



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_67778
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Analysis, HPLC Profiling and Anti-oxidant Potential of Euphorbia nivulia Buch.-Ham.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In this paper, the composition and antioxidant properties of Euphorbia nivulia extracted with different polarity solvents were analyzed. HPLC was used to test the composition of alkaloids, glycosides, flavonoids, tannins, saponins and phenols, etc., and the relationship between solvent extraction capacity, antioxidant capacity and the composition of available substances was systematically studied. The work is meaningful, but not innovative enough.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please provide the mixed solution chromatogram of reference substance chromatograms of alkaloids, glycosides, flavonoids, tannins, saponins, phenols, and other standard substances used in the test. 2. Please use professional data processing software to draw the chromatogram and ensure its clarity. 3. Have you done cytotoxicity tests with different polarity solvents? 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Qi Wang
Department, University & Country	Kunming University of Science and Technology, China