



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_64777
Title of the Manuscript:	High Carrying Rate of Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) Producing Enterobacteriaceae by Slaughterhouse Workers in Lomé, Togo in 2019
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: is sufficiently informative. Within the whole chapter please use Please use the past tense (i.e. was demonstrated), not the present perfect (i.e. has been demonstrated). This statement is also valid for the materials and method and results section.</p> <p>The introduction section: is well structured, citing exact references to the current state of the art in the field. However, please define what you mean the term -" multi-drug resistant bacteria". In addition, please rearrange according to the journal requirement, the citing reference into the brackets.</p> <p>In the materials and methods section: Please insert appropriate references after the subchapter paragraph "Sample pre-treatment" and "Isolation and identification of ESBL-producing strains". Enterobacteriaceae with sentence case within the whole manuscript</p> <p>Results and discussion section The first paragraph – I consider that rather, these data should be presented in materials and methods section. Table 1 – please correct: Demographics and epidemiological characteristics; the last two columns can be reunited resulting in "Number of positive samples/percentages" Table 2 an 3 – in case of positive samples please express the percent of positive samples like in the case of Table 4 Please replace within the whole manuscript "antibiotic" with "antimicrobial" Please replace " Bêta-Lactamin" with "Beta – Lactams" Please replace "faecal" with "fecal"</p> <p>Conclusion section: please improve this section highlighting that the obtained results provide useful information for public health specists in the management of human infections.</p> <p>Reference list: is not in agreement with the journal requirement. Please standardize it.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Presently, within the whole manuscript there are several typing, grammar and spelling mistakes. Please carefully, revise them.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Kálmán Imre</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine "King Michael I of Romania", Romania</i>