



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_68438
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk factors during pregnancy for asymptomatic bacteriuria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The article is good generally and it will add to knowledge and in management of pregnant women. The article was not paginated. I also noticed the Vancouver referencing method was not completely adhered to. Some areas the grammar was not flowing well, though this can easily be corrected during the final editing of the manuscript Finally, 5 samples were discarded, but I noticed during the analysis the author still used 377 as against 372 as his denominator</p>	<p>Introduction: 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, line 2. (8)  See Results and Discussion</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Material and methods: the author should rephrase the statement on antibiotic use. He was vague on antibiotic use  Line 5: aseptically should be replaced Line 10: approval of synopsis should be rephrase</p>	<p>The right statement to use is, subjects currently on antibiotics or urinary antiseptic should be excluded from the study and those with up to 2 weeks' history of antibiotic used or antimicrobials were also excluded from the study. Replace aseptically with aseptically This should rather be: approval by the ethics and research committee</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments			



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Samuel Pam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal Medical Centre, Nigeria</b>