

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_69622
Title of the Manuscript:	EARLY OUTCOME OF MID-SHAFT RADIUS ULNA FRACTURE OSTEOSYNTHESIS THROUGH SINGLE POSTERIOR INCISION APPROACH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The word count from the "Introduction" to the "Conclusion" is too less for the original research article. Kindly add more to the manuscript. 2. Study design to be mentioned in "material & method" in the main manuscript. 3. Kindly mention "aim & objective" of the study in main manuscript. 4. Kindly mention the ethical committee approval letter number in the "methods" section. 5. Kindly mention Sample size calculation with equation which was applied for the same. 6. It is always better to avoid repeated data in an original research article. Kindly consider mentioning more about "Inclusion & exclusion criteria", "pre-operative and post-operative protocols", "follow up" in detail instead of the demographic result in the methods section. 7. The result is incomplete without mentioning the union rates, outcome criteria's, how the approach is better than other approaches. Kindly provide the numerical data about the each component mentioned in result in detail. 8. Kindly mention if any outcome scoring scale used for the study and its observation in the study. 9. kindly provide few intra-op photographs of the approach and x-ray pictures of pre and post-op patients. 10. There is discrepancy in duration of the study mentioned in abstract from what is mentioned in main manuscript. 	
Minor REVISION comments	There are many grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in many sentences in the manuscript. Needs correction.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vishal Singh
Department, University & Country	Sher E Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, India