

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76515
Title of the Manuscript:	"A STUDY ON PREVALENCE OF ANEMIA AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN ATTENDING ANTENATAL CLINIC AT RURAL HEALTH CENTRE (RHC) AND HOSPITAL, JABALPUR CITY, M.P."
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The study dates are stated incorrectly. Both the Abstract and the full Methods section appear to indicate that the study period was six days, rather than a year.</p> <p>2. The patients who cannot read or write should be referred to as "illiterate patients" or "illiterate persons" rather than as "illiterates"</p> <p>3. The Abstract is extremely poorly written. A few examples: "Anemia is the nutritional deficiency disorder..." [Not necessarily]; "it is the second most common cause in India..." [2nd most common cause of what?]; "Highly significant factor association was found..." ["(Maternal age education etc.) were significantly associated with anemia"; "High prevalence of anemia indicates strict implementation..." ["High prevalence of anemia indicates a need for implementation"]</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Apart from the abstract, the overall manuscript would benefit from proofreading for English usage. This would be best performed by a person rather than a spelling or grammar checker. For example, one of the errors is "a tending" when what is meant is "attending". This would not be caught by software.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The overall concept of the manuscript is not novel, although the data for the specific region and clinic are new.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Robert T. Means
Department, University & Country	James H. Quillen College of Medicine, East Tennessee State University, USA