



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_60366
Title of the Manuscript:	On Sum Formulas For Generalized Tribonacci Sequence
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In Section 1 (Introduction), It is identical to the reference in [18] without using the basic rules for quoting. When using A direct quote:</p> <p>(1) Follow formatting guidelines for using short or long quotations, (2) After the quotation, provide the source of the copied words, (3) Use quotation marks around all words copied from a source.</p> <p>However, summarizing or paraphrasing is a better choice.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Give an additional explanation/footnote in Table 1 about "what OEIS is". 2. Add space before and after the Table 2. 3. Delete the sign "=" in the equation of Theorem 1.1 (b). 4. There are two kinds of writing the "summation symbol" i.e. $\sum_{k=1}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n$ Please use one of them consistently. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>To write more clearly and effectively, use the punctuation marks correctly. For example, use the end marks at the end of all sentences, use italic and bold text consistently.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Melisa
Department, University & Country	National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan