



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_67802
Title of the Manuscript:	CONFORMATIONAL EPITOPE PREDICTION OF BIRCH BETV 1 AND HAZEL COR A1 TOWARDS B-CELLS
Type of the Article	Full paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript provides an exciting context in applying bioinformatics tools in the prediction of conformational epitopes for the allergens Betv1 and Cor1. However, as the work may interest other researchers interested in reproducing the studies presented, more detailed information from all the studies carried out is necessary.</p> <p>In this respect, the work is flawed, and to be published, all sequences of the peptides studied, both paratopes (Ig) and allergens, must be described in the form of a table or text. As the studies are presented, a concrete evaluation is impaired. Therefore, we suggest that the work be completed before issuing a definitive opinion.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Describe the entire word of the allergens when it first appears and then only the abbreviation. During the text, this is alternated several times. 2) In MM, do not start the paragraph explaining the technique. For example, Line 78- "Docking ..." 3) Review the English and mainly the verbal form in which the studies should be described (present or past). 4) Inform that these studies are predictive and that experimental confirmation is necessary 	
Optional/General comments	<p>We do not recommend publishing the manuscript in this form. The text needs to an improvement, with insertion of all the studied and informed sequences and minor other corrections informed.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salvatore Giovanni De Simone
Department, University & Country	Health-Rio de Janeiro, Brazil