

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_72203
Title of the Manuscript:	In Silico Gene Expression and Pathway Analysis to Explore the Link Between Hes1 and Adipocyte Stem Cell Differentiation in Obesity
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Kindly keep Hes1 in bracket as I mentioned here and delete the term 'or', Hairy/enhancer of split homologue-1 or (Hes1) all over the manuscript. 2. In this sentence, the term "signaling" should be in American spelling. (Stem cells require stringently controlled regulation of gene and protein expression for self-renewal, growth, differentiation, division and signalling.) signaling, like wise throughout the manuscript. 3. In introduction 9th line the term "haematopoietic" should be in American spelling "hematopoietic". 4. In introduction 13th line the term "tumorigenesis" is should be tumorigenesis, once the author can refer in the reference number 4 as you mentioned. 5. In table 1, both the gene IDs. 102724428; 150094 are synonym, if possible, remove the duplicate one by keeping only one ID as your preferable. 6. Please check it whether it netrophins or neurotrophins. 7. Better the author can improve the Figure 1 font size. 8. Reference format is missing eg. In reference number 1 the author used "et al", but not in other references. Over all format is missing in the references. Please follow the uniform format. 9. Minor revision. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Minor revision is required	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>R. S. Karunakaran</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Sri Venkateswara University, India</i>