

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74525
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Ultrasound therapy with Zingiber Cassumunar Roxb based Phonophoresis and conventional gel in patients with knee Osteoarthritis
Type of the Article	Randomized controlled trial.

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This submission is a protocol to a randomized controlled trial. This needs to be specified in the article's title.</p> <p>A more systematic presentation of preliminary evidence of the efficacy of Ayurvedic interventions for osteoarthritis and related conditions is needed.</p> <p>The authors need to specify why the Zingiber Cassumunar Roxb. Photophoresis treatment is best applied as an adjunct to ultrasound therapy and Otago exercises, as shown in the flow chart in Figure 1.</p> <p>The authors should indicate one primary outcome measure and the rest as secondary.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The English in many sections is poor; for example:</p> <p>"Land up" should be "end up."</p> <p>"We have registered," not "We have Register."</p> <p>"The prevalence was taken."</p> <p>Both the Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria are not complete sentences.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The authors have listed varied and excellent outcome measures in their submission, but the article in several places needs revisions as pointed out above if it is to be considered for publication.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Anthony Rosner</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>United States</i>