



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_68048
Title of the Manuscript:	Facile Green Synthesis of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles Using Phoenix dactylifera L. Seed Extract and Their Antibacterial Applications
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The English need improvement since there are many grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript (For example, the words "aqueous" may be as "an aqueous"; "formation" as "the formation"; "average" as "an average"; "biological" as "the biological"; "wide" as "a wide"; "approach of" as "approach to"; "metal" as "the metal"; "the further" as "further"; "creation" as "the creation"; "a better" as "better"; "to surface" as "with surface"; "biological" as "the biological"; "due" as "due to"; "magnetic" as "the magnetic"; "field induced" as "field-induced"; "higher" as "a higher"; "large" as "a large"; "Seed were" as "Seed was"; "fine" as "a fine"; "method" as "the method" "mixture" as "a mixture"; "chemical" as "the chemical"; "range" as "a range"; "sterilised" as "a sterilised"; "was seeds" as "in seeds"; "majority" as "the majority"; "presence" as "a presence"; "average" as "the average"; "XRD" as "the XRD"; "peak at region" as "a peak at the region"; "crystalline" as "a crystalline"; "single" as "a single"; "seed have" as "seed has"; "mode" as "a mode"; "surface" as "the surface"; "have significant" as "have a significant"; "for green" as "to green"; "as use" as "as the use"). The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>2. There are many typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text (For example, the words "dactyliferaL" may be as "dactylifera L"; "conformation" as "confirmation"; "however" as "however,"; "extracts" as "extract"; "sometime" as "sometimes"; "droppedonto" as "dropped onto"; "oneach" as "on each"; "seedextract" as "seed extract"; "ten-folds" as "ten-fold"; "develop eco" as "developing eco"; "industry grade" as "industry-grade"). The typos not mentioned here also to be checked and corrected properly.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, IONPS, TEM, EDS, DLS). The full form should be given when first time appear and followed by only the first letter of the genus (<i>Phoenix dactylifera</i> when first time appear and followed by <i>P. dactylifera</i> and L also). And it should be in both abstract as well as in the remaining part of the manuscript.</p> <p>2. The authors may improve the discussion of their work by focusing on the present findings and introducing data from other authors who also worked with the same or other studies with recent references.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The authors have put effort to evaluate the "Facile Green Synthesis of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles Using <i>Phoenix dactylifera</i> L. Seed Extract and Their Antibacterial Applications". The authors describe the research undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by their group. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal. The paper can be accepted after MINOR REVISIONS are carried out.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Vijaya Anand
Department, University & Country	Bharathiar University, India