

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_69487
Title of the Manuscript:	Awareness, practice, knowledge and attitude toward the covid 19 among antenatal patients at tertiary care Hospital
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Age (SD) 29.34+23.12 years: please check your data about high SD.In this study, how to measure knowledge, attitude as good or satisfactory? (scoring system for the answer yes/no in the questionnaire?) The results of this study indicated that the overall knowledge regarding COVID-19 was poor in less than 9% of pregnant women, although about 70% of the cases achieved acceptable knowledge score. <ul style="list-style-type: none">Please provide more information about knowledge score as well as validity and reliability of the questionnaire. In this study mostly women 95.1% had heard regarding covid-19. <ul style="list-style-type: none">What did they hear about Covid- 19? You might explain more in discussion.	
Minor REVISION comments	Please check spelling of the "regarding" in Figure 1	
Optional/General comments	Most of the women had good knowledge and attitude, while preventive practice was found to be unsatisfactory. <ul style="list-style-type: none">It would be better if the author can give more detail about the barriers to good preventive practice of the participants.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pattarawalai Talungchit
Department, University & Country	Mahidol University, Thailand