



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_64112
Title of the Manuscript:	Ayushman Bharat: An Ethical Analysis of the World's Biggest Health Scheme
Type of the Article	narrative review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Considering that the article refers to an ethical analysis of the health plan, it is suggested that in the conclusions, mention that ethical or bioethical aspects have been respected and which have not been covered in the current health system in India, taking into account various approaches: life cycle, gender, ethnicity, population and poverty, among others, always analyzed from the perspective of human rights. Bearing in mind that this health plan aims to promote access and universal health coverage and in light of the Sustainable Development Goals.</p> <p>Likewise, mention what would be the main ethical challenges that allow understanding, reflection and stance on the health of the Indian population so that this country is blessed with a long life.</p> <p>In the summary, it is necessary to visualize the ethical aspect.</p> <p>In keywords they can include the word ethics and use descriptors from the MESH It partially responds to the objective of the study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	correct punctuation	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zoila Esperanza Leiton Espinoza
Department, University & Country	National University Of Trujillo, Peru