



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_67370 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Potential Therapeutic Effect of Bee Venom on Cisplatin-Induced Hepatotoxicity |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>Bee venom (BV) has been widely used in the treatment of some immune-related diseases, as well as in recent times in treatment of tumors. Several cancer cells, including lung, liver, renal, and mammary cancer cells, can be targets of bee venom peptides such as melittin. The work aimed to investigate the therapeutic impact of BV administration orally on CIS-induced hepatotoxicity in a rat model when compared with individual drug therapy. Comments.</p> <p>The introduction is well written.</p> <p>Methods. The author used a single dose of BV. What determined the use of this 1mg / kg concentration? Is this the best concentration? And the concentration of CIS?</p> <p>Results. The graphics must be redone. Comments. The title of each graphic must be removed by the author. Graph 1. Change the Y axis for enzymatic activity (U / L). The other graphs must be remade using the same unit on the Y axis.</p> <p>The discussion is well written. However, a review with the addition of more details must be done by the author.</p> <p>The references contain several errors and should be reviewed by the author.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | <i>Giovana Cristina Giannesi</i> |
| Department, University & Country | <i>Institute of Biosciences, Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil</i> |