



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_64777
Title of the Manuscript:	High Carrying Rate of Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) Producing Enterobacteriaceae by Slaughterhouse Workers in Lomé, Togo in 2019
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>It is a well-written article in terms of presentation style and interesting topic, but the importance of general infection control measures is already known.</p> <p>2. Language mistakes in the article should be corrected.</p> <p>3. The author used "A clean jar is given to each participant for this purpose, who will bring fresh stool the next day" in the material and method section. How long were the samples delivered, is there no difference in terms of time?</p> <p>4. Is a single sample sufficient for the study?</p> <p>5. In the study, it should be stated not only the volunteers, but also, in my opinion, whether the environmental culture was taken from their workplaces.</p> <p>6. Tables are sufficient and necessary</p> <p>7. Nevertheless, the work is interesting and may be important locally as it presents data in Slaughterhouse Workers.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fesih Aktar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dicle University School of Medicine, Turkey</b>