

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_75024 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY TO ASSESS THE KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND HEALTH CARE SEEKING BEHAVIOUR TOWARDS DYSMENORRHEA AMONG FEMALE STUDENTS IN A MEDICAL COLLEGE, KANCHEEPURAM, TAMILNADU |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Please revise carefully the manuscript for orthographic mistakes. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>In the "Materials and Methods" section, the author proposed a score pattern to assess the respondents' knowledge regarding dysmenorrhea, with a classification for weak, moderate or high knowledge. However, the score results cannot be found in the research results or through the manuscript. Therefore, we recommend to insert these results, while their presence could be an added value in this paper.</p> <p>Within the section "Results" when describing the research results, along with absolute numbers, it would be useful to show also the % values, in order to enable to the readers an easier perception of the observed phenomena.</p> <p>In the last paragraph of the "Discussion" section, the author presented some conclusions, such as "Therefore, the conclusions of this study mean the need for adequate education for students about effective management of dysmenorrhea.". We suggest that this conclusion would be better moved in the "Conclusion" section.</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | <p>The manuscript presents a valuable study, with a current topic, targeting a very large segment of female population facing considerable discomfort and many multilateral negative consequences of the dysmenorrhea syndrome.</p> <p>According to the approached topic, the objectives of the study are very clearly established, and the research methods used are sufficient and to achieve the proposed objectives.</p> <p>Per general, the conclusions are relevant, followed by consistent proposals to reduce the consequences of this syndrome and improve the women's quality of life.</p> <p>The bibliographic references used in the manuscript are sufficient, fairly recent and broadly covering the issue addressed.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | <i>Iurie Dondiuc</i> |
| Department, University & Country | <i>Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Moldova</i> |