



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_67131
Title of the Manuscript:	Gynecological Problems, Health Behaviors & Health promotion among Women: Does it Matters in Pakistan?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Comments on title / abstract/ references The title was wisely chosen to match with the aim of study and results. The research title is new and not pre-tracked. The abstract was writing carefully and abstract illustrates the method of work and results was presented in a distinctive way. References are different and new, the research supported by Good and varied references .</p> <p>Comments on key words / introduction The key words are included comments on introduction and research content it was carefully chosen. The introduction was short and clear to the reader, and there is a full explanation of the research and supported by references. The introduction was very well written and give sufficient explanation to the research, and included various references.</p> <p>Comments on methodology The process of subject selection is clear. A brief and complete explanation of the method of work for research. A clear way to work for the reader.</p> <p>Comments on results The explanation of the results was good and it is clear about statistically significant result. Tables are divided in an excellent way and very clearly. Tables are clearly and accurately arranged for the reader.</p> <p>Comments on discussion and conclusions The discussion was wide ranging that contained all research results. The discussion was completely identical to the references and contains a full explanation of the results. The discussion were adequately supported in terms of references. The conclusion is written in a qualified manner and the conclusion answer the aims of the study.</p> <p>My comments about the research The research topic is new, as well as coherent, between the introduction, method of work, results and discussion it was written in a clear manner and supported by modern references , we see it is an excellent research for publication.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>My_Minor comments Lines should be set in the research There are spaces in some words that must be Adjusted The numbers inside the tables should be written more clearly</p>	
Optional/General comments	I have no optional notes	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Chyad Abbas
Department, University & Country	K. N. Toosi University of Technology, Iran