



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_64112
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Ayushman Bharat: An Ethical Analysis of the World's Biggest Health Scheme</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Is there any relationship among the factors in Figure: 4, it's a bit incomplete and unclear what actually figure 4 meant to say? Some additional information could potentially help</li> <li>- Form figure 5 it's hard to understand on what the statistical data is saying. If that can be redrawn or can be formatted in a different shape. Like it's saying '<i>Biggest Health Care Scheme of the World</i>' but, compared to what? How biggest is that, which sounds like generic, not specific like other labels.</li> <li>- Literature reviews discussed only over 3 previous potential work, if it can be enriched with more works and most recent ones.</li> <li>- The proposal is more likely an overall description of the health budget, which has been planned in 2018, authors has described the way government wanted to see the big picture of serving the people in the inaccessible area. Then where is the contribution of the authors?</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A bit of grammatical mistakes and incomplete punctuations, some typos</li> <li>- Some of the acronyms are used for the first time and never been elaborated earlier on what it actually means, it's being hard for the reader to understand. Additionally, it's not consistent. (Like PM=&gt; Prime Minister =&gt; Pradhan Mantri, for non-native reader)</li> <li>- Minor typo in figure 5 label text</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Seems like an interesting concept on how the health care systems can be made accessible in the rural area at the same time how the budget could be used in a effective way towards making more enriched and sustainable.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ananda Mohon Ghosh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Western University, Canada</b>