

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75051
Title of the Manuscript:	To Assess Effectiveness of Informational Booklet on Knowledge Regarding Bardet- Biedl syndrome among Nursing Students.
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The last 2 statements in "Background" are ambiguous. Kindly rephrase for better understanding. See below: As advances occur in the diagnostic technology, including as exome and whole genomic studies, are broadening the range of people and diagnosed with the Bardet– Biedl syndrome and raising the amount of such cases with diagnostic ambiguity. As per result came of diagnostic improvements, a limited percentage of patients been seen with only 1 or 2 clinical symptoms of Bardet–Biedl syndrome are now being discovered.[5] What were the questions / information obtained from the participants? Results from data analysis should be written out or captured in Tables and Figures 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Kim referenced in Discussion as [7] is not listed. Some phrases / sentences need to be corrected or put in the right perspective. A few of such areas are highlighted in red. NOTE: Areas highlighted in yellow were amended, author(s) may wish to accept as amended, then remove the colour. 	
Optional/General comments	Questionnaire / data collection tool should be attached and/or content spelt out in more details in methodology.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>E. Abigail Akaji</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Nigeria, Nigeria</i>