

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_72858
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF STABILITY INDICATING RP-HPLC METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF UMECLIDINIUM AND VILANTEROL IN PHARMACEUTICAL DOSAGE FORM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>TITLE: RP-HPLC should be written in full</p> <p>ABSTRACT: LC, UMEC/VI, LOD, LOQ, ICH, RSD etc should be written in full at their first mention</p> <p>INTRODUCTION: Well written</p> <p>MATERIALS AND METHODS: i. There is need for improvement in the use of English.</p> <p>ii. There is no consistency in the use of units (mL and ml). iii. & not proper. iv. English concord was not followed (Acid and alkali degradation was, the standard drug solution were, The resulting solution were etc.). v. Fig. and Table, not fig and table. All the Tables did not follow Table presentation pattern.</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: i. Lack of references in this section is not accepted.</p> <p>ii. Lumping of methodology and results discussion is not proper (The precision was tested using varied drug concentrations and the solutions were made from stock solutions and injected in interday and intraday intervals to assess precision. For intraday experiments, the concentrations were generated at six separate times during the day. Interday and interday precision were demonstrated in the Table 2. etc.</p> <p>REFERENCES: The journal's referencing pattern in the list of References was not strictly followed</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vincent Nwaleji Okafor
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria