

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_76224
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activities of Various Extracts from Safou ( <i>Dacryodes edulis</i> ) Cultivated in Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The work is very interesting, but there are many changes that need to be made to the manuscript.</p> <p>The manuscript needs to be re-read by the authors because there are numerous grammatical errors and missing spaces between different words, some I have highlighted in yellow in the text, but they are really many.</p> <p>It should be specified how the aqueous extract is treated.</p> <p>The method used to determine color is not specified.</p> <p>A single antioxidant method is not sufficient to determine the real antioxidant activity. In fact the values are not so close to those obtained with the standard (vitamin C), so I suggest that the samples are tested against a second free radical, for example ABTS.</p> <p>The same thing is valid for statistical analysis.</p> <p>As for phenols instead they should be identified, in fact the only quantitative analysis is not enough scientifically, I suggest for example to make an HPLC analysis.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chiara La Torre</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Calabria, Italy</b>