

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_70412
Title of the Manuscript:	A Synthesis of Substituted 1,3,4-oxadiazole, pyrazole deravatives and it's biological activities
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Text: Overall text is not in uniform format. Follow standard 'times new roman' font style and '12' font size.</p> <p>Information provided in introduction is not sufficient, elaborate and describe the background and objective in detail. Explain the problem that is addressed by this study.</p> <p>Materials and Methods should be revised. Refer to standard article and correct both the Synthesis and Antibacterial assay protocols.</p> <p>Scientific name of the organism should be italics. Mention what is the source of the microorganism., i.e., MTCC, ATCC, Clinical, etc.,</p> <p>Discussion should be rewritten in detail. Discuss your results with similar other study and provide appropriate citations within the discussion. Explain how your study is different from other pyrazole based studies. Explain the importance or impact of this study in this field of research.</p> <p>Figures: Graphical Representation of Chemical Structure Elucidation (i.e., NMR, IR, MS) of Compounds 4, 5, & 6 should be included as Figures.</p> <p>Figure.1 (MIC graphical representation) is scientifically inaccurate. It should contain standard deviation (Error Bar), should also denote, which compound's activity, Compound 4, 5 or 6 ?</p> <p>Figure.2 to 7 are irrelevant. Rather, include a combined graphical representation as Bar Graph.</p> <p>Figure.8 to 13 should be combined as a single image and to be labelled accurately.</p> <p>Tables: Table.1 is irrelevant. If the data is represented as Graph, then no need to provide table.</p> <p>Table.2 should be formatted and scientifically revised. Units for the zone of inhibition to be mentioned within the table and not in the table legend. Table legend is not correct; it should describe the data of the entire table.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lokesh Ravi
Department, University & Country	St. Joseph's College (Autonomous), India