



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_67136
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and Antimicrobial Activity of Some New Coumarin Incorporated Pyridine-3-Carbonitrile Derivatives
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Authors must include the turbidity measurements and not only the indication of indication of growth or not growth</p> <p>Figures from the tubes to illustrate antibacterial activity can be added</p> <p>Discussion about antibacterial activity must be increased including references and comparisons with other published compounds. Differences between the activity of the different obtained derivatives must be justified more exhaustively</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Table legends must be more explicit For exemple: Table 2: Antibacterial Activity of Pyridine-3-Carbonitrile Derivatives (CP1-CP6). Information about bacteria must be added</p> <p>Table 3: MIC of Pyridine-3-Carbonitrile Derivatives(CP1-CP6) By Tube Dilution Method. What is MIC?</p> <p>Some typographic and interlineate error have been detected. I have highlighted some of the typographic errors. For example...in table 3 legend By has been written with capital letter. Please, revise all the text</p>	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Marta Gonzalez Alvarez</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Miguel Hernandez University, Spain</i>