



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_60242
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of corneal thickness before and after LASIK surgery with Pentacam and Ultrasound device (Tomey SP-100)
Type of the Article	Prospective study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It would be better to describe the surgery performed as Femtolasik.</li> <li>• Describe the "Pentacam" model used.</li> <li>• The introduction should be more focused on the job title than on lasik.</li> <li>• The sex distribution graph is redundant.</li> <li>• What was the result of the refractive surgery? Was ametropia achieved?</li> <li>• There is no discussion in the paper, only a description of the results. In the discussion put the bibliography described.</li> <li>• What was the maximum and minimum range of myopic surgery?</li> <li>• Conclusion should not have a bibliography.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Name of the equipment used, brand, country of origin, model.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In general is a good paper, there is a few information about this equipments.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Bárbara Alexandra Rubio Lastra</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Chile</i></b>