



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_68010
Title of the Manuscript:	Health Related Quality of Life of Children with Sickle Cell Anemia and Their Parents; Quantitative Study in Albaha; Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review this interesting study "<b>Health Related Quality of Life of Children with Sickle Cell Anemia and Their Parents; Quantitative Study in Albaha; Saudi Arabia</b>".</p> <p>However, I have some comments and recommendations:</p> <p><b>Comments:</b></p> <p><b>Title:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Is appropriate for the content of the article.</li> </ul> <p><b>Abstract:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Represents a suitable summary of the work.</li> </ul> <p><b>Article content:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The design, methods and analysis of the results have been explained and are appropriate for the study.</li> </ul> <p><b>Conclusions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Are justified on the basis of the results of the study.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendations:</b></p> <p><b>Discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Suggest clinical implications.</li> </ul> <p><b>References:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Stick to the format of the journal.</li> </ul> <p><b>General:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Revise language, grammar and syntax.</li> </ul>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Akmal El Mazny</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Cairo University, Egypt</i></b>