



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_67930
Title of the Manuscript:	Implications of Philately in the Development of Mathematical Skills for the Primary Cycle
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The referencing should be APA style since it is a mathematics education paper.</li> <li>In the second paragraph, the political interference and decisions has no reference. The challenges affecting the development of mathematical skills in the primary cycle as a result of political decisions should be backed with empirical evidence.</li> <li>Need for a more explicitly fundamental and scholarly sound methodological details (if not including working framework) that unquestionably support the paper for better reliability and generalizability.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	For improved readability, provide all the necessary sections of the manuscript in consonance with the required publication format.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This article is interesting that after substantial revisions are made by the author, it is more than publishable. It is worth commending that the author has excellent command of the language and writing skills.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Emmanuel Appoh Andam
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science of Technology, Ghana