



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_56304
Title of the Manuscript:	Medication Use, Management and Economic Burden of Asthma among Hajj and Umrah Pilgrims
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction is long but not to the point to some extent. After a brief introduction of the status and economic burden of asthma control, analysis of the possible causes of the increase in acute exacerbation of asthma during this special period should be mentioned. 2. The novelty of this work, compared to existing literature, should be highlighted in the discussion. 3. The discussion should be reorganized. Generally, it is the description of the main findings of this study in the first paragraph of discussion, then followed by the analysis of the similarities and differences between the results of this study and previous ones and possible causes of the differences among studies. Next, the novelty and clinical significance of this study. And last, the limitations and possible future prospects. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The methodology needs more detailed information, for example, the classification criteria of obesity and asthma severity needs to be specified. The time range in which the patients were enrolled, including years and months, must be specified. 2. Apparently, some data are incorrect. The proportion of not using inhaled corticosteroids should be 13.7% (not 17.3%) according to the data from the other two terms. So as in the case of not using inhaled bronchodilator(anticholinergics) and the patient number and ratio of using Seritide. A total of 217 patients were reported in the abstract, while in table 1 male and female patients were 153 and 118 respectively, with the total of 271. It is recommended that all data be checked for accuracy. 3. Table legend is needed for each table and should be included at the bottom of the table, and the title should be at the top. This is the convention of academic papers. 	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript deals with the interesting issue of acute exacerbation of asthma among Hajj and Umrah Pilgrims and its relation to drug use and economic burden. The paper is relatively simple, but as a retrospective study, it should not be too demanding.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chuan Shao
Department, University & Country	Ningbo Medical Center Lihuili Hospital, China