious diseases to reduce their risk of infecting others [7]. Conceptually, "quarantine is often used interchangeably with isolation and both terms are used in the context of infection prevention. To make it clearer and easily grasp two terms are different since the term quarantine applies to individuals who are asymptomatic or are not yet ill while isolation is for patients who are asymptomatic or known to have a contagious disease [8]. Disease containment measures such as quarantine and isolation have a detrimental impact on children and their parents manifested in symptoms of post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) [9].

Living in this pandemic is not quite so easy because people in all walks of life experiencing constant fear as the unseen enemy is just around the corner. Around 3.6 million Filipinos are suffering from mental disorders amid corona pandemic as reported by the Department of Health, [10] Hence, knowing the lived experiences of Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and Person Under Monitoring (PUMs) government agencies concern should take common action to keep people calm and avoid from getting panic that eventually may lead to insanity that would affect country's economy as well as reputation.

In order to understand the lived experiences of the Persons under investigation (PUIs) and Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs) during General Community Quarantine (GCQ), their experiences were explored to come up with interventions to be addressed. In addition, this will also contribute to the government implementing rule body to help and protect those identified people that they should not be treated in a manner that they will be demoralized, thus keeping them able to cope up with this pandemic in addition, this will also pave ways to researchers specialized in psychology to go further research on the psychological impact of this virus.

Commented [u6]: I like the significant of the study, however what is the real gap identified to be fulfilled?

You clearly communicate us the historical development of the issue at hand, but what was the reactions of the scholars/researchers to the pandemic?

What are the research questions/objectives?

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Research Design

This study utilized a qualitative phenomenological research design. This phenomenological research design. This method attempts to understand people's experiences in certain phenomenon. Its main purpose is to illuminate the specific and identify phenomena through how they are perceived by the actors in a situation, [11] In addition, to seek reality from individuals' narratives of their experiences and feelings, and to produce in- depth descriptions of the phenomenon [12]. In this study, the lived experiences of the persons identified as Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs) during General Community Quarantine (GCQ) were analyzed as to different circumstances.

2.2 Data Gathering

The researcher developed an interview guide exploring the lived experiences of the Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs). Data were gathered through interview via messenger, guided by the formulated unstructured interview guide questions which were then analyzed using thematic analysis for inference and conclusion. Interviews were transcribed. For the selection of the respondents, the researcher used purposive sampling. Respondents were selected to comply with the following criteria: they are classified either Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs) during General Community Quarantine.

Verbatim transcription was done after series of interviews done via messenger. To respond with ethics in research names had not been indicated. The researcher undertook the initial analysis of the transcribed text by reading the responses of each respondents and understand the overall meaning of the text. After the analysis, common themes emerged and are discussed in this article.

2.3. Respondents

The respondents of the study are eleven (11) Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and fourteen (14) Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs) As shown in the in Table 1 for the Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) their ages range from 1 to 64 years old. Nine (9) of them were married, one (1) infant, and a senior citizen who is a self-employed. Nine (9) of them were working in the government. On the other hand, for the Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs) their ages range from 19 to 56 years old. All of them were married, three (3) students while eleven (11) of them were in the government service.

Table 1. Profile of the respondents/participants

a. Person Under Investigation (PUIs)

Respondent Participant	Age	Occupation
R1	P1	1 yr. old
R2	20 yr. old	student

Commented [u7]: Methodology is a scientific study of research methods and we are not here to teach. Hence, replace it with **Research Methods**

Commented [u8]: What was your research participant selection technique? It seems non-probability purposive participant selection techniques.

What was your research participants size determination strategies? Either tell us the principle of data saturation point or other literature support/justification to have these number of participants.

Commented [u9]: Not the right place to be mentioned, move it to the upper paragraph.

We say sampling, when we planned to generalized from the sample to the population, as the nature of quantitative study stresses.

To my knowledge, qualitative researchers are one of the data collection instruments since they engaged in the field. Thus, you don't have to detach yourself from the study site and participants, therefore, you should say I instead of the researcher.

Commented [u10]: In qualitative research, we should refer research participants because they are not merely respondents. Rather, they interact with the researcher throughout the data collection processes and construct knowledge together. In quantitative study, we can say respondents because they simply respond the questionnaires.

R3	48 yr. old	govt. employee
R4	32 yr. old	govt. employee
R5	53 yr. old	govt. employee
R6	43 yr. old	govt. employee
R7	30 yr. old	govt. employee
R8	30 yr. old	govt. employee
R9	64 yr. old	self-employed
R10	52 yr. old	govt. employee
R11	50 yr. old	govt. employee
T= 11		

b. Person Under Monitoring (PUMs)

Respondent	Age	Occupation
R10	19	student
R11	56	govt. employee
R12	45	govt. employee
R13	52	govt. employee
R14	50	govt. employee
R15	54	govt. employee
R16	49	govt. employee
R17	39	govt. employee
R18	30	govt. employee
R19	39	govt. employee
R20	35	govt. employee
R21	26	govt. employee
R22	43	govt. employee
R23	19	student
R24	23	student
R25	20	student
T=14		

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION



Fig. 1 The themes extracted from the interview

Commented [u11]: I liked it very much.

In the analysis of the data gathered based from the responses given by the respondents the following are the themes extracted.

3.1 Initial Reaction

Upon classifying either as Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) or Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs), all the ~~respondent-participants~~ initial reactions were worried ~~about-the-and~~ anxious about the virus. Their common reaction is that COVID 19 can kill even doctors, how much more with them?

Respondent 9 said that ~~I~~ am old enough my immune system is very weak, once the symptoms will not persist much better to stay in the mountain and do not see my family so that they will not be infected too. I will make a hole and burry myself in the midnight.

"I cannot resist loneliness, staying in the isolation facility ~~since-though~~ I cannot live without my loved ones", she added, "it will not be the virus that will take my life but the loneliness in staying away from them will." R11 ~~said~~.

Commented [u12]: This is a direct quotation of the research participant and you didn't paraphrase it. Therefore, put it in quotation because it is less than 40 words as per the APA writing style. If it is more than 40 words, you can use block quotation.

Commented [u13]: It is very shallow data to proceed to the next theme; please enrich with the data acquired from the participants.

3.2 Discrimination

When Respondents 17, 18 and 19 were classified as Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs), their families in the community experienced extreme discrimination.

R17 said, "my mother could hardly attend buying my needs since their neighbors keep on saying bad words addressed to their family particularly blaming her of her travel from Manila to her hometown due to the spread of virus"

R18 SAID," all of my siblings stayed in our farm away from the community after purchasing all their needs since no one in that would want to talk and see them."

R19 said," my family was treated more than a criminal since majority of the people in their place did not even glance them much more giving the barangay assistance personally for the fear of being contaminated with the virus".

3.3 Life during 14-day quarantine

The experiences as Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs) and having a 14-day quarantine in isolation area had brought both positive and negative experiences. Some of the participants, being quarantined or isolated were considered as a sound practice of obedience to health protocol imposed by the government viz-a-viz by the Department of Health. ~~They~~ they considered themselves as fortunate for they are being taken care of by the local medical practitioners with the convergence of the Barangay Health Worker (BHW) which in return boost their confidence and morale. R20 said, "In the television or radio ,people are scared once they are classified as PUIs/PUMs since you might die in a matter of hours, days or weeks . I am glad that the government had this kind of intervention since local doctors and health workers did not even missed to partake their respective roles for us. Examples, monitoring our blood pressure, giving medicines and vitamins and attending to our medical needs are very accessible .Nevertheless, for some Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs) thier14-day quarantine brought an array of negative emotions and psychological effects due to lack of mingling with others ,unmindful about the time, looking for someone to visit and talk with personally.R5 said," being in quarantine facility is similar with being put in jail. I cannot move freely, I cannot afford for a loud sound trip, I cannot enjoy my yoga because of limited space". R23 said," While life in quarantine has mental pain since there were no television, no refrigerator to keep left over food and to have a cold drinking water, sharing of comfort room and contented with the food provided by the assigned barangay officials. Consistently, it would mean leaving the comfort zone at home for the welfare of the general public primarily to contain the spread of virus."

3.4 Challenges Experienced as Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs)

Being one of the so-called “Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs)” seems difficult to adjust. All of the daily routines will be changed to adopt the new normal. R6,8,9,18, and R25 said “getting insane is one of the challenges being experienced during quarantined and being classified as Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs).

4. LIMITATIONS

This study was limited to 11 Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and 14 Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs) for the reason that this number of respondents will meet a saturation point of the interview. A wider study involving psychological impacts like anxiety and mental illness may need to be carried out by future researchers in lined with such specialization.

4. CONCLUSION

Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and Persons Under Monitoring (PUM) are also human beings need to be treated equally. They were classified such that and placed on quarantine for the benefit of majority of us. Extreme discrimination for the entire family were the initial reactions of all respondents upon classifying them as Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) and Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs). For them to cope up with this crisis, they resorted to focus on their strengths and seek support systems. Getting insane is also one of the challenges being experienced by a person identified as Persons Under Investigation (PUIs). To make them feel better they should be given attention as if they are not Persons Under Investigation (PUIs) nor Persons Under Monitoring (PUMs) however, observance of the health protocols must be strictly followed in dealing with them.

Not all of them can carry the rigors as being put in 14-day quarantine. Families and friends should have ample time for them to reach out via phone call, video chat and even text message. This will make them feel worthy and boost their confidence, thus pave way to set their minds that still life is worth living despite pandemic.

This study should be followed up soliciting experiences of the PUIs and PUMs who eventually admitted as COVID-19 positive. Their experiences will be used as bases in providing specialized mental health care, social support. Further studies are needed to explore the advantages and disadvantages of other modes of isolation and use it as springboard or even benchmark of its effectiveness so that it will be utilized future preparation in dealing with this kind of disease outbreak.

CONSENT

The researchers declare that the participants had fully understood the main purpose of their roles in this study. They are given the right to withdraw from the study at any time and decline to answer any particular questions. They agreed to provide information on the understanding that their names will not be exposed without their permission. Interviews had been done via messenger and they refused for a video call to respond with the data privacy law. A consent form was secured first from each respondent prior to commence with the

Commented [u14]: Make it past tense since you already applied the principle of data saturation point. And this justifies the size of the participants. So, how it can be a limitation?

Rather, you used audio messenger as a medium for the interview because of COVID-19 pandemic protocols; was a limitation of your study.

Limitation should be written after discussion but your study/article has no discussion instead it is results presentation.

Commented [u15]: I read this idea in your abstract and here again. However, your finding has no any finding which presented the issue of coping strategies. We conclude based on the major findings not from our common sense.

Commented [u16]: It seems recommendation not conclusion.

Commented [u17]: Good point. Please, put these two paragraphs under recommendation subtopic.

interview. They agree to participate in this study under the conditions set out in the information sheet. The researchers assure the participants' anonymity and the confidentiality of the information divulged by them. The scope of the interview is limited to the information necessary for the research.

Commented [u18]: Anonymity can be applied for quantitative study because the researcher may not identify the respondent personally. However, in qualitative study the research has the chance to know the participant, so s/he can't secure the anonymity of the participant. You can keep confidentiality of the information by utilizing coding, pseudonym, reporting in aggregate fashion. Therefore, reconsider it.

Was it oral or written consent? Not clear because you have done the interview through audio messenger.

What was your strategy to get the consent of 1 year old infant as a research participant. It has an ethical issue.

Have you involved their family, relatives, legal guardians? or what?

Commented [a19]: Write the references in alphabetical order and check its consistency with the guideline of the journal.

REFERENCES

1. Harapan, Harapan et al., Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A literature review Published in Journal of Infection and Public Health (jiph) ISSN: volume - I Issue – 20, March 2020, pp.667-673, URL: www.elsevier.com/locate/jiph
2. Recommendations for the Management of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation, Resolution No.11 Series of 2020 C.F.R. (2020)
3. Enhanced Community Quarantine and General Community Quarantine Guidelines, 2020
4. Nicomedes, Christian Jasper C. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.2121.35046/1
5. Brooks S. "The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce .395 102227, pp.912-920 DOI: [https://doi.org.10196150140-6736\(20\)30460-8](https://doi.org.10196150140-6736(20)30460-8)
6. Barcbisch, Donna," Is there a case for quarantine? Perspective from Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness URL:www.researchgate.net/publication.
7. Sprang, G. Silman, M. Posttraumatic stress disorder in parents and youth after health related disaster . Cambridge Univ. Press URL
8. Barcbisch, Donna," Is there a case for quarantine? Perspective from
9. Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness URL:www.researchgate.net/publication
10. Lema , K. and Morales , N. J .(2020). Philippines ramps up coronavirus testing to find thousands of unknown infections retrieved at <https://www.usnews.com/news/world/article/2020-04-14/philippines-ramps-up-corona-virus-testing-to-find-thousands-of-unknown-infections>.
11. Lester S. An Introduction to phenomenological research. Taunton UK, Stan Lester Developments; Available: www.sld.demon.co.uk/restmethy.
12. Yüksel P, Yıldırım S. Theoretical frameworks, methods, and procedures for conducting phenomenological studies in educational settings. Turkish Online journal of qualitative inquiry. 2015;6(1).