



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_59748
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE AWARENESS AND PERCEPTION ABOUT OSTEOPOROSIS AMONG HOUSEKEEPING EMPLOYEES - A SURVEY
Type of the Article	A Survey

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1- English language of the manuscript is poor. Manuscript must be re-written and revised by a native English speaker</p> <p>2- Avoid repetitions. The following is only an example: Abstract lines 9-10: "Osteoporosis is considered to be the most common skeletal disorder affecting humans with high prevalence, worldwide". The authors in line 1 mentioned the following: "Osteoporosis is a serious health concern that affects millions of people around the world"</p> <p>3- When numbers come as first word in a sentence, it must be typed in letters not numbers e.g. "Results and Discussion" paragraph 5, line 4: "45 to 55 years age group..."</p> <p>4- Authors must include a copy of the questions of the survey in the figures.</p> <p>5- What do the authors mean by "salt intake"?</p> <p>6- Introduction is too long, must be shortened and concentrate on the aim of the current manuscript</p> <p>7- Introduction, Paragraph 2: "It is estimated that ten million individuals have osteoporosis and another thirty-four million suffer from low bone density" Ten and 34 million globally or in India?</p> <p>8- Introduction, Paragraph 3: "...results of the present studies.." The authors mean previous studies'</p> <p>9- The authors mentioned that the survey includes 18 questions and reported the results of 5 of them. Results of all questions must be reported</p> <p>10- The survey measures "awareness" about osteoporosis not "practice", so I see that some questions are not related to the subject of this survey like: "Do you exercise regularly". Again, survey is not measuring incidence of manifestations, so the question "do you have bone pain?" is not related to the topic of the manuscript. Furthermore, bone pain has a lot of causes that is out of the scope of the current manuscript</p> <p>11- "Results" and "Discussion" must be reported in 2 separate sections</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed El-Sayed Abdel-Wanis
Department, University & Country	Sohag University, Egypt