



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_49016
Title of the Manuscript:	Refurbishment of Climate change relates to socio-economic consciousness and environmental pollution control
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In this paper authors have developed a mechanisms that are needed to promote the capacity development of environment in this domain need more enthusiastic approach from all levels of state administrative and technical initiative with full autonomy towards implementation of environmental laws on the one hand and change of attitude of the country authority to safeguard the environment conditions for refurbishment of climate change. Socio-economic development with full consciousness among the people to nourish the environment may improve the climate change. The flaw of environmental laws is to be tightened and socio-culture is to be inculcated among citizens for full proof climate control</p> <p>The study is very interesting and manuscript is almost structured properly.</p> <p>Following Explanations are needed- Page 39-40: Conclusion <i>is to be re-written with point wise</i></p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Manuscript is interesting and structured properly, but need to be improvised linguistically.</p> <p><i>The review manuscript is recommended for publication after incorporating above suggestion / comments.</i></p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Bharat Raj Singh</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Dr. APJ Abdul Kalam Technical University, India</i>