



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_53212
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers' Perception and attitude of wheat Production Problems in Afghanistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the abstract section, the method used in the study should be mentioned briefly and the meaningful results of the study should be given. Previous studies on the subject should be mentioned in the study. Materials and methods should provide information about the scope of the survey. 1.62% stated in the section Description of the study area is not a significant rate Not all of the results given in Table 3 are interpreted. Perception and Attitudes of Wheat Production Problems, which are the main purpose of the study, are not discussed sufficiently in conclusion section.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ismail Ukav
Department, University & Country	Adiyaman University, Turkey