

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_69307
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Validation of new analytical RP-HPLC method for the estimation of Antidiabetic Drugs Metformin hydrochloride and Gemigliptin in combined pharmaceutical dosage form.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is poorly written, maybe copy paste from the thesis as such. The paper described separation of two drugs using PDA detector, however there is no details related to peak purity of peaks The system suitability parameters like capacity factor, tailing factor and asymmetry factor are not given. The optimization of chromatographic conditions not explained properly, needs more explanation and inclusion of graphs The LOD and LOQ not given, one of the essential parameters in method validation In the stability section, the qualification of proposed method should be on the basis of peak purity.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Figure 4, 5a and 5b should be overlapping graphs. The actual interpretation by the given graphs is almost impossible The chemical structures should be drawn by any software e.g. chemsketch The fonts of calibration curve of both drugs should be as per guidelines</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Alankar Shrivastava</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>KIET Group of Institutions, India</b>