



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_59846
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTIMATION OF SALIVARY AND BLOOD GLUCOSE LEVEL AMONG PATIENTS WITH DIABETES MELLITUS - A COMPARATIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Q1. What is the accuracy rate of saliva in comparison to Blood for glucose estimation?</p> <p>Q2. Please provide references for the last paragraph of first three lines</p> <p>Q3. Author need to explain the mechanism how saliva can be used instead of blood for sugar estimation.</p> <p>There are several grammatical mistakes in manuscript, which must be fix before considering for publication</p> <p>Ethical statement should be provided in the methods part</p> <p>Exclusion criteria (How you excluded the samples you mentioned) please provide reference</p> <p>What was the sugar level of 30 patients?</p> <p>Again for blood collection procedure and glucose estimation you need to provide some references which you followed</p> <p>Discussion part need to be revise</p> <p>Reference 1 is review; proper source should be acknowledge</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I have highlighted some contents in main manuscript which need to be fix	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Zahoor Khan
Department, University & Country	Agricultural University, Beijing, China