

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_72128
Title of the Manuscript:	HRCT IN BRONCHIECTASIS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please correct the repeated information from line 7 to 12 in the abstract.	
Minor REVISION comments	* Introduction too short for the complexity of the subject in scrutiny. The reader should be informed as for what group age bronchiectasis affect mainly; and also if it is worsened or modulated by asthmatic patients as well; since virtually 30% of people with asthma have <u>bronchiectasis</u>	
Optional/General comments	<p>* Exercise training and bronchiectasis should be correlated in this paper.</p> <p>*Recently, there have been papers reporting additional risks for bearers of other respiratory pathologies that might put lives in danger. In this sense, If the authors would agree to add a more important issue, they should also research the association of bronchiectasis and COVID-19, described in articles such as "<i>Bronchiectasis May Increase Risk for Severe COVID-19 Disease</i>; February 19, 2021, by Patricia Inacio (PhD); or her work intitled "<i>Bronchiectasis Can Increase Number of Asthma Attacks, Analysis Finds</i>"; February 22, 2021".</p> <p>* The idiopathic causes of bronchiectasis should be more explores, especially those included in Table 9.</p> <p>The article was very well written in good English and it's easy to read. I would recommend this article for publication.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luciano Barreto Silva
Department, University & Country	Brazil