

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76295
Title of the Manuscript:	Visible quantitative methods for the estimation of Furosemide in Pure form and pharmaceutical formulations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) Conclusion is incomplete without proper outcome of the research.... like which amongst the three methods A, B & C gives the best results 2) In Conclusion Rename Method 1 with Method A, Method 2 with Method B, Method 3 with Method C 3) Justify the name given to table : (Table 2: Effect of Kind and amount of acids)	
Minor REVISION comments	1) Spelling of Calibration in all the figure indent 2) In Table 1 Check spelling of volume 3) In Abstract – Methodology F should be capital of Furosemide	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naziya I. Khan
Department, University & Country	Appasaheb Birnale College of Pharmacy, India