

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_70185
Title of the Manuscript:	ERRORS RELATED TO GENERAL RELATIVITY, REPULSIVE GRAVITATION AND THE QUESTION OF BLACK HOLES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	This paper systematically puts forward the concept of the existence of repulsive gravity based on the experiment that the metal heating will reduce the weight. Therefore, it is believed that Einstein's general relativity field equation needs to be modified, and the Lorentz-Levi-Einstein equation is quoted. Although the theory of being a family is different from the views of mainstream scholars of general relativity, the publication of this article will help everyone open up ideas, enrich and develop general relativity, so it is recommended to publish. But should the author distinguish or explain more about the difference or connection between "gravitational repulsion" and "force generated by the interaction of electromagnetic force and gravitation"?	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Could the author distinguish or explain more about the difference or connection between "gravitational repulsion" and "force generated by the interaction of electromagnetic force and gravitation"?	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Biqiao</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wuhan University of Technology, China</b>