

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_73313
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW ON AIR POLLUTION ON HEALTH AND ITS PREVENTION
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The title is good but the content betrayed it. • The manuscript did not follow scientific writing. The manuscript was composed as a coursework. • Current findings or understandings on air pollution and its prevention were lacking, and no critical assessment of previous works. • Referencing did not follow standard format. • More of non-academic resources were used; peer-reviewed research articles, conference proceedings, books, etc are the most reliable materials for scientific writing. Information from websites can be used but must be from a reliable organization and should be minimal. • No numbering for the subsections. • Authors are encouraged to follow the guideline and rewrite the manuscript to make it a good resource for readers of environmental pollution. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The focus of the manuscript is good but a rewrite of the manuscript is encouraged.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Adefarati Oloruntoba</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>China University of Petroleum Beijing, China</i>