



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	2020/SAJRM/57748
Title of the Manuscript:	Susceptibility Patterns of Some Enteric Bacteria to Leaf Extracts of <i>Annona muricata</i> L.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript, and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This is an Excellent study with solid logic and great results. The English could be improved however, as many connecting words are missing. I recommend that you have a native English speaker revise it.</p> <p>Section 2.6 please state the exact amount of bacterial suspension used for each of the spread plates as it may be important for others reproducing your work.</p> <p>The discussion is too short. It would be good to compare the most effective zone of inhibition for the <i>Annona muricata L</i> to the most effective zone of inhibition for the antibiotic on the same pathogen. If you took pictures put them side by side. Otherwise just list the zone size for both. Moreover, it is important to note what side effects <i>Annona muricata L</i> is associated with compared to the side effects of the most effective antibiotic as I am sure the herbal extract would have much milder side effects and thus, a larger dose of the herbal extract could be taken, which is important to note.</p> <p>Suggested Title The efficacy of <i>Annona muricata L.</i> against enteric pathogens</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>This study is a 10, however, sadly I have to give it a 8.9 because of the English and the Discussion needs at least one more paragraph. However, once revised it will be a 10. Your team conducted an accurate, well designed study with amazing results. Best wishes with your article.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lucinda Choules
Department, University & Country	USA