

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75875
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICE AMONG DENTISTS REGARDING TETRACYCLINE TEETH STAINING AND TREATMENT PROTOCOL - A QUESTIONNAIRE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I believe this article is a value questionnaire that shows how much dentists know about "Intrinsic, Extrinsic, Tooth Discoloration" in the observational way. But I recognise that the authors must explain deeply another differential diagnosis for example as fluorine, arsenic, differentes family of drugs not only tetracycline in their discussion. So, I think if They do not consider a real anamnesis of our patients. In this case they could not establish a clinical guide or protocol in this case.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Silvana Noemi Picardo
Department, University & Country	Buenos Aires University, Argentina