



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_59159
Title of the Manuscript:	Solubility of Calcium Phosphate Crystallization in vitro in presence of Basella rubra Deproteinized Concoction used in non-codified medicine for Urinary stones
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Deficiencies such as not using the scientific language correctly, fluency and comprehension of the text, expression disorders, problems in the flow of the text are many.</p> <p>Insufficient abstract.</p> <p>There is no explanation for the part of the results that will benefit in practice.</p> <p>Insufficient introduction part.</p> <p>Tables are not as desired.</p> <p>Insufficient references.</p> <p>The figures should be rearranged.</p> <p>In addition, the fact that the results obtained are not highlighted in the discussion and conclusion section requires a great review of the discussion, material and method.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Comment [I1]: the entrance section is insufficient.

Comment [I2]: There are errors in the software of the references. Must be checked.



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nuri Gulesci
Department, University & Country	University of Gumushane, Turkey